Please use a separate form for each individual comment.

Q2 Name / Name of organisation (if you are responding on behalf of an organisation)

Q3 To which part of the Core Strategy does this comment relate?
   Part of document eg Key Statement reference, 'Vision' section etc...
   I feel that this document is deliberately vague.

Paragraph No.

Q4 As a consequence do you consider the Core Strategy is:
   i) Legally compliant
   ii) Sound *

* The considerations in relation to the Core Strategy being sound are explained in the Guidance Notes

Q5 If you consider the Core Strategy is unsound, is this because it is not... (please tick the appropriate box)
   □ Justified
   □ Consistent with national policy
   □ Effective
   □ Positively prepared

Q6 Please give details of why you consider that the Core Strategy is not legally compliant or sound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Core Strategy, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The document is very vague on which villages are affected; does the housing already built in Barrow count against the total? If not why not. These houses have increased the size and character of the village considerably; they have also affected the quality of life of its residents (noise, incon siderate parking, litter etc)
Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Core Strategy legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test(s) you have identified at 5 above where this relates to soundness.

You will need to say why this change will make the Core Strategy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

You need to set out exactly where each housing project is to be carried out; the village of Barrow has all ready had more than its share of new housing not only affecting the village but affecting the (already limited) parking in Whalley.

Please note: your comment should cover succinctly all the information, evidence, and supporting information necessary to support/justify the comment and the suggested change, as there will not normally be another opportunity to make further comments based on the original comment made at the publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will only be at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination in the forthcoming Examination in Public. Please note also that the Inspector is not obliged to consider any previous comments that have been made in respect of the Core Strategy. You are urged, therefore, to re-submit on this form any previously submitted comments that, in your view, remain valid and that you wish the Inspector to consider.

Q8 If your representation is seeking change, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?
   - No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination
   - Yes, I do wish to participate at the oral examination

Q9 If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary. (Please note that the Inspector will determine who participates.)
Q10 If you wish to be kept informed as the Core Strategy progresses through to adoption, please indicate which of the following stages you wish to be informed of by ticking the box(es) below.

- Submission of the Core Strategy to the Secretary of State for independent Examination
- The publication of the Inspector's report following the Examination
- The formal adoption of the Core Strategy

Q11 If you have any other comments to make on the Core Strategy that have not been covered elsewhere, please use the box below.

Q12 Date of completion

15/06/12

Thank you very much for taking the time to complete this comments form, your comments are very much appreciated. Please click the submit button below to send us your comments.