13th June 2012

PRN 8545
ack'd 19-6-12

Dear Core Strategy Consultation Members,

LOCAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK – EVIDENCE BASE POLICY G6 – ESSENTIAL OPEN SPACE

I write in full support of the committee that sat Thursday 17th June 2010, regarding the re-designation of 178 sites of which 140 remain with the original policy and 38 sites to be re-designated as appropriate to the guide lines that each 178 sites were subject to.

No changes should be made to Key statement paragraph 10.26.

All evidence was submitted in the above report, with the same set guide lines used on all sites. Sites in a few areas should not be given any different guide lines or special policies. This statement should not include small private land, and should remain as the council have prepared.

As a personal opinion I feel that the council should bear in mind that Longridge is a growing community and needs more housing. With this in mind I think that any land that has houses on two sides or more, and is within the boundaries of the town, should be looked at favourably when planning applications are submitted, to reduce the impact on the surrounding countryside.

Why spread the houses out along the greenbelt and take up farming land when there are areas of land that are not farmed and are within the town itself. Common sense and good reasoning should tell people that this is the way forward.

Yours faithfully.