

Non Technical Visual Quality Assessment - Football

Please complete one form per pitch

Site reference: 6 figure grid reference Number of pitches on site: Availability	<input style="width: 100%; height: 15px;" type="text"/> <input style="width: 100%; height: 15px;" type="text"/> <input style="width: 100%; height: 15px;" type="text"/>	Site Name: Pitch ID(s): Pitch size: Are any other pitches marked out over this pitch?	<input style="width: 100%; height: 15px;" type="text"/> <input style="width: 100%; height: 15px;" type="text"/> (Adult, Youth 11v11, 9v9, 7v7 Mini, 5v5, 7v7) <input style="width: 100%; height: 15px;" type="text"/> <small>If yes, please indicate what pitches are overmarked? (i.e. one youth pitch is overmarked on an adult pitch) in Pitch Issues</small>
Weather at time of visit Pitch Issues:	<input style="width: 100%; height: 100%;" type="text"/>		

Element (Gathered via a non technical site assessment)	Rating			Guidance notes	Comments
Playing surface					
Grass Cover	Good >80%	Standard 60-80%	Poor <60%		
Does the pitch meet The FA minimum size?	Yes - as per the largest size allowed	Within min and max allowed	No		See size chart below for recommended dimensions
Slope of pitch (gradient and cross fall)	Flat	Moderate	Severe		
Length of grass	Good	Too long	Too short		Good 51mm-59mm, Too long 60mm plus, Too short, 50mm less
Evenness of pitch	Good	Adequate	Poor		
Problem Areas: Evidence of dog fouling/glass/litter/vehicle tracks	None	Yes - some	Yes - lots		
Problem Areas: Evidence of unofficial use/damage to the surface	None	Yes - some	Yes - lots		
Problem Areas: Evidence of poor drainage	None	Yes - some	Yes - lots		
Maintenance programme Preview (information from maintenance schedule or grounds team) Are any of the items applied to the pitches during the course of the season to support the maintenance					Section total
Grass cutting	Yes, as required	Yes, but not frequent enough	No		
Seeded	Yes	Not known	No		
Aerated (per year)	Three or more times	Once/ twice	No		
Sand dressed (per year)	Three or more times	Once/ twice	No		
Fertilised (per year)	Three or more times	Once/ twice	No		
Weed killed (per year)	Three or more times	Once/ twice	No		
Chain harrowed (per year)	Every week	Once/ twice	No		
					Section total

NB If none of this information is provided you should assume that only the grass is being cut and the rest of the maintenance items should be marked with the lowest score option.

PITCH SCORE 0.0% RATING Poor

Built facilities Quality (the following information will provide a quality rating for the changing room and ancillary facilities)				Comments
Is there a clubhouse on site?	Yes	No		
Is there a kitchen or bar within the clubhouse?	Yes	No		
Is there a set of changing rooms for the pitch?	Yes	No		
Quality of the changing accommodation (exterior)?	Good	Adequate	Poor	
Quality of changing accommodation (interior)?	Good	Adequate	Poor	
Shower provision	Self contained in dressing rooms	Communal	None	
Is there dedicated toilets for players?	Self contained in dressing rooms	Communal player toilets	None	
Is there an officials changing room?	Yes, with dedicated toilet/shower	Yes, but shared toilet/shower	No	
Is there access to dedicated spectator toilets?	Yes	No		
Is there a dedicated medical room?	Yes	No		
Are accessible (DDA compliant) facilities provided?	Yes	No		DDA compliant
Is appropriate car parking available?	Yes	No		
Is there a boundary fence preventing unauthorised access?	Yes	No		
Section total				

BUILT FACILITIES QUALITY SCORE 0.0% Poor

Ancillary Issues:

Pitch ancillary facilities (The following information does not influence the site assessments but is valuable for when discussing site by site action plans)					
Are goalposts safe?	Yes	No			Goalposts should comply with British Standards. A Code of Practice BS 9451 has also been completed and copies of all of these three standards are available from the British Standards Institute.
Is the pitch floodlit?	Yes	No			
Is there a perimeter rail around the pitch?	Yes	No			e.g.: stadia rail ideally 1.1m high
Is there a covered stand?	Yes	No			For spectators to watch

Age	Format (maximum format but can play smaller numbers)	Pitch size (yards)	Maximum Goal Size (feet)
U7	5 v 5	30x20 to 40x30	12 x 6
U8	5 v 5	30x20 to 40x30	12 x 6
U9	7 v 7	50x30 to 60x40	12 x 6
U10	7 v 7	50x30 to 60x40	12 x 6
U11	9 v 9 (Primary Schools 7 v 7)	70x40 to 80x50 (50x30 to 60x40)	16 x 7 to 21 x 7 (12 x 6)
U12	9 v 9	70x40 to 80x50	16 x 7 to 21 x 7
U13	9 v 9 or 11 v 11	90x50 to 100x60	21 x 7 to 24 x 8
U14	9 v 9 or 11 v 11	90x50 to 100x60	21 x 7 to 24 x 8
U15	9 v 9 or 11 v 11	90x50 to 110x70	24 x 8
U16	9 v 9 or 11 v 11	90x50 to 110x70	24 x 8
U17	11 v 11	90x50 to 110x70	24 x 8
U18	11 v 11	90x50 to 110x70	24 x 8

Scoring:	100% - 75% Good	74.9% - 50% Standard
	49.9% - 0% Poor	

SAVE this completed form with an appropriate name **BEFORE** clicking one of the two buttons below.

Non Technical Visual Quality Assessment - Rugby Union

Please complete one form per pitch

Site reference	<input style="width: 95%;" type="text"/>	Site Name	<input style="width: 95%;" type="text"/>
6 figure grid reference	<input style="width: 95%;" type="text"/>	Pitch ID(s)	<input style="width: 95%;" type="text"/>
Date of assessment	<input style="width: 95%;" type="text"/>	Pitch size	<input style="width: 40%;" type="text"/> (Senior, Mini/Midi)
Number of pitches on site	<input style="width: 95%;" type="text"/>	Are any other pitches marked out over this pitch?	<input style="width: 20%;" type="checkbox"/>
Availability	<input style="width: 95%;" type="text"/>	<small>If yes, please indicate what pitches are overmarked? (i.e. one mini/ midi pitch is overmarked on a senior pitch) in Pitch Issues</small>	
<small>Community Use - used, Community Use - unused, No Community Use, Available but Unused</small>			
Weather at time of visit	<input style="width: 95%;" type="text"/>		
General comments/observations	<input style="width: 95%; height: 40px;" type="text"/>		

Assessment Criteria (please rank each of the following aspects for each pitch with an 'X' in the coloured box to the right of the chosen answer)

Qualitative information (gathered on site)	Rating						Guidance notes	Comments
Grass Coverage	Good	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>	Adequate	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>	Poor	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>	>90% = good. <80% = poor	
Size of pitch	Acceptable (between recommended minimum and maximum sizes)	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>	Flag for further investigation (below recommended minimum size)	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>	Unacceptable (above maximum size)	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>	Maximum size = width 70m, goal line to goal line 100m, in goal area 22m, run offs 5m where practical. Recommended minimum size = width 68m, goal line to goal line 94m, in goal area 6m, run offs 5m where practical.	
Length of grass	Too Long	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>	Good	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>	Too short	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>	Too long = >75mm. Too short = <50mm	
Problem Areas: Evidence of glass/litter/vehicle tracks/dog fouling	None	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>	Yes - some	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>	Yes lots	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>		
GOALPOSTS								
Are goalposts installed?	Yes	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>				
Is there any obvious danger on posts?	Yes	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>				
Are the posts stable in the ground?	Yes	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>				
Is the crossbar fixed securely?	Yes	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>				
Is there evidence of rust on the posts?	Yes	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>				
ANCILLARY								
Is the pitch floodlit?	Yes	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>				
Is there changing accommodation for the pitch?	Yes	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>				
Is an appropriate level of car parking available?	Yes	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>				
Pitch maintenance (information gathered via club survey/ pitch provider consultation) - refer to the guidance notes below								
Aerated (per year)	three or more times	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>	twice	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>	once	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>	never	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>
Sand dressed (per year)	three or more times	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>	twice	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>	once	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>	never	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>
Fertilised (per year)	three or more times	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>	twice	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>	once	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>	never	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>
Weed killed (per year)	three or more times	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>	twice	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>	once	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>	never	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>
Chain harrowed	every week	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>	fortnightly	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>	monthly	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>	never	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>
								Pitch Maintenance Score
Drainage	Natural (inadequate) SCORE D0	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>	Natural (adequate) SCORE D1	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>	Pipe drained SCORE D2	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>	Pipe and slit drained SCORE D3	<input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>
								Unknown SCORE D0 <input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/> Natural (adequate) = 3 or less training/match cancellations per season Natural (inadequate) = 4 or more training match cancellations per season *Based on a pipe drained system at 5m centres that has been installed in the previous eight years ***Based on a slit drained system at 1m centres completed in the previous five years.

Pitch Maintenance Rating

SAVE this completed form with an appropriate name BEFORE clicking one of the two buttons below.

Pitch Drainage Rating
Pitch Database Rating

Pitch Maintenance Scoring:	
11-15	Good (M2)
6-10	Adequate (M1)
0-5	Poor (M0)

	Maintenance	Drainage	Other specific issues (e.g. replacement goalposts required, obvious undulations on pitch)
OVERALL SCORING	M0 = action is significant improvements to maintenance programme M1 = action is minor improvements to maintenance programme M2 = action is no improvement to maintenance programme	D0 - action is pipe drainage system needed on pitch D1 - action is pipe and slit drainage needed on pitch D2 - action is slit drainage needed on pitch	

Non Technical Visual Quality Assessment - Cricket

Please complete one form per pitch

Site reference		Site Name			
6 figure grid reference		No of Pitches:			
Pitch ID		No of wickets:	Natural	<input type="text"/>	Non turf
Weather at time of visit					
Availability					

Community Use - used, Community Use - unused, No Community Use, Available but Unused

General comments/observations

*You will need a cricket ball and ruler to assess wicket quality as indicated

Assessment Criteria (please rank each of the following aspects for each pitch with an 'X' in the coloured box to the right of the chosen answer).

Element	Rating				Guidance notes	Site comments (use the guidance notes to help complete)
About the cricket outfield						
Grass coverage	Good	Acceptable		Poor	<80% falls below the ECB basic standard	
Length of grass	Good	Acceptable		Poor	Ideally 12mm - 25mm	
Evenness	Even			Uneven	Ball should run without deviation or ramp	
Evidence of Dog fouling/glass/stones/litter?	None	Yes		Immediate action required	May also wish to refer to user survey. If yes, refer to contractor/site manager	
Evidence of Unofficial use?	None	Yes		Immediate action required	e.g. informal, casual use, unbooked use, kids kickabout etc. May also wish to refer to user survey. If yes, refer to	
Evidence of Damage to surface?	None	Yes		Immediate action required	e.g. from vermin/animals - rabbit, gulls and foxes etc may also wish to refer to user survey	
						section total
Artificial Wickets						
Is the wicket and surrounds married in	Yes			No	There should be no trip points	
Evenness of wicket	Even			Uneven	There should be no contours in surface levels	
Stump holes	Yes			No	Should be no wider than a standard cricket ball	
Moss or materials in the surface	Yes			No	There should be none	
Rips or surface lifting	Yes			No	If "yes" contact site manager	
Surface worn in high traffic areas - creases	Yes			No	If "yes" contact site manager	
Hardness - does the ball rebound when thrown straight down?	Yes			No		
						section total
Grass Wickets						
Presence of line markings	Yes			No		
Evidence of rolling	Yes			No	i.e. is wicket smooth and uniform	
Evidence of straight cut and height	Yes			No	3mm on match wicket/12mm rest of square	
Evidence of repair work on old wickets	Yes			No		
Grass coverage (square and wickets)	Yes			No	Scale: 80%+ = Yes, 80%> = No Please note that <80% falls below the ECB basic standard	
Hardness - does a cricket ball thrown straight down into the surface rebound/bounce?	Yes			No		
						section total
Changing/ Pavilion						
Umpires provision	Yes			No		
Toilets	Yes			No		
Hot/cold water	Yes			No		
Heating	Yes			No		
Condition of building	Good	Acceptable		Requires attention		
						section total
Non Turf Cricket Practice Nets						
Is the wicket and surrounds married in (no trip points)	Yes			No		
Evenness of wicket (no contours in surface levels)	Even			Uneven		
Stump holes (no wider than a standard cricket ball)	Yes			No		
Moss or materials in the surface (should be none)	Yes			No		
No rips or surface lifting	Yes			No		
Surface worn in high traffic areas - crease	Yes			No		
Hardness - does the ball rebound when thrown straight down	Yes			No		
Is the steel frame/ posts upright?	Yes			No	Ideally assessed with a spirit level but can be achieved by eye.	
Are steel cross members detached?	Yes			No		
Are all posts and net fixings in place?	Yes			No		
Can a ball pass through any part of the netting?	Yes			No		
Is appropriate safety/ supervisory signage present?	Yes			No		
						section total

Grass Cover	Number	Problem Areas: Evidence of poor drainage	Number	Length of grass	Number	Grass cutting	Number	Is there a set of changing rooms for the pitch?	Number	Are goalposts safe?	Number	Is the pitch floodlit?	Number	Scoring:	Number
Good>80%	91	No evidence of standing water or poor drainage	38	Good	73	Yes, as required	75	Yes	53	Yes	76	Yes	0	100%-80% Good	6
Adequate 60-80%	3	Some evidence of poor drainage	31	Too long	20	Yes, but not frequent enough	20	No	41	No	2	No	95	79,9%-50% Standard	68
Poor<60%	2	Yes, poor drainage	27	Too short	0	No	1							49,9%-0% Poor	25
	96		96		93		96		94		78		95	Sum	99

