RIBBLE VALLEY BOROUGH COUNCIL INFORMATION REPORT TO ACCOUNTS AND AUDIT COMMITTEE

Agenda Item No 11

meeting date: 30 JUNE 2010 title: INTERNAL AUDIT PROGRESS REPORT 2010/11 submitted by: DIRECTOR OF RESOURCES principal author: MICK AINSCOW

1 PURPOSE

- 1.1 To report to committee internal audit work progress to date for 2010/11.
- 1.2 Relevance to the Council's ambitions and priorities:
 - The Council has a statutory duty to maintain an adequate and effective system of internal audit to ensure the continued efficient running of its services.

2 BACKGROUND

- 2.1 Internal audit ensure that good internal controls are inherent in all the Council's systems. All services are identified into auditable areas and then subjected to a risk assessment process looking at factors such as financial value, audit experience, fraud, etc. A risk score is then calculated for each area.
- 2.2 An operational audit plan is then produced to prioritise resource allocation based on the risk score. All high-risk areas are covered annually with the medium and low-risk areas covered at least once every two years.
- 2.3 The full internal audit plan for 2010/11 is attached as Annex 1, but in summary resources for the year have been allocated as follows:

Audit Area	2001/11 Planned Days
Fundamental (Main) Systems Non-Fundamental Systems Probity and Regularity Ongoing checks Risk Management, Performance Indicators	210 105 175 105 70
Total	665

3 2010/11 INTERNAL AUDIT YEAR

- 3.1 During the first couple of months of this year we have spent time finishing testing on NNDR, Housing Benefit and General Ledger systems. Testing is now largely complete and controls across all systems have been found to be sound and effective. The testing carried out has been extensive and has meant assignments taking considerably more days than planned, but hopefully levels of testing can be reduced in 2010/11 to bring completion days more in line with planned days.
- 3.2 In addition to our systems work we will continue to carry out a series of ongoing checks to prevent/detect fraud and corruption.

3.3 At present we use an assurance system for all audits carried out. Each completed audit report contains a conclusion which gives a level of assurance opinion as follows:

Level 4	Substantial	$\checkmark\checkmark$	The Authority can place high levels of reliance on the arrangements/ controls in place. Best practice is demonstrated in some or all areas.
Level 3	Reasonable	~	The Authority can place reasonable (i.e. sufficient) reliance on the arrangements/ controls in place. Only relatively minor control weaknesses exist.
Level 2	Limited		The Authority can place only limited reliance on the arrangements/ controls in place. Significant control issues need to be resolved.
Level 1	Minimal		The Authority cannot place sufficient reliance on the arrangements/ controls in place. Substantial control weaknesses exist.

4 REPORTS CARRIED OUT AND ASSURANCE OPINIONS

4.1 This report covers audit work and reports issued since the last report to Committee on 24 March 2010. The table below sets out the assurance opinions issued from these audits:

Date of Report	Assurance Opinion	Report Details
23.04.10	Reasonable 🗸	Housing Benefits – Tenancy Verification. Wrote to a random sample of 150 claimants whose benefit is paid direct to their landlord to confirm continued residence. 140 responses received. Details of the 10 claimants who failed to respond have been passed to the Fraud/Control Officer for further investigation
09.06.10	Reasonable 🗸	Car Mileage Claims. Checked all mileage claims submitted by staff for the period May '09 to March '10 to ensure correct authorisation, accuracy, correct rates used and appropriate journeys etc. Only minor discrepancies arising.
10.06.10	Reasonable 🗸	Contract Procedure Rules. A review of compliance with the Council's Contract Procedure rules for the procurement of works/goods. Compliance was verified in the vast majority of cases and valid reasons provided by officers where this had not been the case.

5 QUALITY MONITORING

5.1 Since the end of October 2009, we have been issuing customer feedback questionnaires following all audit work carried out. These questionnaires ask for the auditees views on the work we have carried out. Summary results are shown at Annex 2 for the questionnaires returned since the last meeting of this Committee, and it is pleasing to note that we appear to be delivering what customers want. All returned questionnaires show an average score above our target level of 4.

PRINCIPAL AUDITOR

AA12-10/MA/AC 15 June 2010

Annex 1

Operational Audit Plan 2010/11		Days
Number of days available		910
Less: Bank Hols/Statutory Annual Leave College/Other Training Non-Audit Duties (Insurance, etc.)	43 82 45 75	(245)
Actual auditing days available		665

AREA OF ACTIVITY

General Ledger Creditors Debtors Payroll Council Tax Housing Benefits NNDR	30 20 20 30 40 40 30	210
Cash and Bank Reconciliation Stores Loans and Investments	10 15 15	40
Data Protection Freedom of Information Health and Safety Enterprise Risk Management	15 15 15 20	65
Flexitime System Car Allowances Car Leasing Land Charges HB Cheque Delivery HB Tenancy Verification Petty Cash CT/HB Checks Staff Expenses Fees and Charges Printing and Stationery Rechargeable Works Tourism Car Parking Pest Control Inventories	15 15 3 8 10 15 10 40 15 8 3 10 10 3 3 5	

AREA OF ACTIVITY		Days
Longridge Gym Ribblesdale Pool Platform Gallery Private Drain Clearances Clitheroe Market Cemetery Planning App./Building Reg. Fees Contract Procedure Rules	5 10 5 5 10 5 12 15	240
Income Monitoring Contingencies	15 25	40
Risk Management Corporate Governance Performance Indicators/SIC	25 20 25	70
Total Planned Audit Work		665

Annex 2

	AUDIT CARRIED OUT			
Question	Platform Gallery	Sundry Debtors System	Payroll System	Creditors System
Sufficient notice given to arrange the visit (not applicable for unannounced visits)	5	5	5	5
A briefing sheet was sent prior to commencement of the audit and any comments/requests made were taken into account during the audit	5	5	5	5
The Auditors understanding of your systems and any operational issues	4	4	5	4
The audit was carried out efficiently with minimum disruption	4	5	5	5
The level of consultation during the audit	4	5	5	5
The audit was carried out professionally and objectively	4	5	5	5
The draft report addressed the key issues and was soundly based	5	5	5	5
Your opportunity to comment on the findings	5	5	5	5
The final report in terms of its clarity and conciseness	5	5	5	4
The prompt issue of the final report	5	4	4	4
The recommendations in the final report will improve control and/or performance	5	5	5	5
The audit was constructive and added value overall	5	5	5	4
Average	4.7	4.8	4.9	4.7

5 = Very Good

4 = Good 3 = Satisfactory

2 = Just Adequate 1 = Poor