INFORMATION

# RIBBLE VALLEY BOROUGH COUNCIL REPORT TO COMMUNITY COMMITTEE

Agenda Item No 19

meeting date: 7 SEPTEMBER 2010 title: CAPITAL PROGRAMME 2010/11 submitted by: DIRECTOR OF RESOURCES principal author: NEIL SANDIFORD

#### 1 PURPOSE

1.1 To inform members of progress to the end of July with the capital programme for this committee for the current financial year.

#### 2 BACKGROUND

- 2.1 Following the recommendation by a special meeting of Policy and Finance Committee on 9 February, full Council approved the capital programme on 2 March 2010.
- 2.2 For 2010 there were originally 15 schemes approved for this Committee totalling £649,900. This has since been added to for additional approvals and slippage from 2009/10.
- 3 2009/10 SCHEMES
- 3.1 The programme for the year consists of schemes slipped from 2009/10 and also new schemes approved as part of the Council's 5 year capital programme.
- 3.2 Annex 1 shows the full programme by scheme along with the budget and expenditure to date.
- 3.3 Responsible officers have been asked to update the capital evaluation sheets to give an indication of progress with their schemes. These are attached at Annex 2.

#### 4 CONCLUSION

- 4.1 Following the slippage of a number of schemes from 2009/10 financial year there are now 24 capital schemes for this committee in 2010/11. A large capital programme can have an impact on staff resources and as the monitoring statements show, whilst progress has been made on many schemes, others have yet to commence.
- 4.2 This year's capital programme includes a large number of vehicle acquisitions. Whilst some vehicle costs have been above budget, overall the total of all vehicle purchases is still within budget.
- 4.3 Members should consider the report and make any comments regarding specific schemes as felt necessary.

hier Samarfoin

NEIL SANDIFORD TECHNICAL ACCOUNTANT

CM7-10/NS/AC 31 August 2010

# Community Services Capital Programme 2010/11

			Budget Analysis				
Cost Centre	Schemes	Original Estimate 2010/11 £	Slippage £	Additional Approvals £	Total Approved Budget £	Analysis Actual and Commitments to date £	
BADWC	Badger Well Water Culvert Collapse		8,580		8,580	315	
CALOP	Calderstone Open Space		40,500		40,500	35,801	
CPKMS	Replace Car Parking Machines and Software		60,350		60,350	48,205	
EDFCR	Football changing rooms refurbishment		213,540	30,000	243,540	22,165	
FENCE	Replace perimeter fence at Salthill Depot	10,000			10,000		
GRFLG	Castle Grounds Green Flag Award Scheme	30,000	5,080		35,080	4,838	
GVDBV	Replacement for ford transit drop side truck (V728 DBV)	25,000			25,000	25,089	
PBRNG	Repairs to Riverside Path Brungerley		21,540	25,000	46,540	720	
PCWHA	Funding towards Whalley Toilets		1,420		1,420		
PLAYK	Improvements to Children's Play Areas (2009/10 slippage)		10,940		10,940	832	
PLAYL	Improvements to children's play areas (2010/11)	20,000		10,000	30,000	9,640	
POENE	Ribblesdale Pool – Energy Efficiency Measures		49,080		49,080	1	
POOLF	Main pool filter replacement and plant room maintenance	20,000			20,000		
REBIN	New and replacement wheeled bins	20,000			20,000	26,846	
RVFRJ	Replace collection vehicle (VO52 FRJ)	195,000			195,000	204,252	
RVFRK	Replace collection vehicle (VO52FRK)	195,000			195,000	203,514	

# Community Services Capital Programme 2010/11

			Budget Analysis			
Cost Centre	Schemes	Original Estimate 2010/11 £	Slippage £	Additional Approvals £	Total Approved Budget £	Actual and Commitments to date £
SCMOW	Replace 2 scag mowers	14,000			14,000	9,907
SPARK	Salthill play area		38,310		38,310	86,120
UVLET	Installation of ultra violet equipment to main pool	10,000			10,000	
VLIFT	Vehicle lifting equipment	8,000			8,000	5,500
WVCOH	Replace Iveco tipper PO54 COH	30,900			30,900	23,100
WVCOJ	Replace Iveco tipper PO54COJ	30,900			30,900	30,923
WVEVM	Replace JCB V955 EVM	25,700			25,700	21,237
WVYXF	Replace ford ranger super cab PE54 YXF	15,400			15,400	14,200
	Total Community Services Committee	649,900	449,340	65,000	1,164,240	773,205

# RIBBLE VALLEY BOROUGH COUNCIL CAPITAL PROGRAMME 2010/11 CAPITAL PROJECT EVALUATION STATEMENT

Cost Centre

BADWC

Name of Scheme	Badger Well Water Culvert Collapse			
Officer Responsible	JOHN EDWARDS			
Description of Scheme	To carry out necessary remedial works at Badger Well Water, Sabden due to Culvert Collapse.			
Benefits of Scheme	To protect and enha	nce the environmental quality of our area		
Project Timetable	Start Date	Jan 08		
	Completion Date	Oct 08		
	Any Other Key Description of Work Dates			
		Restoration of Culvert collapse		
Indication of any problems which may ultimately affect either the project timetable or final costs	An investigation has been carried out to establish land ownership, as the landowner is responsible for the repair under land drainage legislation. However a land registry search has returned information that the land in the vicinity of the culvert collapse is unregistered.			
Can the scheme be achieved with existing staff capacity? If not, what do you propose	Yes			
What are the revenue implications of the scheme?	None			

Cost Centre

BADWC

		£8,580				
Summary	Expected Total Cost of Scheme:			£9,996		
		Approve	d by Committee:	Policy & Finance		
			Date:			
			Minute Ref:			
Expenditure Analysis		Actual Expenditure to 2008/09 £	Actual Expenditure 2009/10 £	Expected Expenditure 2010/11 £	Total Expenditure £	
	Land					
	Cost of Work	1,170	246	8,580	9,996	
	Retention (show separately)					
	Other Costs					
	External Fees					
	Internal Salaries					
	TOTAL	1,170	246	8,580	9,996	
	Monitoring - Comments	s on Progress (Com	npleted Each Peri	od by Officer Resp	oonsible)	
End September 2007	As reported to community committee. Property flooding has occurred in Sabden. This scheme is necessary to carry out identified works and reduce the risk of further flooding from Badger Well Water.					
	vvater.			Thooding from Ba	ldger Well	
End June 2008	No progress since January 200					
		)8 due to other com	nmitments but anti	cipated completio		
2008 End Sept	No progress since January 200	98 due to other com	imitments but anti	cipated completio	n is Oct 2008.	

# RIBBLE VALLEY BOROUGH COUNCIL CAPITAL PROGRAMME 2010/11 CAPITAL PROJECT EVALUATION STATEMENT

Cost Centre

CALOP

Name of Scheme	Calderstones Open Space				
Officer Responsible	GRAHAM JAGGER	GRAHAM JAGGER			
Description of Scheme	Development of ope purposes.	n space and woodland area for recreation			
Benefits of Scheme	Improved facilities fo	r the benefit of residents of estate			
Project Timetable	Start Date	June 2008			
	Completion Date	March 2010			
	Any Other Key Dates	Description of Work			
Indication of any problems which may ultimately affect either the project timetable or final costs	Contamination of land and uncovering of underground structures. Weather conditions.				
Can the scheme be achieved with existing staff capacity? If not, what do you propose	Groundwork are being used to help prepare the scheme and carry out consultation with the residents. Some work will be carried out by our own staff.				
What are the revenue implications of the scheme?	There will be new fac maintained in the fut	cilities and equipment that will need to be ure.			

Cost Centre

CALOP

Financial			£40,500			
Summary	Ex		£74,998			
		Approved b	by Committee:	Policy & Finance		
			Date:			
			Minute Ref:			
Expenditure Analysis		Actual Expenditure 2008/09 £	Actual Expenditure 2009/10 £	Expected Expenditure 2010/11 £	Total Costs of Scheme £	
	Land					
	Cost of Work		24,685	40,500	65,185	
	Retention (show separately)					
	Other Costs					
	External Fees	3,448	1,850		5,298	
	Internal Salaries	2,000	2,515		4,515	
	TOTAL	5,448	29,050	40,500	74,998	
End June 2008	Groundwork appointed to consultation with residents					
End Sept 2008	Consultation with residents is underway following preparation of a draft scheme by Groundwork based on previous household survey results.					
End June 2009	See report to Community Services Committee 14 July 2009. Design of new scheme done in draft form. Tenders received for general tidy up works to improve appearance and safety of site.					
September 2010	Site clearance work to ren survey and subsequent ar complete. Groundwork pr consultation with residents network and play equipme for Saturday 4 September	boricultural worl ovided assistan s – complete. M ent is now compl	k to prepare sit ce on developi lain part of proj	e for main part ng scheme foll ect to install fo	of project – owing otpath	

		Cost Centre	CPKMS	
Scheme Title	REPLA SOFTW	CE CAR PARKING MA	ACHINES AND	
Officer Responsible	GRAHA	M JAGGER		
Brief Description of Scheme	To replace all the old original pay and display machines with the latest version of the equipment and the parking management software system/hardware.			
Link to Council Ambitions and Priorities	To prote our area	ct and enhance the exist	ting environmental quality of	
Statutory or Legal Obligations	None			
Performance Management	Efficient service.	operation of the off s	treet parking management	
Efficiency and Value for Money	It will help ensure the car parks are operated efficiently and effectively and that income received is properly accounted for.			
Consultation	-			
		Start Date:	April 2009	
Kay Datas	Anticipa	ated Completion Date:	October 2009	
Key Dates	Any	/ Other Key Dates	Description of Work	
	I			
Useful Economic Life	10 years			
Environmental Impact	-			
Additional Information	-			
Risk Assessment	in the fut Econom managin space av Sociolog charges Technol upgraded Legal: N Environ measure	ure ic: Charging for parking g the use of the limited vailable in the borough gical: People may go to to park ogical: Equipment is p d as technology improves lone Identified mental: Charging for	on to scrap parking charges ng is an effective way of amount of off-street parking to towns where there are no eriodically re-designed and parking may become a f the car in favour of the use	

		Slippage:		£60,350	
	Expected Total Cost of Scheme:		ne: £61,999		
	Revenue Ir	nplications:	No additional		
Financial Summary	Approved by	Committee:	Full Counci		
	Date	e Approved:	10	March 2009	
	Minute	Reference:			
		Actual 2009/10 £	Expected Expenditure 2010/11 £	Total Cost of Scheme £	
	Cost of Land:				
	Contractors:		5,000	5,000	
Expenditure Analysis	Equipment/Materials:		55,000	55,000	
	Fees (External):				
	Internal Staff Salaries:	1,649	350	1,999	
	Planning Fees/Building Regulations:				
	Other:				
	TOTAL:	1,649	60,350	61,999	
MONITORING –	COMMENTS ON PROGRESS (COMPLE RESPONSIBLE)	TED EACH P	ERIOD BY OFF	ICER	
End June 2009	No progress to date				
September 2010	Replacement of 13 pay and display installed and being trialled and further c				

E

		Cost Centre	EDFCR		
Scheme Title		BALL CHANGING RO	DOMS		
Officer Responsible	TIM LY	NAS			
Brief Description of Scheme	Strip out and removal of historic fixtures and fittings. internal refurbishment scheme, including structural stabilisa works, new entrance and lobby area along with the configuration of walls to provide more evenly sized chang rooms. Provision of central heating (previously no heating all), gas supply for high efficiency shower boilers (previou electric). Inclusion of accessible changing room, ramps handrails.				
Link to Council Ambitions and Priorities	First class facilities for sports teams and the local community.				
Statutory or Legal Obligations         Refurbished facilities will meet the building regulation statutory / legal obligations			e building regulations and all		
Performance Management	Ongoing				
Efficiency and Value for Money	Scheme is currently on time and to budget				
Consultation	Meetings have been held with stakeholders including local football teams.				
	Start Da	te:	July 2009		
Key Dates	Anticipa	nticipated Completion Date: Ongoing			
ney Dales	Any Oth	er Key Dates	Description of Work		
	1				
Useful Economic Life	Assuming that preventative planned maintenance undertaken, the useful economic life will be over 30 years				
Environmental Impact Environmentally friendly both hot water to the showers.			ave been installed to supply		
Additional Information	None				
Risk Assessment	Econom Sociolog Technol Legal: N	: None identified ic: None Identified gical: None identified ogical: none identified one Identified mental: None Identified			

		Cost Centre	EDFC	R
		Slippage:		£213,540
		Additional approvals:		£30,000
	То	tal Budget for Scheme:		£243,540
Financial	Expected	d Total Cost of Scheme:		£243,540
Summary		Revenue Implications:	١	lo additional
	A	pproved by Committee:		Council
		Date Approved:	2	March 2010
		Minute Reference:		
			Expected Expenditure 2010/11 £	Total Cost of Scheme £
		Cost of Land:		
		Contractors:	243,540	243,540
Expenditure		Equipment/Materials:		
Analysis		Fees (External):		
		Internal Staff Salaries:		
	Planning Fee	s/Building Regulations:		
		Other (Grants):		
		TOTAL:	243,540	243,540
MONITORIN	G – COMMENTS ON PROGRES RESPO	S (COMPLETED EACH P NSIBLE)	ERIOD BY OFF	ICER
August 2010	Scheme is currently in week targets being met in terms of tir		nme. Progress i	s good with

Cost Centre	FENCE
-------------	-------

Scheme Title	REPLACE PERIMETER FENCE AT SALTHILL DEPOT		
Officer Responsible	GRAHAM JAGGER		
Brief Description of Scheme	To replace the perimeter security fencing around the depot site at Salthill Industrial Estate		
Link to Council Ambitions and Priorities	To be a well managed Council providing efficient services.		
Statutory or Legal Obligations	None		
Performance Management	Prevents thefts and damage to the Depot.		
Efficiency and Value for Money	Ensure the site is secure and prevent damage to the Councils assets.		
Consultation	None		
	Start Date:	August 2010	
Key Dates	Anticipated Completion Date:	October 2010	
Key Dates	Any Other Key Dates	Description of Work	
		Enhancements	
Useful Economic Life	15 years		
Environmental Impact	None		
Additional Information	If the work isn't done the Depot will not be a secure site which may affect the licence to operate the waste transfer station.		
Risk Assessment	Political: none identified Economic: none identified Sociological: none identified Technological: none identified Legal: none identified Environmental: none identified		

	Cost Centre	FEN	CE	
	Approved Budget for Current Year:		£10,000	
	Expected Total Cost of Scheme:		£10,000	
	Revenue Implications:		None	
Financial Summary	Approved by Committee:		Full Council	
	Date Approved:	2	2 March 2010	
	Minute Reference:			
		Expected Expenditure 2010/11 £	Total Cost of Scheme £	
	Cost of Land:			
	Contractors:			
Expenditure Analysis	Equipment/Materials:	10,000	10,000	
	Fees (External):			
	Internal Staff Salaries:			
	Planning Fees/Building Regulations:			
	Other:			
	TOTAL:	10,000	10,000	
MONITORING – (	MONITORING – COMMENTS ON PROGRESS (COMPLETED EACH PERIOD BY OFFICER RESPONSIBLE)			
March 2010	Arrangements are in hand to start this work during April.			
September 2010	Materials needed about to be purchased and work will be done during late autumn to fit in with other projects.			

	Cost Centre	GRFLG	
Scheme Title	CASTLE GROUNDS – SCHEME PHASE 2	GREEN FLAG AWARD	
Officer Responsible	GRAHAM JAGGER		
Brief Description of Scheme	To achieve green flag award status for Clitheroe Castle grounds.		
Link to Council Ambitions and Priorities	To protect and enhance the extreme the area.	isting environmental quality of	
Statutory or Legal Obligations	None		
Performance Management	The work will create a flagship park to be enjoyed by both local residents and visitors alike.		
Efficiency and Value for Money	The castle grounds will be better kept as a result of this project and encourage more visitors to use all the various facilities.		
Consultation	There is a Friends of Clitheroe Castle Group who are to become more actively involved with ideas to improve the grounds and increase the use of the facilities there.		
	Start Date:	April 2010	
Key Dates	Anticipated Completion Date: March 2011		
Ney Dales	Any Other Key Dates	Description of Work	
		Enhancement work.	
Useful Economic Life	10 year lifespan		
Environmental Impact	Plant more trees. Use of environmentally friendly products and materials in scheme.		
Additional Information	Our funding is likely to attract external match funding.		
Risk Assessment	Political: an important project benefit to the community and the Economic: an award winning p visitors which in turn will help the Sociological: will create a bett can relax. Technological: none identified Legal: none identified Environmental: much improved	e Councils reputation bark may well encourage more e local economy er area within which the public	

Cost Centre	

GRFLG
-------

		Slippage:		05 000
		£5,080 £30,000		
		Approved Budget for Current Year:		
	Total approved Budget		£35,080	
Financial Commence	Expected Total Cos	t of Scheme:		£55,000
Financial Summary	Revenue	Implications:		-
	Approved by	Committee:	Full Council	
	Da	te Approved:	2	March 2010
	Minut	e Reference:		
		Actual 2009/10 £	Expected Expenditure 2010/11 £	Total Cost of Scheme £
	Cost of land :			
	Contractors:	19,036	35,083	54,119
Expenditure Analysis	Equipment/Materials:			
	Fees (External):			
	Internal Staff Salaries:	881		881
	Planning Fees/Building Regulations:			
	Other:			
	TOTAL:	19,917	35,083	55,000
MONITORING – COMMENTS ON PROGRESS (COMPLETED EACH PERIOD BY OFFICER RESPONSIBLE)				
March 2010	The reduction in the sum available for this scheme from the estimated £80,000 to £30,000 will be insufficient to carry out all the necessary works to achieve the Green Flag Award. Work however will be concentrated on repairs to paths and some limited landscaping work.			
September 2010	Work will be carried out to repair and improve the main pathways through the Castle grounds and prices have been obtained from contractors regarding various surface treatments. Some preparation work has already been carried out. Landscape improvements are underway in various key parts of the park. Some money will be retained as possible contribution to support a grant funding application for further works. It is unlikely that the extent of the work would be enough to achieve Green Flag Award status.			

		Cost Centre	GVDBV
Scheme Title		EMENT OF FORD TE	ANSIT DROP SIDE
Officer Responsible	GRAHAI	M JAGGER	
Brief Description of Scheme	Replacement of drop side truck which will be 10 years old and which was bought from a lease company in 2004/05		
Link to Council Ambitions and Priorities	To protec our area	t and enhance the exist	ing environmental quality of
Statutory or Legal Obligations	None		
Performance Management	To provide an efficient and value for money service		
Efficiency and Value for Money	It will help to maintain the efficiency and value for money service		
Consultation	None		
		Start Date:	April 2010
Key Dates	Anticipat	ed Completion Date:	Within one month
Ney Dales	Any	Other Key Dates	Description of Work
			Replacement
Useful Economic Life	6 years		
Environmental Impact	The most efficient engine will be specified to limit emissions		pecified to limit emissions
Additional Information	None		
Risk Assessment	Political: none identified Economic: none identified Sociological: none identified Technological: the latest design of the appropriate replacement will be purchased Legal: none identified Environmental: the most efficient engine will be specified to limit emissions		

	Cost Centre	GVDE	BV
	Approved Budget for Current Year:		£25,000
	Expected Total Cost of Scheme:	_	£25,089
	Revenue Implications:	-	one identified
Financial Summary	Approved by Committee:		Full Council
	Date Approved:	2	March 2010
	Minute Reference:		
		Expected Expenditure 2010/11 £	Total Cost of Scheme £
	Cost of Land:		
	Contractors		
Expenditure Analysis	Equipment/Materials:	25,089	25,089
	Fees (External):		
	Internal Staff Salaries:		
	Planning Fees/Building Regulations:	-	
	Other:		
MONITODING			25,089
MONITORING - 0	COMMENTS ON PROGRESS (COMPLETED EACH RESPONSIBLE)		ICER
March 2010	Specification for new vehicle being prepared ready to get quotes from selected suppliers in April.		
September 2010	Ordered from Walton Summit Truck Centre Ltd at a cost of £25,089. Delivery expected in October.		

	Cost Centre	PBRNG	
Scheme Title	REPAIRS TO RIVERSIDE PA	TH BRUNGERLEY	
Officer Responsible	GRAHAM JAGGER		
Brief Description of Scheme	Repairs to collapsed section of footpath along Riverside at Brungerley Park, Clitheroe and associated tree management work.		
Link to Council Ambitions and Priorities	To protect and enhance the existing environmental quality of our area		
Statutory or Legal Obligations	None		
Performance Management	Access to a popular walk through the park would be reinstated and made safe.		
Efficiency and Value for Money -			
Consultation	Great deal of local interest shown as to what steps the Council would take to reinstate the path. Advice sought of the County Council's Asset Management Service as to what options are practicable and affordable.		
	Start Date:	June 2009	
Key Dates	Anticipated Completion Date:		
Rey Dates	Any Other Key Dates	Description of Work	
Useful Economic Life	25 Years		
Environmental Impact	Improve the local environment. Will be done in consultation with the Environment Agency to avoid any impact on the adjoining river		
Additional Information	-		
Risk Assessment	Political: None Identified Economic: None Identified Sociological: None Identified Technological: None Identified Legal: None Identified Environmental: None Identified		

RNG

			£21,540		
	Additional approval for	£25,000			
	Total Approved Budge	t for the Year:		£46,540	
Financial Summary	Expected Total Co	st of Scheme:		£49,998	
,	Approved b	y Committee:	F	Full Council	
	Da	ate Approved:	10 N	larch 2009	
	Minu	te Reference:			
		Actual Expenditure 2009/10 £	Expected Expenditure 2010/11 £	Total Cost of Scheme £	
	Cost of Land:				
	Contractors:	2,098	45,900	47,998	
Expenditure	Equipment/Materials:				
Analysis	Fees (External):				
	Internal Staff Salaries:	1,360	640	2,000	
	Planning Fees/Building Regulations:				
	Other:				
	TOTAL:	3,458	46,540	49,998	
MONITORING – COMMENTS ON PROGRESS (COMPLETED EACH PERIOD BY OFFICER RESPONSIBLE)					
End June 2009	See report to Community Services Committee on 14 July 2009				
September 2010	Following decision of Committee it was agreed not to repair and reopen the section of footpath that had collapsed but to spend the money on improvements to the park. All first phase of work is now complete and £25k of funding has been awarded from LCC Aggregates Levy Fund to the Friends of Brungerley Park for the remaining work. Legal agreement with friends Group has now been entered into and work to get underway at the end of August for completion by February 2011.				
	1				

# RIBBLE VALLEY BOROUGH COUNCIL CAPITAL PROGRAMME 2010/11 CAPITAL PROJECT EVALUATION STATEMENT

Cost Centre

PCWHA

Name of Scheme	FUNDING TOWARDS RECONSTRUCTION OF WHALLEY TOILETS		
Officer Responsible	JOHN HEAP		
Description of Scheme	Rebuilding of Whalley	toilets in conjunction with Whalley Parish Council	
Benefits of Scheme	Improvement of facilitie	es for customers DDA compliance	
Project Timetable	Start Date       Completion Date       Any Other Key Dates     Description of Work		
Indication of any problems which may ultimately affect either the project timetable or final costs	No indication of any issues but scheme is being undertaken by Parish Council so scheme not under direct control of Local Authority		
Can the scheme be achieved with existing staff capacity? If not, what do you propose	Yes		
What are the revenue implications of the scheme?	None		

Cost Centre **PCWHA** 

Financial	Slippage from 2009/10: £1,4					
		Expecte	d Total Cost:		£69,999	
		Approved by Committee: Full Council				
			Date:	6	March 2007	
			Minute Ref:			
Expenditure Analysis		Actual Expenditure 2008/09 £	Actual Expenditure 2009/10 £	Expected Expenditure 2010/11 £	Total for scheme £	
	Land					
	Cost of Work					
	Retention (show separately)					
	Other Costs	59,039	9,540	1,420	69,999	
	External Fees					
	Internal Salaries					
	TOTAL	59,039	9,540	1,420	69,999	
End March 200 End Septembe 2007	RVBC nave been incorpora			alley Parish C	ouncil	
End June 200	Policy and Finance committee agreed to increase the council's contribution to the Parish Council's scheme by £20,000 to £70,000.					
End Sept 2008	Construction commenced on site. Project management on behalf of Parish Council to be provided by architect.					
End June 200	9 Scheme now nearing completion					
August 2010	Scheme completed in summer 2010. Awaiting invoice in respect of retention.					

		Cost Centre	PLAYK		
Scheme Title	IMPROVEMENTS TO CHILDREN'S PLAY AREAS				
Officer Responsible	GRAHA	M JAGGER			
Brief Description of Scheme	The primary purpose of this budget is to fund the routine maintenance of the existing children's play areas (£15,000) and the balance to be used on new and replacement play equipment and associated works in parks and open spaces				
Link to Council Ambitions and Priorities	To prote our area	ct and enhance the exist	ing environmental quality of		
Statutory or Legal Obligations	None				
Performance Management	Young people will have a safe environment within which to play				
Efficiency and Value for Money	Parks and play areas are seen by the public as a key area of the council's services as evidenced by results of the Citizen Panel Surveys				
Consultation		tion takes place with gro o most pieces of work un	ups and interested parties in dertaken		
		Start Date:			
Key Dates	Anticipa	ated Completion Date:			
Ney Dates	Any	/ Other Key Dates	Description of Work		
Useful Economic Life	6 years				
Environmental Impact	Scheme	will improve the environm	nent		
Additional Information	-				
Risk Assessment	projects Econom Sociolog well main Technol over time Legal: increase Environ	<b>conomic:</b> Funding may reduce <b>ciological:</b> Likely to be greater demand for good quality Il maintained recreational facilities <b>chnological:</b> Improved equipment will no doubt emerge			

PLAYK

		Slippage:		£10,940		
	Expected Total Cos	£48,496				
Financial Summary	Revenue	No additional				
		y Committee:		Full Council		
	Da	te Approved:	10	March 2009		
	Minu	te Reference:				
		Actual Expenditure 2009/10	Expected Expenditure 2010/11 £	Total Cost of Scheme £		
	Cost of Land:					
	Contractors:					
Funanditura Analysia	Equipment/Materials:	28,619	10,940	39,559		
Expenditure Analysis	Fees (External):					
	Internal Staff Salaries:	8,937		8,937		
	Planning Fees/Building Regulations:					
	Other:					
	TOTAL:	37,556	10,940	48,496		
MONITORING – C	COMMENTS ON PROGRESS (COMPL RESPONSIBLE)	ETED EACH P	ERIOD BY OFF	ICER		
End June 2009	Reviewing various options and obtaini priorities	ng cost estimat	es before deterr	mining		
September 2010	Balance of monies carried over is being spent on additional essential repair and maintenance work.					

		Cost Centre	PLAYL		
Scheme Title	IMPROVEMENTS TO CHILDRENS PLAY AREAS				
Officer Responsible	GRAHA	M JAGGER			
Brief Description of Scheme	To fund improvements to existing children's play areas and replace play equipment.				
Link to Council Ambitions and Priorities	To prote our area		ting environmental quality of		
Statutory or Legal Obligations	None				
Performance Management	Young people will have a safe environment within which to play.				
Efficiency and Value for Money	Play areas are seen by the public as a key area of council services.				
Consultation	Groups a	and interested parties			
		Start Date: April 2010			
Key Dates	Anticipa	bated Completion Date: Rolling programme			
	Any	Any Other Key Dates Description of V			
	1		Improvement work		
Useful Economic Life	6 years				
Environmental Impact	Environr	nental improvements			
Additional Information	Part of o	ngoing improvement wor	۲S		
Risk Assessment	is spent Econom Sociolog well main Technol over time Legal: increase Environ	<b>plitical:</b> other priorities may mean that some of this money spent on other projects <b>conomic:</b> funding may reduce <b>bciological:</b> likely to be greater demand for good quality ell maintained recreational facilities <b>cchnological:</b> improved equipment will no doubt emerge er time <b>transformed:</b> is not done there is likely to be creased legal action against the council <b>twironmental:</b> money will be spent on improvements to the vironment.			

		Cost Centre	PLAY	Ĺ	
	Approved B	udget for Current Year:		£20,000	
		Additional approvals:		£10,000	
		red budget for the year:		£10,000	
		I Total Cost of Scheme:		£30,000	
Financial Summary	Expected	Revenue Implications:		None	
		•		Full Council	
		pproved by Committee:	2	March 2010	
		Date Approved:	2	March 2010	
		Minute Reference:	Expected	Total Cost	
			Expenditure 2010/11 £	of Scheme £	
		Cost of Land:			
		Contractors:			
Expenditure Analysis		Equipment/Materials:	30,000	30,000	
		Fees (External):			
		Internal Staff Salaries:			
	Planning Fees	s/Building Regulations:			
		Other:			
		TOTAL:	30,000	30,000	
MONITORING – C	COMMENTS ON PROGRES		ERIOD BY OFF	ICER	
March 2010	RESPONSIBLE)The reduction in the annual budget from £50,000 to £20,000 will mean that after spending the normal amount of £15,000 on routine repair and maintenance work there will be only £5,000 available for new or replacement equipment. A sum of £25,000 from the original budget was to be allocated as pump priming funding to a major improvement scheme in Longridge but now that is no longer possible.				
September 2010	£15k is to be used on routine maintenance work of which a substantial amount is already spent on repairing vandalised safety surfacing on various play areas. £5k along with a similar amount from 2013/14 is set aside for a contribution to a grant funded project in Longridge.				

# RIBBLE VALLEY BOROUGH COUNCIL CAPITAL PROGRAMME 2010/11 CAPITAL PROJECT EVALUATION STATEMENT

Cost Centre

POENE

Name of Scheme	ENERGY EFFICIENC	ENERGY EFFICIENCY MEASURES TO RIBBLESDALE POOL			
Officer Responsible	SYD CHAMLEY				
Description of Scheme	Numerous measure to energy efficiency	Numerous measure to be undertaken at Ribblesdale Pool to improve energy efficiency			
Benefits of Scheme	Improved energy effici	ency, lower carbon footprint			
Project Timetable	Start Date	1 April 2007			
	Completion Date March 2009				
	Any Other Key Dates	Description of Work			
Indication of any problems which may ultimately affect either the project timetable or final costs	Feasibility of wind turb	ine			
Can the scheme be achieved with existing staff capacity? If not, what do you propose	Yes				
What are the revenue implications of the scheme?	Reduced running costs	Reduced running costs			

Cost Centre

POENE

Financial	Slippage from 2009/10: £49,0						
	Ex	pected Total Co	st of Scheme:	£83,970			
		Approved by Committee: Full Council					
			Date:		6 March 2007		
			Minute Ref:				
Expenditure Analysis		Actual Expenditure to 2008/09 £	Actual Expenditure 2009/10 £	Expected Expenditure 2010/11 £	Total Expenditure £		
	Land						
	Cost of Work	27,429	5,639	49,080	82,148		
	Retention (show separately)						
	Other Costs - Electrical						
	External Fees						
	Internal Salaries	1,793	29		1,822		
	TOTAL	29,222	5,668	49,080	83,970		
End Sept 200	<ul> <li>Planning permission obtained for wind turbine. However feasibility study being carried out on average wind speed for site. Currently indicating that wind turbin is not feasible.</li> <li>Due to shortfall of boiler replacement scheme (PBOIL) intention is to utilise this scheme to supplement boiler replacement. Funding currently being sought for contribution towards solar hot water panels.</li> </ul>						
End June 2008	£20,500 overspend on Pool Boiler scheme in 2007/08 offset against slippage on this scheme. In addition expenditure of £8,700 in 08/09 re works to air conditioning also charged to this scheme. Investigation into possibility of solar panels to be carried out.						
End Sept 200	Sept 2008 Continuing to investigate the possibility of the use of solar panels.						
End June 2009	Continuing investigatio	Continuing investigations					
August 2010	Investigations over wind turbine and solar panels have showed that they are not cost effective proposals at this point in time. However, some new low energy lighting was provided in male and female changing rooms in 2009. In late 2010 we propose to renew the lighting in the main swimming pool area. Tender costs for these works are currently being obtained.						

		Cost Centre	POOLF		
Scheme Title	MAIN POOL FILTER REPLACEMENT AND PLANT ROOM MAINTENANCE				
Officer Responsible	CHRIS	HUGHES			
Brief Description of Scheme	Main pool refurbishment				
Link to Council Ambitions and Priorities	To help make peoples lives safer and healthier				
Statutory or Legal Obligations	Failure to provide suitably clean water may result in enforced pool closure and claims against the Council.				
Performance Management	Swimming pool admissions and subsidy per user of this facility				
Efficiency and Value for Money	Reduction of water requirements and more cost effective filtration				
Consultation	None				
		Start Date:	December 2010		
Key Dates	Anticip	ated Completion Date:	January 2011		
Ney Dales	An	y Other Key Dates	Description of Work		
			Refurbishment		
Useful Economic Life	15 years	3			
Environmental Impact	Reductio	on of water requirements			
Additional Information	None				
Risk Assessment	<ul> <li>Political: improved customer satisfaction</li> <li>Economic: reduced income if water quality suffers</li> <li>Sociological: reduced ability to deliver healthy lifestyle</li> <li>Technological: none identified</li> <li>Legal: none identified</li> <li>Environmental: none identified</li> </ul>				

		Cost Centre	POOL	_F	
	Approved B	udget for Current Year:		£20,000	
	Expected	Total Cost of Scheme:		£20,000	
		Revenue Implications:	No	one identified	
Financial Summary	A	pproved by Committee:		Full Council	
		Date Approved:	2	March 2010	
		Minute Reference:			
			Expected Expenditure 2010/11 £	Total Cost of Scheme £	
		Cost of Land:			
		Contractors:			
Expenditure Analysis		Equipment/Materials:	20,000	20,000	
	Fees (External):				
	Internal Staff Salaries:				
	Planning Fees				
		Other:			
		TOTAL:	20,000	20,000	
MONITORING – C	COMMENTS ON PROGRES	S (COMPLETED EACH P NSIBLE)	ERIOD BY OFF	ICER	
March 2010	The inspection of the 2 mains in the removal of bacterial of affect the water quality. The December 2010. It is antice existing valves serving the undertaken within the cost	in pool filters and replacen contamination is now over e work is planned for the r ipated that there will be a circulation to the filters at	due and is begin normal closure p need to replace this time and thi	nning to period; some of the	
August 2010	Work is still planned for the normal closure period in December 2010.				

		Cost Centre	REBIN		
Scheme Title	PROVISION OF NEW AND REPLACEMENT WHEELED BINS				
Officer Responsible	GRAHAM JAGGER				
Brief Description of Scheme	To provide wheeled bins to newly built properties and to replace those bins damaged, lost, stolen or at the end of their useful life				
Link to Council Ambitions and Priorities	To protec area	t and enhance the existir	ng environmental quality of our		
Statutory or Legal Obligations	There are both National and European directives which require us to recycle waste and minimise the amount of waste going to landfill				
Performance Management	An efficient and effective way of collecting waste and recycling				
Efficiency and Value for Money	Use of wheeled bins is an efficient and effective way of collecting waste. The cost per property of our refuse collection service is the lowest in the county and one of the lowest in the North West				
Consultation	The introduction of wheeled bins was the subject to extensive investigation and much debate				
		Start Date:	April 2010		
Key Dates	Anticipa	ted Completion Date:	Ongoing		
Ney Dales	Any	Other Key Dates	Description of Work		
			Replacement		
Useful Economic Life	N/A				
Environmental Impact	None				
Additional Information	The purchase of wheeled bins is essential to maintain this statutory service				
Risk Assessment	Political: none identified Economic: none identified Sociological: none identified Technological: none identified Legal: none identified Environmental: none identified				

	Cost Centre	REB	BIN
	Approved Budget for Current Year:		£20,000
	Expected Total Cost of Scheme:		£20,000
	Revenue Implications:		None
Financial Summary	Approved by Committee:		Full Council
	Date Approved:	2	March 2010
	Minute Reference:		
		Expected Expenditure 2010/11 £	Total Cost of Scheme £
	Cost of Land:		
	Contractors:		
Expenditure Analysis	Equipment/Materials:	20,000	20,000
	Fees (External):		
	Internal Staff Salaries:		
	Planning Fees/Building Regulations:		
	Other: TOTAL:	20,000	20,000
	COMMENTS ON PROGRESS (COMPLETED EACH RESPONSIBLE)		-
March 2010	Quotations obtained and order placed for delivery order to meet outstanding requests for new and rep		
September 2010	1200 Wheeled bins purchased at £20k. Over 200 in stock for use during the rest of the year.	already used and	d others held

E

		Cost Centre	RVFRJ
Scheme Title	REPLACE REFUSE COLLECTION VEHICLE VO52 FRJ		
Officer Responsible	GRAHAN	JAGGER	
Brief Description of Scheme	Replace refuse collection and recycling vehicle		
Link to Council Ambitions and Priorities	To protect and enhance the existing environmental quality of our area		
Statutory or Legal Obligations	None		
Performance Management	To continue to provide an efficient and effective refuse collection and recycling service		
Efficiency and Value for Money	High quality equipment helps improve the efficiency of service delivery and keeps operational costs down by reducing breakdown and maintenance costs		
Consultation	Staff involved in the delivery of the service feed back on the quality of the equipment they use which is taken into consideration when deciding what to buy		
		Start Date:	April 2010
Key Dates	Anticipa	d Completion Date: Within six months	
	Any	Other Key Dates	Description of Work
			Replacement
Useful Economic Life	7 years		
Environmental Impact	New vehicles will be more environmentally friendly reducing emissions and be fuel efficient		
Additional Information	Part of a rolling programme		
Risk Assessment	<ul> <li>Political: more emphasis may be placed on the need to recycle more</li> <li>Economic: price of materials recycled will vary on the global market</li> <li>Sociological: increased desire form public for recycling</li> <li>Technological: vehicle technology will change over time</li> <li>Legal: may be a legislative change which affects service</li> <li>Environmental: increased emphasis likely to be placed on recycling over time.</li> </ul>		

	Cost Centre	RVF	RI
	Cost Centre		
	Approved Budget for Current Year:		£195,000
	Expected Total Cost of Scheme:	£204,252	
	Revenue Implications:	None	
Financial Summary	Approved by Committee:	Full Council	
	Date Approved:	2 March 2010	
	Minute Reference:		
		Expected Expenditure 2010/11 £	Total Cost of Scheme £
	Cost of Land:		
	Contractors:		
Expenditure Analysis	Equipment/Materials:	204,252	204,252
	Fees (External):		
	Internal Staff Salaries:		
	Planning Fees/Building Regulations:		
	Other:		
	TOTAL:	204,252	204,252
MONITORING -	COMMENTS ON PROGRESS (COMPLETED EACH RESPONSIBLE)	PERIOD BY OFF	ICER
March 2010	Specification for replacement vehicle sent to Yorkshire Purchasing Organisation to obtain tenders through their Framework Contract. Prices should be back on 8 <sup>th</sup> March so order can be placed as soon as possible. Delivery period expected to be 22 weeks approximately so vehicles should be in service in September 2010.		
September 2010	Ordered from Dennis Eagle Ltd at a cost of £204,252. Delivery expected late October.		

E

		Cost Centre	RVFRK
Scheme Title	REPLACE REFUSE COLLECTION VEHICLE VO52 FRK		
Officer Responsible	GRAHA	JAGGER	
Brief Description of Scheme	Replace refuse collection and recycling vehicle		
Link to Council Ambitions and Priorities	To protect and enhance the existing environmental quality of our area		
Statutory or Legal Obligations	None		
Performance Management	To continue to provide an efficient and effective refuse collection and recycling service		
Efficiency and Value for Money	High quality equipment helps improve the efficiency of service delivery and keeps operational costs down by reducing breakdown and maintenance costs		
Consultation	Staff involved in the delivery of the service feed back on the quality of the equipment they use which is taken into consideration when deciding what to buy		
		Start Date:	April 2010
Key Dates	Anticipa	ted Completion Date: Within six months	
	Any	Other Key Dates	Description of Work
			Replacement
Useful Economic Life	7 years		
Environmental Impact	New vehicles will be more environmentally friendly reducing emissions and be fuel efficient		
Additional Information	Part of a rolling programme		
Risk Assessment	<ul> <li>Political: more emphasis may be placed on the need to recycle more</li> <li>Economic: price of materials recycled will vary on the global market</li> <li>Sociological: increased desire form public for recycling</li> <li>Technological: vehicle technology will change over time</li> <li>Legal: may be a legislative change which affects service</li> <li>Environmental: increased emphasis likely to be placed on recycling over time.</li> </ul>		

		Cost Centre	RVF	RK
	Approved Bu	dget for Current Year:		£195,000
		Total Cost of Scheme:	£203,514	
	-	Revenue Implications:	None	
Financial Summary	Ар	proved by Committee:	Full Council	
	Date Approved:		2 March 2010	
		Minute Reference:		
			Expected Expenditure 2010/11 £	Total Cost of Scheme £
		Cost of Land:		
		Contractors:		
Expenditure Analysis		Equipment/Materials:		203,514
		Fees (External):		
		Internal Staff Salaries:		
	Planning Fees/	Building Regulations:		
		Other:		
		TOTAL:	203,514	203,514
MONITORING – C	OMMENTS ON PROGRESS RESPO	S (COMPLETED EACH I NSIBLE)	PERIOD BY OFF	ICER
March 2010	Specification for replacement vehicle sent to Yorkshire Purchasing Organisation to obtain tenders through their Framework Contract. Prices should be back on 8 March so order can be placed as soon as possible. Delivery period expected to be 22 weeks approximately so vehicles should be in service in September 2010.			
September 2010	Ordered from Geesink Norba at a cost of £203,514. Delivery expected late October.			

		Cost Centre	SCMOW
Scheme Title	REPLACE SCAG MOWERS		
Officer Responsible	GRAHAM JAGGER		
Brief Description of Scheme	Replace walk behind and bank mowers		
Link to Council Ambitions and Priorities	To protect and enhance the existing environmental quality of our area		
Statutory or Legal Obligations	None		
Performance Management	To help to continue to provide an efficient and effective grounds maintenance service		
Efficiency and Value for Money	To maintain the efficiency and value for money of the service		
Consultation	None		
		Start Date:	April 2010
Key Dates	Anticipa	ted Completion Date:	Within one month
	Any	Other Key Dates	Description of Work
			Replacements
Useful Economic Life	5 years		
Environmental Impact	The most efficient engine will be specified to limit emissions		
Additional Information	None		
Risk Assessment	Political: non identified Economic: none identified Sociological: none identified Technological: the latest design of the appropriate replacement will be purchased Legal: none identified Environmental: the most efficient engine will be specified to limit emissions		
	Cost Centre	SCMC	W
----------------------	--	---	---------------------------------
	Approved Budget for Current Year:		£14,000
	Expected Total Cost of Scheme:		£9,907
	Revenue Implications:		None
Financial Summary	Approved by Committee:		Full Council
	Date Approved:	2	March 2010
	Minute Reference:		
		Expected Expenditure 2010/11 £	Total Cost of Scheme £
	Cost of Land:		
	Contractors:		
Expenditure Analysis	Equipment/Materials:	9,907	9,907
	Fees (External):		
	Internal Staff Salaries:		
	Planning Fees/Building Regulations:		
	Other:		
	TOTAL:	9,907	9,907
MONITORING – C	COMMENTS ON PROGRESS (COMPLETED EACH P RESPONSIBLE)	ERIOD BY OFF	ICER
March 2010	Quotations for replacements being obtained so new equipment can be in use as soon as possible.		
September 2010	2 new mowers ordered from Stuart Taylor Interna Delivered in August and now in use.	itional at a cos	t of £9,907.

		Cost Centre	SPARK	
Scheme Title S		SALTHILL PLAY AREA		
Officer Responsible	GRAHAM JAGGER			
Brief Description of Scheme	Provision of new play equipment and landscaping works in partnership with Tower Hill Youth Action Group			
Link to Council Ambitions and Priorities	To make	peoples lives safer and l	nealthier	
Statutory or Legal Obligations	Duty of c	are to ensure site is safe	to use	
Performance Management				
Efficiency and Value for Money	Project sustainability funded from external sources			
Consultation	Partners LCC	hip working with Tower	Hill Youth Action Group and	
	Start Da	te:	March 2010	
Key Dates	Anticipa	ted Completion Date:	July 2010	
Ney Dales	Any Oth	er Key Dates	Description of Work	
Useful Economic Life	Equipment will require replacing in 5-7 years			
Environmental Impact	Site appearance improved and equipment if used correctly whelp users keep fit and healthy None			
Additional Information	Work was phase 1 of a larger project			
Risk Assessment	Political: None identified Economic: None Identified Sociological: None identified Technological: None identified Legal: None Identified Environmental: None Identified			

SPAR	Κ
------	---

		Slippage:		£38,310	
	Expected Total Co			£57,983	
Financial	•	by Committee:	Community Services		
Summary	Date Approved:			14 July 2009	
	Minu	ute Reference:	1		
		Actual Expenditure 2009/10 £	Expected Expenditure 2010/11 £	Total Cost of Scheme £	
	Cost of Land:				
	Contractors:	19,583	38,310	57,983	
Expenditure	Equipment/Materials:				
Analysis	Fees (External):				
	Internal Staff Salaries:				
	Planning Fees/Building Regulations:				
	Other (Grants):				
	TOTAL:	19,583	38,310	57,893	
MONITORING	- COMMENTS ON PROGRESS (C RESPONSI		ACH PERIOD B	Y OFFICER	
September 2010	Work complete and new equipm from playbuilders fund. Aggrega changes to landscaping will incre	te levy funding	received. New	equipment and	

		Cost Centre	UVLET
		ATION OF ULTRA VIC	DLET EQUIPMENT TO
Officer Responsible	CHRIS HUGHES		
Brief Description of Scheme	The UV supplements chemical treatment of the water in ensuring that all forms of potentially dangerous forms of bacteria are killed.		
Link to Council Ambitions and Priorities	To make	peoples lives safer and h	ealthier.
Statutory or Legal Obligations	UV is an additional preventative measure safeguarding the health of swimmers.		
Performance Management	Customer satisfaction levels		
Efficiency and Value for Money	Reduced	d expenditure on chemica	consumption
Consultation		lowered the combined of same is expected in the	chlorine levels in the small main pool.
		Start Date:	December 2010
Key Dates	Anticipa	ated Completion Date:	January 2011
Ney Dales	Any	y Other Key Dates	Description of Work
			Improvements
Useful Economic Life	15 years		
Environmental Impact	None		
Additional Information	None		
Risk Assessment		ogical:	

		Cost Centre	UVLE	Г
	Approved B	udget for Current Year:		£10,000
	Expected	Total Cost of Scheme:		£10,000
		Revenue Implications:	Nc	one identified
Financial Summary	Approved by Committee: Full Con		Full Council	
		Date Approved:	2	March 2010
		Minute Reference:		
			Expected Expenditure 2010/11 £	Total Cost of Scheme £
		Cost of Land:		
		Contractors:		
Expenditure Analysis		Equipment/Materials:	10,000	10,000
		Fees (External):		
		Internal Staff Salaries:		
	Planning Fees	/Building Regulations:		
		Other:		
		TOTAL:	10,000	10,000
MONITORING – COMMENTS ON PROGRESS (COMPLETED EACH PERIOD BY OFFICER RESPONSIBLE)				
March 2010	The installation of UV equipment to the main pool is expected to improve water quality, reduce combined chlorine readings and save on chemicals. The work is planned for the December closure period 2010. Quotations have been obtained.			
August 2010	Work is still planned to b	e completed during the clo 2010.	osure period in I	December

		Cost Centre	VLIFT	
Scheme Title	PURCHA	ASE OF VEHICLE LIFT	ING EQUIPMENT	
Officer Responsible	GRAHAM JAGGER			
Brief Description of Scheme	axle vehic		lifting columns in order to lift 3 op in order to carry out repairs	
Link to Council Ambitions and Priorities	To protec area	t and enhance the existir	g environmental quality of our	
Statutory or Legal Obligations	larger 3 a		or us to use it to get under the nly way is to lift them up in the	
Performance Management		keep our fleet properly r rried out to the benefit of	naintained so that the service residents	
Efficiency and Value for Money	The alternative is to send the vehicles out to a commercial repairer at both added cost and service inconvenience			
Consultation	As discussed with the vehicle workshop manager who is the holder of the Certificate of Technical Competence for the Council in order for us to hold an "O" licence for our vehicles			
		Start Date:	April 2010	
Key Dates	Anticipated Completion Date:			
	Any	Other Key Dates	Description of Work	
Useful Economic Life	8 years			
Environmental Impact	None			
Additional Information	Our vehicle pit is not long enough for us to use it to get under 3 axle vehicles and so the only way is to lift them up in the workshop using these axle lifts			
Risk Assessment	Political: none identified Economic: none identified Sociological: none identified Technological: none identified Legal: none identified Environmental: none identified			

	Cost Centre	VLI	FT
	Approved Budget for Current Year:		£8,000
	Expected Total Cost of Scheme:		£5,500
	Revenue Implications:		None
Financial Summary	Approved by Committee: Full C		Full Council
	Date Approved:	2	March 2010
	Minute Reference:		r
		Expected Expenditure 2010/11 £	Total Cost of Scheme £
	Cost of Land:		
	Contractors:		
Expenditure Analysis	Equipment/Materials:	5,500	5,500
	Fees (External):		
	Internal Staff Salaries:		
	Planning Fees/Building Regulations:		
	Other:		
	TOTAL:	5,500	5,500
	COMMENTS ON PROGRESS (COMPLETED EACH I RESPONSIBLE)	PERIOD BY OFF	FICER
March 2010	Quotation obtained from supplier and order to be placed for delivery in early April.		
September 2010	Ordered from Somerstotalk are at a cost of £5,500 a	and in use from n	nid April.

		Cost Centre	WVCOH
Scheme Title	REPLAC	E IVEC TIPPER PO54	СОН
Officer Responsible	GRAHAI	I JAGGER	
Brief Description of Scheme	Replace Iveco purchased in 2004		
Link to Council Ambitions and Priorities		vell managed council pro ed customer needs	widing efficient services based
Statutory or Legal Obligations	None		
Performance Management	To continue to provide an efficient and effective general works service		
Efficiency and Value for Money	Without this vehicle we would have to hire one each time adding cost to the revenue budget or services could not be carried out efficiently		
Consultation		al staff will be involv ent vehicle	red with the choice of the
		Start Date:	April 2010
Key Dates	Anticipa	ted Completion Date:	Within one month
	Any	Other Key Dates	Description of Work
			Replacement
Useful Economic Life	7 years		
Environmental Impact	The most	efficient engine will be sp	pecified to limit emissions
Additional Information	The section is looking to take on more work as a result of the Public Realm Integration Project being rolled out by LCC		
Risk Assessment Political: none identified Economic: none identified Sociological: none identified Technological: the latest design of the appropriate replace will be purchased Legal: none identified Environmental: the most efficient engine will be specified emissions			

		Cost Centre	WVC	ОН
	Approved Bu	udget for Current Year:		£30,900
		Total Cost of Scheme:		£23,100
		Revenue Implications:		None
Financial Summary	Ар	proved by Committee:		Full Council
		Date Approved:	2	March 2010
		Minute Reference:		
			Expected Expenditure 2010/11 £	Total Cost of Scheme £
		Cost of Land:		
		Contractors:		
Expenditure Analysis		Equipment/Materials:	23,100	23,100
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Fees (External):		
		Internal Staff Salaries:		
	Planning Fees	/Building Regulations:		
		Other:		
		TOTAL:	23,100	23,100
	COMMENTS ON PROGRES RESPO	S (COMPLETED EACH I NSIBLE)	PERIOD BY OFF	ICER
March 2010	Specification for replacem for new vehicle.	ent vehicle being prepare	ed ready to obtai	n quotations
September 2010	Ordered from Pye Motors Ltd at a cost of £23,100. Delivery expected in October.			

		Cost Centre	WVCOJ
Scheme Title	REPLAC	E IVECO TIPPER PO	54COJ
Officer Responsible	GRAHA	M JAGGER	
Brief Description of Scheme	Replace tipper		
Link to Council Ambitions and Priorities	To be a based on	well managed council identified customer needs	providing efficient services
Statutory or Legal Obligations	None		
Performance Management	To help to continue to provide an efficient and effective general works service		
Efficiency and Value for Money	To mainta	ain the efficiency and valu	e for money service
Consultation	None		
		Start Date:	April 2010
Key Dates	Anticipa	ted Completion Date:	Within one month
	Any	Other Key Dates	Description of Work
			replacement
Useful Economic Life	10 years		
Environmental Impact	The most	efficient engine will be sp	ecified to limit emissions
Additional Information	None		
Risk Assessment	Economic Sociologio Technolog will be pu Legal: no	rchased ne identified ental: the most efficient	the appropriate replacement engine will be specified to

	Cost Centre	WVCOJ		
	Approved Budget for Current Year:		£30,900	
Financial Summary	Expected Total Cost of Scheme:	£30,923		
	Revenue Implications:		None	
			Full Council	
	Date Approved:	2 March 2010		
	Minute Reference:			
		Expected Expenditure 2010/11 £	Total Cost of Scheme £	
	Cost of Land:			
	Contractors:			
Expenditure Analysis	Equipment/Materials:	30,923	30,923	
	Fees (External):			
	Internal Staff Salaries:			
	Planning Fees/Building Regulations:			
	Other:			
	TOTAL:	30,923	30,923	
MONITORING – (	COMMENTS ON PROGRESS (COMPLETED EACH P RESPONSIBLE)	ERIOD BY OFF	ICER	
March 2010	Specification for replacement vehicle being prepared ready to obtain quotes for new vehicle.			
September 2010	Ordered from Walton Summit Truck Centre Ltd at a cost of £30,923. Delivery expected in October.			

		Cost Centre	WVEVM	
Scheme Title	REPLACE JCB – V955EVM			
Officer Responsible	GRAHA	M JAGGER		
Brief Description of Scheme	Replace JCB digger which will be 11 years old at the time of replacement			
Link to councils ambitions and priorities	To be a well managed council providing efficient services based on identified customer needs			
Statutory or Legal Obligations	Key piece of equipment so that work can be carried out efficiently			
Performance Management	To provide an efficient and effective general works service			
Efficiency and Value for Money	The use of this machinery is a safe and efficient way of carrying out various projects and services.			
Consultation	Staff who are trained to use this item of machinery will be involved in the choice of the replacement			
		Start Date:	April 2010	
Key Dates	Anticipa	ated Completion Date:		
Rey Dales	Any	/ Other Key Dates	Description of Work	
Useful Economic Life	10 years			
Environmental Impact The most efficient engine will be specified to limit en			pecified to limit emissions	
Additional Information	Without this piece of equipment graves would have to be dug manually or a suitable vehicle hired			
Risk Assessment Political: none identified   Economic: none identified Sociological: none identified   Technological: the latest design of the appropriative Will be purchased   Legal: none identified Environmental: the most efficient engine will be solimit emissions				

	Cost Centre	WVEVM		
	Approved Budget for Current Year:		£25,700	
			£21,237	
	Revenue Implications:	None		
Financial Summary	Approved by Committee:	Full Council		
	Date Approved:	2 March 2010		
	Minute Reference:			
		Expected Expenditure 2010/11 £	Total Cost of Scheme £	
	Cost of Land:			
	Contractors:			
Expenditure Analysis	Equipment/Materials:	21,237	21,237	
	Fees (External):			
	Internal Staff Salaries:			
	Planning Fees/Building Regulations:			
	Other: TOTAL:	21,237	21,237	
	COMMENTS ON PROGRESS (COMPLETED EACH F RESPONSIBLE)	PERIOD BY OFF	ICER	
March 2010	Options for replacement being considered prior to obtaining quotations.			
September 2010	Ordered from JCB Gunn Ltd at a cost of £21,237. Delivery expected November.			

		Cost Centre	WVYXF	
Scheme Title	REPLACE PE54 YXF			
Officer Responsible	GRAHAM JAGGER			
Brief Description of Scheme	Replace ford ranger super cab pick up			
Link to Council Ambitions and Priorities	To be a well managed council providing efficient services based on identified customer needs.			
Statutory or Legal Obligations	None			
Performance Management	To provide an efficient and effective general works service			
Efficiency and Value for Money	To maintain the efficiency and value for money of the service			
Consultation	None			
		Start Date:	April 2010	
Key Dates	Anticipated Completion Date: Within one month		Within one month	
	Any	Other Key Dates	Description of Work	
			Replacement	
Useful Economic Life	7 years			
Environmental Impact	The most efficient engine will be specified to limit emissions			
Additional Information	None			
Risk Assessment	Political: none identified Economic: none identified Sociological: none identified Technological: the latest design of the most appropriate replacement will be purchased Legal: none identified Environmental: the most efficient engine will be specified to limit emissions			

		Cost Centre	WVYXF	
	Approved Bu		£15,400	
Financial Summary	Expected	Total Cost of Scheme:	£14,200	
		Revenue Implications:	None	
	Ар	proved by Committee:	Full Council	
		Date Approved:	2 March 2010	
		Minute Reference:		
			Expected Expenditure 2010/11 £	Total Cost of Scheme £
		Cost of Land:		
		Contractors:		
Expenditure Analysis		Equipment/Materials:	14,200	14,200
		Fees (External):		
		Internal Staff Salaries:		
	Planning Fees	/Building Regulations:		
		Other:		
		TOTAL:	14,200	14,200
MONITORING – C	OMMENTS ON PROGRES RESPO	S (COMPLETED EACH I NSIBLE)	PERIOD BY OFF	ICER
March 2010	Options for replacement being considered prior to obtaining quotations.			
September 2010	Ordered from Rufus Carr Ltd at a cost of £14,200. Delivery expected October.			