

RIBBLE VALLEY BOROUGH COUNCIL DECISION
REPORT TO COMMUNITY SERVICES COMMITTEE

Agenda Item No 11

meeting date: 15 JANUARY 2013
 title: PROPOSED CAPITAL PROGRAMME 2013/16
 submitted by: DIRECTOR OF RESOURCES
 principal author: LAWSON ODDIE

1 PURPOSE

1.1 To approve the proposed future three-year capital programme (2013/14-2015/16) for this committee.

2 BACKGROUND

2.1 This report will review the draft programme of schemes to be carried out in the following three years (2013/14 to 2015/16) based on the bids that have been received from Heads of Service.

2.2 Schemes were previously requested at this time last year for the 2013/14 and 2014/15 financial years. However, no bids have previously been requested for the 2015/16 financial year.

2.3 In the same manner as last financial year, all Heads of Service were asked to submit capital bids, bearing in mind the limited financial resources that are available to finance the capital programme. Heads of Service were asked to put forward schemes which were the absolute basic requirement to keep the council's services running.

3 DRAFT PROGRAMME 2013/14 TO 2015/16

3.1 Heads of Service were asked to review the current programme and submit any new scheme bids for consideration. Annex 1 shows the scheme bids for this Committee in detail and how each particular scheme links to the Council's ambitions. Annex 2 shows a summary table of the financial impact for each financial year.

3.2 It should be noted that at this stage these are only potential bids that will also require further consideration by the Budget Working Group and by Policy and Finance Committee who will want to make sure that it is affordable, both in capital and revenue terms.

3.3 Members should therefore consider the forward programme as attached and put forward any amendments you may wish to make at this stage.

3.4 A summary of the three-year programme for Community Services Committee is shown below:

Schemes	2013/14 £	2014/15 £	2015/16 £	TOTAL £
Previously Approved	13,000	0	200,000	213,000
New Bids	580,000	250,000	189,000	1,019,000
Total of all Bids	593,000	250,000	389,000	1,232,000

3.5 Funding has been confirmed from the Government for the bid for the Retention of Weekly Collection of Residual Waste (Bid 7 at Annex 1). The balance of the funding for the schemes at paragraph 3.4 would need to be funded internally, as shown in the table below.

Funding	2013/14 £	2014/15 £	2015/16 £	TOTAL £
Total of all Bids	593,000	250,000	389,000	1,232,000
External Funding Confirmed from the Government	-540,000	-210,000	0	-750,000
Balance to be Internally Funded	53,000	40,000	389,000	482,000

3.6 As can be seen at Annex 2, it is proposed to move one of the previously approved schemes from the 2014/15 financial year to 2015/16 (£200,000). The scheme is for a replacement refuse vehicle, and has been enabled following the successful grant application for BID 7, detailed above, which has allowed the reprogramming of the remainder of the refuse collection vehicle fleet replacement.

3.7 The level of the council's capital resources available to fund those bids that are not supported by external funding is currently low.

3.8 It must also be noted that the bids shown here represent only those that have been submitted with regard to this committee's services. Other committees will be receiving similar reports, and all bids will finally be considered alongside each other by the Budget Working Group and Policy and Finance Committee in relation to the limited internal funding available.

4 RISK ASSESSMENT

4.1 The approval of this report may have the following implications

- Resources – The proposals as submitted in the bid forms would require a substantial level of funding from council resources (£482,000). It is very pleasing to have a substantial portion (£528,000) of the proposed programme fully funded from external Government grant.
- Technical, Environmental and Legal – None
- Political – None
- Reputation – Sound financial planning for known capital commitments safeguards the reputation of the council
- Equality and Diversity – Equality and Diversity issues are examined as part of the capital bid appraisal process.

5 CONCLUSION

5.1 Bids were initially invited for the 2015/16 financial year, however additional bids have also been submitted for the 2013/14 and 2014/15 financial years. This is particularly for the scheme behind the successful grant application to the Government for the retention of the weekly collection of residual waste which will purchase new green waste bins and two new replacement refuse collection vehicles.

5.2 There are a number of additional schemes submitted that have no associated external funding, yet the Council's existing capital resources to fund such schemes are currently low.

6 RECOMMENDED THAT COMMITTEE

6.1 Consider the future three-year programme for 2013/14 to 2015/16 as attached and agree any amendments you wish to make.

6.2 Recommend to Policy and Finance Committee a future three-year capital programme for this committee's services.

HEAD OF FINANCIAL SERVICES

DIRECTOR OF RESOURCES

CM4-13/LO/AC
2 January 2013

For further background information please ask for Lawson Oddie extension 4541.

BACKGROUND PAPERS – None

**Community Services Committee
New Capital Bid Submissions**

BID 1: *Replacement of 4x4 Tractor/Mower(PN04 NPZ)*

Service Area: Grounds Maintenance

Head of Service: Chris Hughes

Brief Description:

Replacement of tractor which will be 11 years old in 2015 and past its useful life.

Overriding aim/ambition that the scheme meets:

To protect and enhance the existing environmental quality of our area.

Government or other imperatives to the undertaking of this scheme:

It is the only 4x4 mower and so is used for more challenging terrain. It is also used for snow clearance in winter.

Improving service performance, efficiency and value for money:

Replacement would enable the service to maintain current standards in both Council and contracted work.

Consultation:

None

Start Date, duration and key milestones:

April 2015

Financial Implications – CAPITAL:

Breakdown	2013/14 £	2014/15 £	2015/16 £
Equipment and Materials	-	-	17,000

Financial Implications – ANNUAL REVENUE:

Breakdown	£
Existing Service – no change	-

**Community Services Committee
New Capital Bid Submissions**

Useful economic life:

The mower is used on a daily basis, although not intensively, therefore the projected life would be 10 years.

Additional supporting information:

The current tractor mower was bought at the end of its lease in order to relieve the burden on the capital programme. It is now so old that a full replacement is required.

Impact on the environment:

Fuel efficiency and emissions will be taken into consideration.

Risk:

- **Political:** *Not replacing may result in a reduction in service and an inability to fulfil external contract work.*
- **Economic:** *None*
- **Sociological:** *None*
- **Technological:** *The replacement will embrace the latest technological advances.*
- **Legal:** *None*
- **Environmental:** *None*

**Community Services Committee
New Capital Bid Submissions**

BID 2: *Play Area Improvements*

Service Area: Play Areas

Head of Service: Chris Hughes

Brief Description:

Provide a fund for maintaining and improving the Council's seventeen play areas.

Overriding aim/ambition that the scheme meets:

To help make people's lives safer and healthier.

Government or other imperatives to the undertaking of this scheme:

The scheme will address emerging health and safety concerns over the condition of equipment within play areas.

Improving service performance, efficiency and value for money:

The scheme is vital to maintaining and improving current standards. In some instances equipment can be repaired, extending its life and therefore reducing expensive replacement costs.

Consultation:

The scheme is as a result of an independent assessment of current play areas and an increase in the number of complaints relating to the removal and non-replacement of equipment and the general appearance/condition of facilities.

Start Date, duration and key milestones:

April 2013 and would need to be an annual rolling programme in future years.

Financial Implications – CAPITAL:

Breakdown	2013/14 £	2014/15 £	2015/16 £
Contractors	10,000	10,000	10,000
Equipment and Materials	20,000	20,000	20,000
Internal Staff	10,000	10,000	10,000
TOTAL	40,000	40,000	40,000

**Community Services Committee
New Capital Bid Submissions**

Financial Implications – ANNUAL REVENUE:

Breakdown		£
Existing Service – no change		-

Useful economic life:

The life expectancy varies, depending on the type of equipment purchased. Location and intensity of use is also a factor.

Additional supporting information:

The bid assumes there will be no external funding to support it at this time. However, there may be Section 106 contributions from housing developments to reduce the overall net impact of the scheme in future years.

Impact on the environment:

No comments made.

Risk:

- **Political:** *A deterioration in the standard of facilities will have a detrimental effect on the reputation of the Council.*
- **Economic:** *None*
- **Sociological:** *None*
- **Technological:** *None*
- **Legal:** *None*
- **Environmental:** *None*

**Community Services Committee
New Capital Bid Submissions**

BID 3: Replacement of Kubota Mower PN05 PLO

Service Area: Grounds Maintenance

Head of Service: Chris Hughes

Brief Description:

Replacement of existing mowing machine, which will be 10 years old in 2015 and past its useful life.

Overriding aim/ambition that the scheme meets:

To protect and enhance the existing environmental quality of our area.

Government or other imperatives to the undertaking of this scheme:

The machine is used intensively on a daily basis during the growing season and so non replacement would mean essential work not being carried out.

Improving service performance, efficiency and value for money:

It would enable the service to maintain its current standards for both Council and contracted work (Parishes, LCC, private contracts).

Consultation:

None.

Start Date, duration and key milestones:

April 2015

Financial Implications – CAPITAL:

Breakdown	2013/14 £	2014/15 £	2015/16 £
Equipment and Materials	-	-	19,000

Financial Implications – ANNUAL REVENUE

Breakdown	£
Existing Service – no change	-

Useful economic life:

This machine is used intensively on a daily basis during the growing season and the recommended replacement period is 5 years, after which revenue costs increase due to more frequent repairs/maintenance. Increased breakdowns also mean a disruption to the service.

**Community Services Committee
New Capital Bid Submissions**

Additional supporting information:

No comment made.

Impact on the environment:

By purchasing the most fuel efficient/low emissions model available.

Risk:

- **Political:** *Not replacing may result in a reduction in service and an inability to fulfil external contract work.*
- **Economic:** *None.*
- **Sociological:** *None.*
- **Technological:** *The replacement will embrace the latest technological advances.*
- **Legal:** *None.*
- **Environmental:** *Growing seasons appear to be extending due to recent weather patterns, increasing demand/use of equipment.*

**Community Services Committee
New Capital Bid Submissions**

BID 4: *Replace Scag Mower*

Service Area: Grounds Maintenance

Head of Service: Chris Hughes

Brief Description:

Scag mowers are large pedestrian mowers used in areas where ride on mowers cannot access (they are larger and less manoeuvrable than Tri Star Mowers). They are predominately used on play areas, verges and Parish work.

Overriding aim/ambition that the scheme meets:

To protect and enhance the existing environmental quality of our area.

Government or other imperatives to the undertaking of this scheme:

We could not maintain the current level of service in both Council and contracted work.

Improving service performance, efficiency and value for money:

Mowers will be eight years old at the time of proposed replacement. Average life expectancy of this type of mower is 6 years, after which repair and maintenance costs increase, along with downtime.

Consultation:

None.

Start Date, duration and key milestones:

April 2015

Financial Implications – CAPITAL:

Breakdown	2013/14 £	2014/15 £	2015/16 £
Equipment and Materials	-	-	9,000

Financial Implications – ANNUAL REVENUE:

Breakdown	£
Existing Service – no change	-

Useful economic life:

Standard life expectancy for this type of mower is 5-7 years, depending on frequency/intensity of use.

**Community Services Committee
New Capital Bid Submissions**

Additional supporting information:

No comments made.

Impact on the environment:

They are vital to maintaining standards within public open space.

Risk:

- **Political:** *Not replacing may result in a reduction in service and an inability to fulfill external contract obligations.*
- **Economic:** *None.*
- **Sociological:** *None.*
- **Technological:** *None.*
- **Legal:** *None.*
- **Environmental:** *Recent weather patterns suggest an extended growing season in future.*

**Community Services Committee
New Capital Bid Submissions**

BID 5: *Two Heavy Goods Trailers*

Service Area: Grounds Maintenance

Head of Service: Chris Hughes

Brief Description:

Replace existing trailers with two heavy goods trailers used to transport equipment around village/Parish locations. Current trailers are 5 years old and so will be 8 years old at proposed replacement, resulting in increased revenue costs for repair/maintenance.

Overriding aim/ambition that the scheme meets:

To be a well-managed council.

Government or other imperatives to the undertaking of this scheme:

In 2 years trailers will be subject to MOT's. This will mean additional costs to upgrade existing models.

Improving service performance, efficiency and value for money:

Current trailers are not wide enough to accommodate new mowers which have wider cutting decks, resulting in inefficiencies in transporting equipment to site. New trailers will enable each round to carry all the equipment to fulfil its daily workload.

Consultation:

No comment made.

Start date, duration and key milestones:

April 2015

Financial Implications – CAPITAL:

Breakdown	2013/14 £	2014/15 £	2015/16 £
Equipment and Materials	-	-	6,000

Financial Implications – ANNUAL REVENUE:

Breakdown	£
Existing Service – no change	-

Useful economic life:

Depending on use, each trailer will have a life expectancy of 5-8 years.

**Community Services Committee
New Capital Bid Submissions**

Additional supporting information:

No comment made.

Impact on the environment:

No comment made.

Risk:

- **Political:** *Not replacing may result in a reduction in service and an inability to fulfil external contract work.*
- **Economic:** *None.*
- **Sociological:** *None.*
- **Technological:** *None.*
- **Legal:** *None.*
- **Environmental:** *None*

**Community Services Committee
New Capital Bid Submissions**

BID 6: Replacement of 2 Tri Star Mowers

Service Area: Grounds Maintenance

Head of Service: Chris Hughes

Brief Description:

Tri Star mowers are used for smaller areas where ride on mowers cannot access. They are used heavily on a daily basis.

Overriding aim/ambition that the scheme meets:

To protect and enhance the existing environmental quality of our area

Government or other imperatives to the undertaking of this scheme:

We could not maintain current standards or fulfil contractual obligations.

Improving service performance, efficiency and value for money:

As above.

Consultation:

None.

Start Date, duration and key milestones:

April 2015

Financial Implications – CAPITAL:

Breakdown	2013/14 £	2014/15 £	2015/16 £
Equipment and Materials	-	-	16,000

Financial Implications – ANNUAL REVENUE:

Breakdown	£
Existing Service – no change	-

Useful economic life:

Life expectancy is around 6 years, depending on frequency/intensity of use.

Additional supporting information:

No comment made.

**Community Services Committee
New Capital Bid Submissions**

Impact on the environment:

No comment made.

Risk:

- **Political:** *Not replacing may result in a reduction in service and an inability to fulfil external contract work.*
- **Economic:** *None.*
- **Sociological:** *None.*
- **Technological:** *None.*
- **Legal:** *None.*
- **Environmental:** *Growing seasons appear to be extending, due to recent weather patterns, increasing use of machinery.*

**Community Services Committee
New Capital Bid Submissions**

BID 7: Retention of Weekly Collection of Residual Waste – Purchase of Vehicles and Bins

Service Area: Refuse Collection

Head of Service: Terry Longden

Brief Description:

The Council has received a £750,000 grant from the Government for the retention of Weekly Residual Waste Collection. It was one of 85 local authorities who are to receive a share of £250million for recycling and refuse collection, including weekly collections of non-recyclable waste, food waste collections, reward schemes and incentive schemes.

Over 130 projects from across the UK bid for the cash, with 90 being selected to go forward.

This fully grant funded scheme seeks to purchase and deliver 15,000 x 240 litre wheeled bins, 2 specialised split bodied collection vehicles and all appropriate publicity and promotions.

Initially, as a waste minimisation initiative, RVBC restricted the capacity of wheeled bins provided to its residents for each of the waste streams collected 'in house' to a 140 litre wheeled bin. It will now replace the 140 litre wheeled bin provided to garden properties for the recovery of garden waste with a larger 240 litre wheeled bin. This will allow householders to include certain types of compostable food waste with their garden waste.

To ensure that this service is provided to all domestic properties within the Ribble Valley and to keep costs to a minimum, the recovered 140 litre wheeled bins will be then re-distributed to non-gardened properties to recover their food waste for composting, thereby diverting this material from the residual/general waste stream.

The provision of larger / additional wheeled bins for mixed food and garden waste will be phased during 2013/14 and 2014/15.

The Council is not just seeking to retain the weekly collection of residual/general waste, but to extend the range of recycling collection services it offers to its residents, even through the current budgetary constraints and operation difficulties.

Recovery of segregated materials has created operational difficulties leading to a point that without the necessary additional capital investment it may be difficult to sustain a weekly collection service to some of its residents. The current vehicle replacement programme looks to renew the 8 split bodied refuse collection vehicles (RCVs) on a cyclical basis. However, the recycle side of the RCV compaction body is showing accelerated wear due to the highly abrasive nature of the glass recycle. The wear is so severe that 4 of the 8 RCVs will be uneconomic to repair in advance of the programmed renewal date.

Two of these vehicles will require replacement no later than March 2015. Following discussions with manufacturers we have now included within our vehicle specifications a more resilient body that will successfully accommodate the abrasive glass over a longer, more acceptable period. The replacement cost is £210k per vehicle.

Overriding aim/ambition that the scheme meets:

To be a well-managed council.

Community Services Committee New Capital Bid Submissions

Government or other imperatives to the undertaking of this scheme:

The Council as a "Waste Collection Authority" is required to collect the residual waste and recycle from all domestic properties. Failure to replace the front line vehicles will lead to an increase in maintenance costs and delays in the delivery of the service, which has consistently generated high satisfaction levels amongst residents. Defined LPI's cover this service.

Improving service performance, efficiency and value for money:

The use of such a specialised fleet to provide the service enables the costs per household to be the lowest of any district in Lancashire, this is despite the relatively high mileage travelled in operating the service. The project supports and continues this approach.

Consultation:

The Workshop Manager who maintains the vehicles and holds the Council's "Operators" License has been involved in the compilation of this project.

Start Date, duration and key milestones:

Start 1 April 2014 - continuing over 2015/16 - including post tender stand-still period and vehicle commissioning.

Financial Implications – CAPITAL:

Breakdown	2013/14 £	2014/15 £	2015/16 £
Purchase and Distribution of 15,000 Wheeled Bins	330,000	0	0
Purchase of Two Slip Body Refuse Vehicles	210,000	210,000	0
TOTAL	540,000	210,000	0

Community Services Committee New Capital Bid Submissions

Financial Implications – ANNUAL REVENUE:

Breakdown	£
Transport Related costs (reduced fuel consumptions p.a)	-2,000
Total Estimated <u>Annual</u> SAVINGS	-2,000
Estimated Lifespan	8 Years
Total Estimated <u>Lifetime</u> SAVINGS	-16,000
Estimated disposal/wind down costs/income	-1,000
NET Estimated <u>Lifetime</u> Revenue SAVING	-17,000

Useful economic life:

This scheme is partly for the replacing existing vehicles. The life of the new vehicles is anticipated to be 7 years as a front line vehicle with a further year as a cover vehicle, overall 8 years.

Additional supporting information:

The new vehicles will be more fuel efficient and have lower carbon emissions than the existing vehicle that it replaces (monitored through Carbon emissions PI). Fuel saving estimated to be 15% = 1800 litres/ year/vehicle (approx £2,000 p.a).

Impact on the environment:

Project has positive environmental benefits – see above.

Risk:

- **Political:** *The refuse and recycle collection service is a high profile service that touches every domestic property within the borough every week. Standards of performance are regularly and routinely monitored. Any variation in such standards are rapidly identified (Service monitored through LPIs).*
- **Economic:** *The twin pack specialist vehicles are the most efficient vehicle for the delivery of the service. Their use contributes to the Council continuing to have the lowest average collection costs per property of any district in Lancashire. Specify RCV constructed in EU.*
- **Sociological:** *The residents of Ribble Valley have become accustomed to having the high standard of service delivered by using these twin pack vehicles. The vehicles support the increased recycling that the community expects. The collection rounds using these vehicles can be adjusted to accommodate new properties.*
- **Technological:** *The new vehicle will be more fuel efficient than the exiting vehicles Estimated 15% reductions in consumption (1800 litres per vehicle per annum) through a revised engine mapping and electric (OmniDel system) wheeled bin lifters.*
- **Legal:** *The chosen method for the waste and recycle collection ensures that the Council is better positioned than others to adapt to local and national changes in legislation or imposed conditions.*
- **Environmental:** *Targets for reduced residual waste and an increase in recycling are expected. Having a reliable fleet contributes towards the achievement of such targets.*

**Community Services Committee
New Capital Bid Submissions**

BID 8: Replacement of Waste Transfer Station Loader Shovel

Service Area: Refuse Collection

Head of Service: Terry Longden

Brief Description:

Background

Materials delivered into the Salthill Waste Transfer station by the refuse collection fleet and street cleansing vehicles are all, except for scrap metals, loaded into the bulk haulage vehicles for transport to the LCC waste treatment parks at either Farington or Thornton.

This project is for the replacement of the loading shovel that lifts the tipped materials from the floor of the transfer buildings into the bulk haulage vehicles.

The loader, when purchased in 2006, was a relatively low cost option, but careful use and continued good and regular maintenance will extend its working life to 9 years, at which time it is estimated that it will need to be replaced in order to ensure that the reliability of this part of the service is protected.

Note that such a specialised machine cannot be readily hired in at short notice.

Overriding aim/ambition that the scheme meets:

To be a well-managed council.

Government or other imperatives to the undertaking of this scheme:

The Council operates the waste transfer station and as agreed with LCC, loads the bulk haulage vehicles with the collected waste and recycle. It is a condition of the Licence for the site, which is issued and regularly policed by the Environment Agency that that no residual waste can be left on the transfer station floor overnight. Hence it is important to have a reliable machine to ensure that we are able to clear the floor of any residual waste at the end of the every working day. Without the ability to clear the floor, the collected waste should be left on the Refuse collection vehicles, which could delay the following day's collections. A breakdown of the loading shovel could also delay the loading of a bulk haulage vehicle, and time delay charges could as a result be levied against the Council by LCC.

Improving service performance, efficiency and value for money:

Although the shovel is a highly manoeuvrable telescopic boom loader with a clamshell bucket, it is not a particularly high specification vehicle; and hence purchase costs are relatively low when compared with machines operating in other waste transfer stations. This contributes towards the continued value for money feature of the service.

Consultation:

The Engineering Services Workshop Manager and the Waste Management Officer, who is the current Licence holder for the operation of the waste transfer station, have been consulted on the timing and costing of this project.

Community Services Committee New Capital Bid Submissions

Start Date, duration and key milestones:

Start April for a 20 week procurement period (dependent upon the degree of activity in the construction industry as a buoyant industry may extend the machine build period).

Financial Implications – CAPITAL:

Breakdown	2013/14 £	2014/15 £	2015/16 £
Equipment and Materials	-	-	70,000

Financial Implications – ANNUAL REVENUE:

Breakdown	£
Existing Service – no change	-

Useful economic life:

This is replacing an existing item. The life of the new machine is anticipated to be 9 years.

Additional supporting information:

The new vehicle will be more fuel efficient and have lower carbon emissions than the existing vehicle that it replaces (monitored through carbon emissions PI).

Impact on the environment:

Project has positive environmental benefits – see above.

Risk:

- **Political:** *The refuse and recycle collection service is a high profile service that touches every domestic property within the borough every week. The system is a simple and effective process. It's simplicity leads to a high degree of customer support and participation. Standards of performance are regularly and routinely monitored. Any variation in such standards are rapidly identified (Service monitored through LPs). Delays or failures in the service will adversely affect the reputation of the Council.*
- **Economic:** *The JCB teleloader used in the operation of the waste transfer station is the appropriate relatively low cost machine for the delivery of this element of the service. Its use contributes to the Council continuing to have the lowest average collection costs per property of any district in Lancashire.*
- **Sociological:** *The residents of Ribble Valley have become accustomed to the high standard of the refuse collection. Any delay or suspension of the service through a failure in the waste transfer station will adversely affect the wider reputation of the Council.*
- **Technological:** *The new machine will be more fuel efficient than the exiting machines. Additional safety features can also be accommodated.*
- **Legal:** *The chosen method for the waste and recycle collection ensures that the Council is better positioned than others to adapt to local and national changes in legislation or imposed conditions.*
- **Environmental:** *Targets for reduced residual waste and an increase in recycling are expected. Having reliable machinery contributes towards the achievement of such targets.*

**Community Services Committee
New Capital Bid Submissions**

BID 9: Replacement of multi-use panel van (currently Vauxhall Vivaro)

Service Area: Works Department

Head of Service: Terry Longden

Brief Description:

This project is for the replacement of the current works panel van, purchased 2006. It is primarily used by the Council's electrician but is also used by other works sections and Leisure and Amenities' sections.

The "shared use" of vehicles is increasing as divisions seek to reduce the size of the vehicle fleet. This panel van is specifically marked as a "shared use vehicle". It's replacement is required as by 2015 it will be 9 years old and will be beyond its reliable and economic working life.

Overriding aim/ambition that the scheme meets:

To be a well-managed council.

Government or other imperatives to the undertaking of this scheme:

Elements of the services that use this vehicle will suffer.

Improving service performance, efficiency and value for money:

This vehicle is designated a "shared use" vehicle.

Consultation:

The Engineering Services Workshop Manager and the Head of Leisure and Amenities Services have been consulted on the timing and costing of this project, particularly the shared use of vehicles.

Start Date, duration and key milestones:

Start April for an 8 week procurement period (dependent upon the degree of activity in the construction industry. A buoyant industry may extend the machine build period.

Financial Implications – CAPITAL:

Breakdown	2013/14 £	2014/15 £	2015/16 £
Equipment/Materials	-	-	12,000

Financial Implications – ANNUAL REVENUE:

Breakdown	£
Existing Service – no change	-

**Community Services Committee
New Capital Bid Submissions****Useful economic life:**

This is replacing an existing item. The life of the new vehicle is anticipated to be 7 years, reduced from the current 9 years through increased usage (shared vehicle).

Additional supporting information:

The new vehicle will be more fuel efficient and have lower carbon emissions than the existing vehicle that it replaces (monitored through Carbon emissions PI).

Impact on the environment:

Project has positive environmental benefits – see above.

Risk:

- **Political:** *The greater use of shared vehicles reduces the risk of adverse publicity from having vehicle parked in the depot.*
- **Economic:** *Specify vehicle constructed in EU.*
- **Sociological:** *See "Political" above.*
- **Technological:** *Tracking systems monitor enable better monitoring of vehicle usage and driving styles.*
- **Legal:** *None.*
- **Environmental:** *Benefits through reduce fuel consumption.*

**Community Services Committee
Financial Impact of the Proposed Three-Year Capital Programme**

Scheme Title	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	TOTAL
	£	£	£	£
Previously Approved Bids				
Replacement Vehicle PK06 WY - Vauxhall Vivaro 2900 DT I LWB Panel Van	13,000			13,000
Replacement of Geesink 26t RP HGV Refuse Collection Vehicle		200,000		200,000
Proposed reprogramming of above Geesink scheme following award of DCLG grant funding for BID 7		-200,000	200,000	0
Subtotal of Previously Approved Bids	13,000	0	200,000	213,000
New Bids Received (As at Annex 1)				
Replacement of 4 x 4 T ractor/Mower (PNO4 NPZ)			17,000	17,000
Play Area Improvements	40,000	40,000	40,000	120,000
Replacement of Kubota Mower PN05 PLO			19,000	19,000
Replace Scag Mower			9,000	9,000
Two Heavy Goods Trailers			6,000	6,000
Replacement of 2 Tri Star Mowers			16,000	16,000
Retention of Weekly Collection of Residual Waste - Purchase of Vehicles and Bins	540,000	210,000		750,000
Replacement of Waste Transfer Station Loader Shovel			70,000	70,000
Replacement of multi use panel van (currently Vauxhall Vivaro)			12,000	12,000
Subtotal of New Bids Received (As at Annex 1)	580,000	250,000	189,000	1,019,000
TOTAL	593,000	250,000	389,000	1,232,000
Confirmed External Funding Due	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	TOTAL
	£	£	£	£
Retention of Weekly Collection of Residual waste - Department for Communities and Local Government	-540,000	-210,000		-750,000
Balance to be Internally Funded	53,000	40,000	389,000	482,000