INFORMATION

RIBBLE VALLEY BOROUGH COUNCIL REPORT TO PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

Agenda Item No.

meeting date: THURSDAY 18th AUGUST 2016 title: LOCAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK – ANNUAL MONITORING REPORT 2015/16 submitted by: CHIEF EXECUTIVE principal author: DIANE NEVILLE, SENIOR PLANNING OFFICER

1 PURPOSE

- 1.1 To review information on the Annual Monitoring Report (AMR). Please refer to the full document in the appendix for details.
- 1.2 Relevance to the Council's ambitions and priorities:
 - Community Objectives As a monitoring took for spatial policy, it will provide a basis with which to identify how a range of issues relating to the objectives of a sustainable economy, thriving market towns and housing will be addressed through the planning system. It will inform the delivery and measure the success of the Council's planning policies, in particular the adopted Core Strategy, and it will help in the protecting and enhancing the quality of the environment and delivery of affordable housing.
 - Corporate Priorities The AMR will provide a management took to monitor progress and will aid performance and consistency.
 - Other Considerations None.

2 INFORMATION

- 2.1 Comprehensive monitoring is essential in order to establish whether the Council is succeeding in promoting and managing the future development of Ribble Valley. The Localism Act (2011) and Section 34 of the Town and Country Planning Act (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 establishes the statutory need for monitoring reports.
- 2.2 The format of the AMR has altered over recent years. There is no longer a requirement to produce a set of Core Output Indicators as in previous AMR's, and since the adoption of the Core Strategy in December 2014, monitoring is now focused on measuring the performance of the policies contained in this document. The Inspector who undertook the Examination in Public of the Core Strategy proposed a tighter monitoring framework based around the individual policies in the plan. It is intended that the indicators contained in the Core Strategy and monitored within this AMR will remain constant year on year and allow for annual comparisons.
- 2.3 For clarity and ease of use, each indicator is presented in the following way:

| Indicator No. | |
|----------------|--|
| Target | |
| Related Policy | |
| Result | |

2.4 The report covers information on the environment, housing, the economy, delivery mechanisms and infrastructure, the strategic site and Development Management policies. The following table offers a snap shot of some of the key indicators which are contained within the report.

| Population | 58,100 |
|--|------------------|
| Households | 25,019 |
| Housing Completions | 300 |
| Housing Permissions | 585 |
| Affordable Homes | 90 |
| Development on previously developed land | 118 |
| Amount of new employment land | 0.8ha |
| Housing Trajectory | 5.36 year supply |

- 2.5 This AMR covers the period from 1st April 2015 31st March 2016. The document takes a slightly different format to last year's AMR in so much that it is split into two main sections. Last year, information was gathered where possible however it became apparent that there were insufficient mechanisms in place to effectively monitor some of the indicators. As a result, this year a clearer distinction has been made to differentiate those indicators where information is readily available and those where additional work is required to set up monitoring mechanisms (see section 8). There is a significant workload involved in setting up monitoring mechanisms for the 19 indicators where information is not currently available. Once set up, gathering and collating this information will also require a significant resource input. Focus on this work will be necessary to ensure that the Core Strategy policies are being monitored to assess the effectiveness of their implementation. Non-monitoring or gaps in the monitoring framework will leave the Core Strategy policies vulnerable and open to challenge.
- 2.6 In addition, the AMR relies upon information being provided across departments and from some external organisations. It will be necessary to keep the access to information under review and any identified issues addressed as soon as possible.
- 3 CONCLUSION
- 3.1 The Council will continue to monitor on an annual basis with further work undertaken on those areas where information is currently unavailable.

DIANE NEVILLE SENIOR PLANNING OFFICER MARSHAL SCOTT CHIEF EXECUTIVE

BACKGROUND PAPERS

1. Annual Monitoring Report 2016.

For further information please ask for Diane Neville, extension 3200.





www.ribblevalley.gov.uk

Annual Monitoring Report 2016 1st April 2015 - 31st March 2016

August 2016 Report



Ribble Valley Borough Council



Core Strategy 2008 – 2028 A Local Plan for Ribble Valley Adoption Version



RibbleValley

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This Annual Monitoring Report (AMR) takes a different form this year. It is the second one published since the adoption of the Core Strategy in December 2014. The Inspector who undertook the Examination in Public of the Core Strategy proposed a tighter monitoring framework based around individual policies in the plan. Where possible these indicators were monitored last year, however there were a number of indicators where there were insufficient mechanisms in place to effectively monitor. It was highlighted last year that it was anticipated that the new monitoring framework would take some time to embed as it relies upon information across departments and some external organisations. The first part of this AMR therefore includes those indicators where monitoring has been possible and is then proceeded by a section (section 8) setting out those indicators where additional mechanisms need to be devised to ensure the Core Strategy can be adequately monitored.

This Annual Monitoring Report covers the period from 1 April 2015 to 31 March 2016.

To make the document simple and useable, each indicator will be presented in the following way:

| Indicator | |
|----------------|--|
| Target | |
| Related Policy | |
| Result | |

Snap shot of some of the key indicators:

| Population | 58,100 |
|--|--------|
| Households | 25,019 |
| Housing Completions | 300 |
| Housing Permissions | 585 |
| Affordable Homes 90 | |
| Development on previously developed land 118 | |
| Amount of new employment land 0.8ha | |
| Housing Trajectory 5.36 years | |

CONTENTS PAGE

| INTRODUCTION | Page 4 |
|---|---------|
| SECTION ONE: The Ribble Valley | Page 6 |
| SECTION TWO: Environment | Page 8 |
| SECTION THREE: Housing | Page 13 |
| SECTION FOUR: The Economy | Page 16 |
| SECTION FIVE: Delivery mechanism and Infrastructure | Page 20 |
| SECTION SIX: The Strategic site | Page 21 |
| SECTION SEVEN: Development Management policies | Page 22 |
| SECTION EIGHT: Indicators with insufficient mechanisms in place to monitor | Page 31 |
| SECTION NINE: List of all Core Strategy indicators | Page 35 |
| SECTION TEN: Progress on the Local Development Scheme | Page 41 |

INTRODUCTION

Monitoring period

This Annual Monitoring Report covers the period from **1 April 2015 to 31 March 2016**. The adopted planning policies for the period covered by this AMR are those contained in the Core Strategy – adopted on the 16th December 2014.

Requirements for monitoring

The planning and Compulsory Purchase Act (as amended by the provision of the Localism Act 2011) requires that authorities publish Monitoring Reports, at least yearly, to progress on the implementation of the Local Development Scheme (LDS) and the extent to which the policies in the Core Strategy (and the other local development documents) are being delivered.

The Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 have also introduced the requirements that the AMR includes:

- (i) details of any neighbourhood development orders or neighbourhood development plans made
- (ii) once the Council has an adopted Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) Charging Schedule, information relating to the collection and spending of CIL monies.

At the time of this report there is no work programme for a CIL Schedule.

At the time of this report, there are have been no formal submissions of Neighbourhood Plans however there have been the following designations, within which the NP are progressing:

- 1. Longridge Town Council
- 2. Bolton by Bowland Parish Council
- 3. Whalley

National Planning Policy

In March 2012, the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was issued, which sets out the Government's planning policies for England and how these are expected to be applied. The NPPF must be taken into account in the preparation of local neighbourhood plans, and is a material consideration in planning decisions. The NPPF came into full force in April 2013. In addition, the Government issued Planning Policy for Traveller Sites in August 2015 which must be read in conjunction with NPPF.

Planning Practice Guidance

On the 6th March 2014, the Department for Communities and Local Government (DCLG) launched the Planning PractiCe Guidance (PPG). This is a web based resource which is updated online as and when necessary. This guidance states that Local planning authorities must publish information at least annually that shows progress with Local Plan preparation, report any activity relating to the duty to cooperate and show how the implementation of policies in Local Plan is progressing.

LDF updates from the last AMR

Core Strategy 2008 – 2028

The Core Strategy forms the central document of the Local Development Framework (LDF), establishing the vision, underlying objectives and key principles that will guide the development of the area to 2028.

The Core Strategy was adopted by the Council on 16 December 2014 and now forms part of the statutory Development Plan for the Borough. It sets out the strategic planning policy framework to guide development in the borough up to 2028. It also includes development management policies to assist in the determination of individual planning applications. The Core Strategy is made up of 38 policies.

Statement of Community Involvement

The Statement sets out how the Borough Council will involve all elements of the community in the planning process, both in the preparation of planning policy and involvement in planning applications. It shows how we will consult on the development of the various documents that will make up the Local Development Framework.

The 2013 revisions include reference to new Neighbourhood Planning legislation and the introduction of a formalised pre-application process into the wider planning application system operated by the authority.

Local Development Scheme

The Local Development Scheme is intended to guide the production of development plans within the Ribble Valley. It is a very important document because our Development Plan Documents will help guide and shape the pattern of development within the borough. They will help form a spatial strategy for the area and will help to deliver community objectives and establish sustainable communities.

The Local Development Scheme has been updated and is intended to cover the period up to the end of April 2017. The documents will be produced under the provisions of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act. Progress against the LDS can be found in section 10 of this report.

Housing and Economic Development DPD

Work has begun on this document which will provide further detail to the spatial development strategy set out in the adopted Core Strategy. Evidence base work has been undertaken over the monitoring period on updating and producing a revised Proposals Map which includes a set of draft Settlement Boundaries.

SECTION ONE: THE RIBBLE VALLEY

Borough Area

Ribble Valley Borough is situated in northeast Lancashire, and is the largest district in the County covering an area of 226 square miles but has the smallest population in the county. There are on average 94 people per square km, compared with 380 nationally.

Over seventy percent of the Borough is in the Forest of Bowland Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty, a clear reflection of the landscape quality of the area.



The diagrams below shows the Borough in its Regional context.

Population

The Borough has a population of around 58,100¹. Clitheroe remains the main administrative centre having 14,765² inhabitants and lies at the heart of the Borough, whilst Longridge, the other main town, lies in the west. Longridge has a population of approximately 7,724³. The remainder of the area is mainly rural with a number of villages ranging in size from large villages such as Sabden, and Chatburn through to small hamlets such as Great Mitton and Paythorne.

As part of the LDF baseline, Ribble Valley Borough Council has produced a number of documents covering a variety of topic areas. This includes information on housing, employment land, open space, infrastructure, flood risk, heritage and town centres to name just a few. Further information on the LDF evidence base can be found on the <u>Ribble Valley</u> <u>website</u>.

¹ NOMIS (base date 2014)

² Census (2011)

³ Census (2011)

To give an indication of the current situation in the borough, the following snapshot has been created. There are 24,045⁴ households in the borough, with the majority being 2 person households (8,774 people) Of the working age population, over half commute out of the borough each day to work, with the majority of people travelling to work by car or van (49%). Car ownership is high, which is a sign of a wealthy population. However, for those who don't own a car, the borough has 4 railway stations bus services, although some of the more remote areas of the borough would benefit from improved public transport provision.

In terms of the natural and built environment, within the borough lies Bowland Forest, an Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB). There are also 39 Biological Heritage Sites, 22 Conservation Areas and over 1000 Listed Buildings in the borough. In terms of open space in the area, there is over 92ha of formal open space and a further 62.1ha of open space. There is also 5.54ha of children's play areas. Overall the amount of open space per head of the population equates to 0.003ha.

The following sections include monitoring indicators of specific policies in the Core Strategy. Where appropriate, additional indicators have been included which provide useful contextual monitoring information.

⁴ Census (2011)

SECTION TWO: ENVIRONMENT

This section sets out information on those Core Strategy environmental policies and Key Statements where monitoring information can be obtained. Section 8 contains those policies where monitoring information is not available.

As set out in the Core Strategy a number of designations exist that serve to protect the high quality environment enjoyed across the Ribble Valley. The Council is keen to ensure that appropriate measures are taken to enable this asset to be protected and this is achieved through the implementation of the policies set out in the Core Strategy. Monitoring of these policies ensures that they are operating effectively.

MONITORING OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL POLICIES

KEY STATEMENT – EN1 GREENBELT

The extent of the designated Green Belt in Ribble Valley is approximately 1730ha.

| Indicator 1 | Number of applications involving sites wholly or partly within the Greenbelt |
|----------------|--|
| Target | Monitor only |
| Related Policy | Key Statement EN1 – Green Belt |
| Result | 19 (of which 16 approved, 3 refused) |

| Indicator 2 | Area of land (ha or m2) in Green Belt |
|----------------|---|
| Target | Target is less than 1% of Greenbelt area by end of plan period |
| Related Policy | Key Statement EN1 – Green Belt |
| Result | The following floorspaces/areas of land were approved: |
| | Domestic extensions(3 in total): 145m ² |
| | Agricultural buildings/extensions (2 in total): 262m ² |
| | Mixed applications for change of use to commercial: (2 in total) |
| | Commercial extensions/buildings (3 in total): 187m ² |
| | New dwellings (1 in total): 6 bungalows for the elderly |
| | Alterations/replacement involving no new floorspace: 5 in total |

| Indicator 3 | Number of inappropriate developments granted in the Green belt built within the Greenbelt |
|----------------|---|
| | |
| Target | Zero |
| Related Policy | Key Statement EN1 – Green Belt |
| Result | No inappropriate development has been granted permission in the Green |
| | Belt in the monitoring year. |

KEY STATEMENT EN2: LANDSCAPE

| Indicator 4 | Number of applications involving sites wholly or partly within the AONB. |
|----------------|--|
| Target | Zero permissions that do not conform to policy requirements. |
| Related Policy | Key Statement EN2 – Landscape |
| Result | 144 applications. All permissions granted (127) conformed to policy |
| | therefore target met. |

| Indicator 5 | Area of land (ha or m2) within AONB granted permission. | |
|----------------|---|--|
| Target | Zero permissions that do not conform to policy requirements. | |
| | | |
| | Also monitor the relative increase in number of permissions or applications | |
| | within the monitoring period compared to the last AMR monitoring period. | |
| Related Policy | Key Statement EN2 – Landscape | |
| Result | It is considered that all permissions conformed to policy requirements and | |
| | that therefore the target has been met. The total area affected by | |
| | development was 6.4 ha including 8 new dwellings including barn | |
| | conversions. | |

In relation to both Indicators 4 and 5 it is now considered that the zero non-conforming permissions target is an inappropriate measure of the effect of the relevant AONB related policies. It would be assumed that the authority would not grant permissions against its own policies and therefore the target as stated would not appear to be informative. AONB related policies do not seek to stop all development but to restrict it to various appropriate types and levels as specified within the overall strategy. As such it is still sensible to measure both the number and total amount of development, as mentioned above, and to monitor these as general indicators of the quantum of development coming forward in the AONB but not against any set target. In practice the Council will take this into account when undertaking monitoring.

In more detail the overall total of 6.4 ha comprises many different kinds of permissions the most frequent being agricultural buildings, house extensions, barn conversions and changes of use. Many of the permissions did not involve any significant additional physical change at all and one (3/2015/0977) accounted for almost half of the 6.4 ha total.

| KEY STATEMENT EN3: | SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT AND CLIMATE CHANGE |
|--------------------|--|
| | |

| Indicator 10 | Number of applications granted against Environment Agency and United |
|----------------|---|
| | Utilities advice (relating to flooding and drainage) where no mitigating |
| | solution has been identified. |
| Target | Zero |
| Related Policy | Key Statement EN3 – Sustainable Development and Climate Change |
| Result | Zero. Target has been met. |
| | Based upon the most up to date information available from the Environment |
| | Agency (EA), two applications were approved following an objection from |
| | the EA in relation to an unsatisfactory FRA/FCA being submitted, however |
| | in both cases mitigation measures were identified. |

| Indicator 11 | Number of applications referred to the Minerals Authority as being within Mineral Safeguarding Areas (MSAs). Target is 100% of all relevant applications. | | | | |
|----------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Target | 100% relevant applications | | | | |
| Related Policy | Key Statement EN3 – Sustainable Development and Climate Change | | | | |
| Result | One application was referred to the Minerals Authority within the monitoring | | | | |
| | period. | | | | |

KEY STATEMENT EN4: BIODIVERSITY AND GEODIVERSITY

| Indicator 12 | Net gain to local biodiversity measured through biodiversity offsetting | | | | | |
|----------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | agreements. | | | | | |
| Target | Net Gain | | | | | |
| Related Policy | Key Statement EN4 – Biodiversity and Geodiversity | | | | | |
| Result | Over the monitoring period there has been 1 biodiversity offsetting | | | | | |
| | agreement. The target of a net gain has therefore been met. | | | | | |

| Indicator 14 | Number of sites granted permission against Natural England advice. | | | | |
|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Target | zero | | | | |
| Related Policy | Key Statement EN4 – Biodiversity and Geodiversity | | | | |
| Result | Zero. Target has been met. Over the monitoring period, Natural England responded to 64 planning applications but did not raise an objection to any on the basis of geodiversity or biodiversity. Therefore no applications have been granted contrary to Natural England advice on this issue. | | | | |

| Indicator 15 | (i) Change in areas and populations of biodiversity importance, including: (i) Change in priority habitats and species by type and; Change in areas designated for their intrinsic environmental value including sites of international, national, regional or local significance. | |
|----------------|--|--|
| Target | Zero | |
| Related Policy | Key Statement EN4 – Biodiversity and Geodiversity | |
| Result | Zero. Target met. Information set out below | |

i) The priority habitats and species within Ribble Valley are set out in the Lancashire Biodiversity Action Plan.

Priority species present in Ribble Valley

| Mammals | |
|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Water vole | Arvicola terrestris |
| Brown hare | Lepus europaeus |
| Otter | Lutra lutra |
| Bats | (Order Chiroptera) |
| Red squirrel | Sciurus vulgaris |
| Amphibians | |
| Great crested newt | Triturus cristatus |
| Birds | |
| Skylark | Alauda arvensis |
| Reed bunting | Emberiza schoeniculus |

| Song thrush | Turdus philomelos | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Lapwing | Vanellus vanellus | |
| Crustaceans | | |
| Freshwater white-clawed crayfish | Austropotamobius pallipes | |
| Plants | | |
| Birds- eye Primrose | Primula farinosa | |
| Greater Butterfly Orchid | Platanthera chlorantha | |

Priority habitats present in Ribble Valley-

| Habitat |
|--------------------------------|
| Broadleaved and mixed woodland |
| Species-rich neutral grassland |
| Calcareous grassland |
| Rivers and streams |
| Moorland/ Fell |

ii) Ribble Valley has 17 Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI). The condition of each of these is set out in table 11.

Condition of the Ribble Valley SSSIs.

| SSSI | Number of areas of the SSSI recorded as in a favourable condition | Number of areas of the SSSI recorded as in an unfavourable recovering condition |
|---------------------------|---|--|
| Barn Gill Meadow | 1 | 0 |
| Bell Sykes Meadow | 5 | 1 |
| Bowland Fells | 8 | 2 |
| Clitheroe Knoll Reefs | 7 | 0 |
| Cock Wood Gorge | 1 | 0 |
| Coplow Quarry | 1 | 0 |
| Far Holme Meadow | 1 | 0 |
| Field Head Meadow | 1 | 0 |
| Hodder River Section | 1 | 0 |
| Langcliff Cross Meadow | 1 | 0 |
| Light Clough | 1 | 0 |
| Little Mearley Clough | 1 | 0 |
| Myttons Meadows | 3 | 1 |
| New Ing Meadow | 0 | 1 |
| Salthill and Bellman Park | 2 | 0 |
| Quarries | 4 | 0 |
| Standridge Farm Pasture | | 0 |
| White Moss | 1 | 0 |

It can be seen that no sites in Ribble Valley were recorded as unfavourable declining. Definitions of all these can be found below.

• **Unfavourable Recovering.** A site which is recorded as unfavourable means that there is a current lack of appropriate management, or that there are damaging impacts which needs to be addressed; and

- **Favourable.** A site that is recorded as in a favourable condition means that the SSSI land is being adequately conserved and is meeting its 'conservation objectives', however, there is scope for the enhancement of these sites.
- **Unfavourable declining.** A site recorded as unfavourable declining means that the special interest of the SSSI unit is not being conserved and will not reach favourable condition unless there are changes to site management or external pressures. It suggests that overall the site condition is becoming progressively worse⁵.

ADDITIONAL INDICATORS

| Indicator 49 | No net loss of biological heritage sites | | | |
|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Target | ero | | | |
| Related Policy | Key Statement EN4 – Biodiversity and Geodiversity | | | |
| Result | Over the monitoring period there has been no net loss of biological heritage | | | |
| | sites which is in line with/below the Core Strategy target of no net loss. | | | |

| Indicator 48 | No net loss of hedgerows | | | |
|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Target | zero | | | |
| Related Policy | Key Statement EN4 – Biodiversity and Geodiversity | | | |
| Result | Over the monitoring period, there has been no net loss of hedgerows, | | | |
| | which is in line with the Core Strategy target. | | | |

⁵ All definitions of SSSI conditions taken from Natural England website.

SECTION THREE: HOUSING

This section sets out information on those Core Strategy housing policies and Key Statements where monitoring information can be obtained. Section 8 contains those policies where monitoring information is not available.

The main aim of the housing policies contained within the Core Strategy is to ensure that over the plan period sufficient housing of the right type will be built in the most suitable locations endeavouring to make the best use of previously developed land where suitable and where possible aiming to address meeting identified local need. Monitoring of these policies ensures that they are operating effectively.

MONITORING OF THE HOUSING POLICIES

KEY STATEMENT H1: HOUSING PROVISION

| Indicator 16 | Amount of housing completed in the Borough. | | | |
|----------------|---|--|--|--|
| Target | Target is 280 units per year | | | |
| Related Policy | Key Statement H1 – Housing Provision | | | |
| Result | 300 dwellings were completed in 2015/16 | | | |

300 dwellings were completed in 2015/16 in comparison with an annualised requirement of 280. This is slightly lower than the 345 completions of the previous year.

| Housing | requirement | (submitted | 2008-2028 | 5600 | Av. 280 pa | |
|-----------|-------------------------|------------|-----------|------|------------|--|
| Core Stra | Core Strategy document) | | | | | |

| Monitoring year | Net dwellings | Cumulative | Annual average |
|---|---------------|------------|----------------|
| | completed | total | |
| 2008/9 | 75 | 75 | 75 |
| 2009/10 | 89 | 164 | 82 |
| 2010/11 | 69 | 233 | 78 |
| 2011/12 | 147 | 380 | 95 |
| 2012/13 | 172 | 552 | 110 |
| 2013/14 | 183 | 735 | 123 |
| 2014/15 | 345 | 1080 | 154 |
| 2015/16 | 300 | 1380 | 173 |
| Total 2008 -2015 | 1080 | - | 173 |
| No. of dwellings required 2016 – 2028 (5600-1380) | 4220 | - | 352 |

Source: RVBC housing land monitoring.

ADDITIONAL INDICATOR: HOUSING SUPPLY AND TRAJECTORY:

| Indicator 18 | Housing Land availability position based on adopted Core Strategy requirement (2008-2028) including permissions, completions and commitments up until 31 st March 2016 |
|----------------|---|
| Target | 100% |
| Related Policy | Key Statement H1 – Housing Provision |
| Result | 5.36 year supply |

Assessment of the five year supply position at 31st March 2016 shows that there was supply of 2723 deliverable dwellings amounting to a 5.36 year supply⁶, based on an annualised requirement of 280 dwellings and including a 20% buffer. This compares with the position at March 2015 of 2864 dwellings amounting to a 5.59 year supply.

Appendix 2 of the Core Strategy includes a housing trajectory, based on information at 31st March 2014 which illustrates potential rates of delivery of market and affordable housing for the plan period. Actual delivery can be compared with the trajectory as follows:

| | CS Trajectory (2014) | Actual delivery |
|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| Market housing | 324 | 222 |
| Affordable housing | 98 | 78 |
| Total | 422 | 300 |

ADDITIONAL INDICATOR:

| Indicator 22 | New and converted dwellings on previously developed land |
|----------------|---|
| Target | 100% |
| Related Policy | Key Statement H1 – Housing Provision |
| Result | 118 new dwellings permitted completed on previously developed land out of |
| | a total of 300 completions (39%). |

KEY STATEMENT H2: HOUSING BALANCE

| Indicator 17 | Housing mix and type (approved planning permissions) |
|----------------|---|
| Target | Target is positive net increase in older persons accommodation and family |
| | housing (2 and 3 bed). |
| Related Policy | Key Statement H1 – Housing Provision |
| Result | See table below |

Planning permission was granted for a total of 585 dwellings in the monitoring year. 414 of these were on sites with outline planning permission or were the subject of prior notifications, where in both cases there are no details of dwelling types (these will be considered at Reserved Matters or full applications stage). Of the 171 units with permission where details are known, the housing mix is as follows and includes new build, changes of use and conversion:

| l bed | 2 bed | 3 bed | 4+ bed | Totals |
|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|
| 35 | 43 | 44 | 49 | 171 |
| 20% | 25% | 26% | 29% | 100% |

In terms of older persons accommodation, over the monitoring period 47 units on 3 sites have been approved, which represents 8% of the total housing permissions.

⁶ Source: RVBC Housing Land Availability April 2015

KEY STATEMENT H3: AFFORDABLE HOUSING

| Indicator 19 | The number of new affordable units completed in the borough |
|----------------|---|
| Target | 75 |
| Related Policy | Key Statement H3– Housing Provision |
| Result | 90 |

| | 2008/9 | 2009/10 | 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | 2015/16 | TOTAL |
|---|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------|
| Open Market | 38 | 46 | 42 | 86 | 143 | 138 | 232 | 222 | 1025 |
| Affordable | 37 | 43 | 27 | 61 | 29 | 45 | 113 | 78 | 433 |
| Total | 75 | 89 | 69 | 147 | 172 | 183 | 345 | 300 | 1080 |
| Affordable as % of all new dwellings | 49.3 | 48.3 | 39.1 | 41.5 | 16.9 | 24.6 | 32.8 | 26 | 32.9 |

(source: RVBC Housing Land Monitoring)

ADDITIONAL AFFORDABLE UNITS:

In addition there have been 12 units created through the remodelling of existing stock or acquisition of empty properties. A total of 90 affordable units have been delivered in the monitoring year. This is significantly above the target of 75.

- 1) Over the monitoring period, the Council has provided 6 Landlord Tenant Grants.
- 2) There have been 24 tenancy protection schemes over the monitoring period.
- 3) During the monitoring period 4 empty properties have been brought back into use.

KEY STATEMENT H4: GYPSY AND TRAVELLER ACCOMMODATION

| Indicator 21 | Number of permissions for Gypsy and traveller pitches. |
|----------------|--|
| Target | 2 pitches over the plan period |
| Related Policy | Key Statement H1 – Housing Provision |
| Result | 0 - no new pitches approved in the monitoring period. |

SECTION FOUR: ECONOMY

This section sets out information on those Core Strategy economic policies and Key Statements where monitoring information can be obtained. Section 8 contains those policies where monitoring information is not available.

As set out in the Core Strategy, employment and a strong economy are important to the Ribble Valley and the Council will seek to facilitate employment and economic investment where it accords with the Core Strategy policies. Monitoring of these policies ensures that they are operating effectively.

ADDITIONAL INDICATORS: THE ECONOMY IN RIBBLE VALLEY:

| Indicator 32 | Unemployed persons in Ribble Valley |
|----------------|--|
| Target | Monitor only |
| Related Policy | Business and Employment Development |
| Result | Unemployed persons in Ribble Valley – 3.6% compared to 8% in the North West and 8% in England. |
| | |

| Indicator 33 | Number of people claiming a key benefit in Ribble Valley |
|----------------|---|
| Target | Monitor only |
| Related Policy | Business and Employment Development |
| Result | 9% in Ribble Valley compared to 19% in the North West and 15% in England. |

| Indicator 34 | Employed persons in Ribble Valley |
|----------------|--|
| Target | Monitor only |
| Related Policy | Business and Employment Development |
| Result | Employed persons in Ribble Valley – 82% compared to 69% in the North West and 71% in England. |
| | Employment types - As at 2014 ⁷ there were 18,900 full time employees and 6,900 part-time employees in the borough. The percentage of employment in the private sector was 84.9%. |

| Indicator 35 | Weekly earnings in Ribble Valley |
|----------------|---|
| Target | Monitor only |
| Related Policy | Business and Employment Development |
| Result | In 2015 Ribble Valley had residence based weekly median earnings of |
| | £452.40, which is above the UK figure of £425.80 and the highest in |
| | Lancashire. |

⁷ Lancashire County Council monitoring information- Area Profiles.

MONITORING OF THE ECONOMIC POLICIES

KEY STATEMENT EC1: BUSINESS AND EMPLOYMENT DEVELOPMENT

| Indicator 23 | Amount of new employment land developed per annum |
|----------------|---|
| Target | Target is 1ha per annum |
| Related Policy | Key Statement EC1 – Business and Employment Development |
| Result | There has been a total of 0.8ha developed for economic/employment land |
| | purposes over the monitoring period which is just below the 1ha target. |

| | Employment land supply by type (hectares) |
|----------------|---|
| Indicator 24 | |
| Target | No target – monitor only |
| Related Policy | Key Statement EC1 – Business and Employment Development |
| Result | See table below for breakdown |

The table below shows the employment land supply for the monitoring period, broken down by use class.

| Business and Industrial Use | Land Supply (ha) |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| | 2007-08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | 2015/16 |
| B1 - B1a Offices other than defined in Class A2 | 4.72 | 5.414 | 3.489 | 4.071 | 3.976 | 3.719 | 3.153 |
| - B1b Research and development including laboratories and studios | | 0 | 0 | 0.036 | 0.024 | 0.024 | 0.078 |
| - B1c Light Industry | | | | | | | |
| | | 3.100 | 2.353 | 1.942 | 2.444 | 2.611 | 2.875 |
| B2 General industry | 1.27 | 2.211 | 1.969 | 1.416 | 1.824 | 1.419 | 1.997 |
| B8 Storage or distribution centres including wholesale warehouses | 0.32 | 0.332 | 0.632 | 0.243 | 0.269 | 0.375 | 0.418 |
| Mixed | 10.56 | 2.974 | 4.569 | 12.613 | 12.564 | 9.517 | 2.286 |
| Total | 16.88 | 14.031 | 13.012 | 20.321 | 21.101 | 17.665 | 10.807 |

| Indicator 25 | Number of farm diversification schemes permitted | |
|----------------|---|--|
| Target | Monitor only | |
| Related Policy | Key Statement EC1 – Business and Employment Development | |
| Result | Over the monitoring period there has been 1 farm diversification scheme | |
| | permitted. | |

| Indicator 26 | Loss of employment land |
|----------------|--|
| Target | No net loss over the plan period |
| Related Policy | Key Statement EC1 – Business and Employment Development |
| Result | There has been a loss of 2021m ² over this monitoring period. |

| Indicator 27 | Percentage of land permitted for development on previously developed land | | |
|----------------|---|--|--|
| | (pdl). | | |
| Target | greater than 51%. | | |
| Related Policy | Key Statement EC1 – Business and Employment Development | | |
| Result | 97% | | |

The table below shows that 97% of development for economic purposes has been on previously developed land over the monitoring period. This is the same percentage as the previous monitoring period.

| Business Use | | B1a | B1b | B1c | B2 | B 8 | Mixed | Total |
|--------------------------|-------|------|-----|-----|-----|------------|-------|-------|
| Floorspace | Gross | 6818 | 60 | 541 | 615 | 91 | 0 | 8125 |
| completed m ² | Net | 6818 | 60 | 541 | 615 | 91 | 0 | 8125 |
| On PDL m ² | Gross | 6758 | 0 | 410 | 615 | 91 | 0 | 7874 |
| %PDL | Gross | 83 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 97 |

The net completed employment floorspace is calculated in the same way as the gross figure but takes account of demolitions and conversion/change of use.

| Indicator 28 | Number of Empty commercial properties. |
|----------------|---|
| Target | Net reduction |
| Related Policy | Key Statement EC1 – Business and Employment Development |
| Result | 59 empty commercial properties. This is a reduction of 3 since the previous |
| | monitoring period and therefore the target has been met. |

KEY STATEMENT EC2: DEVELOPMENT OF RETAIL, SHOPS AND COMMUNITY FACILITIES

| Indicator 29 | Retail vacancy rates in the key centres of Clitheroe, Longridge and Whalley |
|----------------|---|
| Target | Net reduction |
| Related Policy | Key Statement EC2 – Development of retail, shops and community facilities |
| Result | See table below |

| Retail Centre | Vacancy rate | Reduction since last monitoring period? Y/N? |
|---------------|--------------|--|
| Clitheroe | 8 | Yes - reduction of 1 |
| Longridge | 3 | No change |
| Whalley | 1 | No change |

| Indicator 30 | Permissions involving the creation of new retail floorspace |
|----------------|--|
| Target | Net increase |
| Related Policy | Key Statement EC2 – Development of retail, shops and community facilities |
| Result | There has been 1 application involving the creation of new retail floorpsace |
| | over the monitoring period. See table below |

| LOCATION | PLANNING APP NO | DESCRIPTION | НА | SQM | USE CLASS |
|---|--------------------|--|--------|-------|--------------|
| Unit 3-5 Deanfield Drive, Clitheroe, BB7 1QJ | 3/2015/0812 | Change of use from B1(c) to a mixed use B1(a), B1(b) and A1. | 0.0449 | 449.3 | A1 |

| Indicator 31 | Permissions involving the loss of community facilities |
|----------------|---|
| Target | Net reduction |
| Related Policy | Key Statement EC2 – Development of retail, shops and community facilities |
| Result | See table below |

Community facilities defined as being: facilities which provide for the health and wellbeing, social education, spiritual, recreational, leisure and cultural needs of the community target is, there should be no net loss over the plan period.

Over the monitoring period there have been 5 permissions involving the loss of community facilities. There is an overall Core Strategy target that there should be no net less over the plan period (2008-2028).

| Application No. | Site | Development Description |
|-----------------|---|--|
| 3/2014/1019 | Pendle Hotel Clitheroe Road Chatburn | Conversion and reconstruction of part of original public house/hotel to form domestic dwelling house |
| 3/2015/0352 | Former public conveniences Newton | Demolition of former public convenience and erection of single storey detached garage/store for residential use. |
| 3/2015/0426 | Pendle View Fisheries A59 Barrow | Change of use of fishery to leisure park with 19 lodges, 11 woodland lodges, 10 cabins, warden's lodge, conversion, extension and amenity building to form one bedroom holiday cottage, conversion of storage buildings to form one 2 bed holiday cottage, conversion and extension of existing manager's house and café to form restaurant, public house and manager's accommodation, 100 car parking space, ground work, re-contouring and creation of ecological wetland and ancillary landscaping. |
| 3/2015/0791 | Craven Heifer 105 Whalley Road Clitheroe | Change of use from public house (A4) to offices (B1). |
| 3/2015/0928 | White Horse Inn York Street Clitheroe | Change of use from public house with living accommodation to two shops and five apartments together with external alterations. |

SECTION FIVE: DELIVERY MECHANISMS AND INFRASTRUCTURE

This section sets out information on those Core Strategy delivery and infrastructure policies and Key Statements where monitoring information can be obtained. Section 8 contains those policies where monitoring information is not available.

In terms of delivery, the Council will lead the implementation of the Core Strategy however, this cannot be done in isolation from other services and service providers. Monitoring of these delivery policies ensures that they are operating effectively.

MONITORING OF THE DELIVERY AND INFRASTRUCTURE POLICIES

KEY STATEMENT DMI1: PLANNING OBLIGATIONS

| Indicator 36 | Number of developments with legal agreements for infrastructure contributions (covering facilities and services) |
|----------------|--|
| Target | Monitor only |
| Related Policy | Key Statement DM1: Planning Obligations |
| Result | 11 |

| Section 106's signed 1/4/15 - 31/3/16 | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|----------|--|
| APP NO | LOCATION | DATE | |
| 3/2013/0981 | Land at Chatburn Road, Clitheroe | 30/06/15 | |
| 3/2014/0618 | Land off Chatburn Old Road, Chatburn | 27/05/15 | |
| 3/2014/0666 | 15 Parker Avenue, Clitheroe | 30/03/15 | |
| 3/2014/0742 | Land off Pimlico Road, Clitheroe | 30/09/15 | |
| 3/2014/0764 | Land East of Chipping Lane, Longridge | 29/10/15 | |
| 3/2014/0779 | Land off Dale View, Billington | 11/09/15 | |
| 3/2014/1018 | Barnacre Road, Longridge | 15/12/15 | |
| 3/2014/1056 | Mill Cottage, Mill Lane, Waddington | 14/09/15 | |
| 3/2014/1066 | Land adj. 1 Swinglehurst Cottage, Chipping | 01/07/15 | |
| 3/2015/0010 | Land off Longsight Road, Langho | 30/06/15 | |
| 3/2015/0159 | Former Golf Driving Range Upbrooks, Lincoln Way Clitheroe | 08/01/16 | |

SECTION SIX: STRATEGIC SITE

This section sets out information on the Core Strategy Strategic site at Standen where monitoring information can be obtained.

THE STRATEGIC SITE: STANDEN

| Indicator 37 | Monitoring on the progress on the implementation of planning permissions. |
|----------------|---|
| Target | 100 dwellings per annum from 2017 |
| Related Policy | Strategic Site |
| Result | No application received during monitoring period but a |
| | Reserved Matters application was submitted for 229 dwellings in April |
| | 2016. |

The strategic site allocated in the Core Strategy is at Standen, to the south east of Clitheroe. As set out in the Core Strategy it is intended that the site will be developed in a comprehensive and sustainable manner. Outline planning permission for the site was approved on 17/4/2014 for a development to include 1040 dwellings (728 market and 312 affordable) reference 3/2012/0942.

Permission to vary the conditions of the outline permission was granted in April 2015 (application reference 3/2015/0895) and by way of an update, just outside of the monitoring period (April 2016) a Reserved Matters application was submitted in relation to phase one of the development for 229 dwellings (3/2016/0324). The application was undetermined at the time of writing this report.

It is estimated that the first phase will be completed by 2022. Other phases are expected to commence within this period. Development of the site has not yet commenced. The images below show the site in its local context.



SECTION SEVEN: DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT POLICIES

This section sets out information on those Core Strategy Development Management policies where monitoring information can be obtained. Section 8 contains those policies where monitoring information is not available.

The purpose of the Development Management policies is to provide a mechanism to help implement the Core Strategy to attain the vision and objectives that are identified and set out in the document. Against the context of an identified Development Strategy and themed spatial policies, the Development Management policies guide the principles of development and provide a clear approach for delivering the Core Strategy. They help to inform decisions on planning applications which is the principle means of ensuring the successful delivery of the strategy. Monitoring of these policies therefore ensures that they are operating effectively.

MONITORING OF THE DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT POLICIES

| Indicator 38 | Percentage of new development in accordance with the Development |
|-----------------------|---|
| | Strategy, i.e. directing development to existing sustainable settlements. |
| Target | Various targets as set out in DS1 |
| Related Policy | Key Statement DS1 – Development Strategy |
| Result | The Core Strategy was adopted in December 2014 and since this date all applications have been determined against the policies set out in the plan. |
| | The Council reviews appeal decisions, especially in those applications where the spatial strategy is considered as an issue. There have been no decisions that would warrant concern regarding the strategy, which is being upheld by Inspector's. |

POLICY DMG2: STRATEGIC CONSIDERATIONS

Table 4.12 of the adopted Core Strategy sets out the residual numbers of dwellings for Principal Settlements and Tier One settlements, based on the as determined at 31st March 2014. The position updated to 31st March 2016 is as follows:

PRINCIPAL SETTLEMENTS:

| Principal Settlement | Total no. dwellings required 2008- 2028 | Commitments at March 2016 | Residual no. dwellings at 31 st March 2016 ⁸ | Amount in excess of residual requirement |
|---------------------------|--|------------------------------|---|---|
| Clitheroe (total) | 2320 | - | - | - |
| of which: | | | | |
| Standen Strategic Site | 1040 | 1040 | 0 | 0 |
| rest of Clitheroe | 1280 | 1338 | 0 | 58 |
| Longridge | 1160 | 932 | 28 | 0 |
| Whalley | 520 | 546 | 0 | 26 |

Unlike the Principal Settlements, specific overall requirements were not set for Tier One settlements at the outset of the Core Strategy plan making process. Instead residual requirements were only applied at a later stage during the examination period in connection with the refinement of the Development Strategy. The table below shows the residual requirement as set out in the adopted Core Strategy, based on the position at 31st March 2014 and an updated position at 31st March 2016 which takes into account commitments up to this latter date:

TIER ONE SETTLEMENTS:

| Settlement | Residual requirement at 31 st March 2014 | Residual requirement at 31 st March 2016 |
|---------------------|--|---|
| Barrow | 0 | 0 |
| Wilpshire | 45 | 38 |
| Read and Simonstone | 18 | 20 |
| Billington | 18 | 0 |
| Langho | 18 | 0 |
| Mellor | 18 | 18 |
| Chatburn | 18 | 18 |
| Mellor Brook | 5 | 5 |
| Gisburn | 5 | 0 |

The above figures represent the situation at the date of monitoring and do not include applications which the Council has resolved to approve once a Section 106 Agreement has been completed. They may vary after that date as permissions are granted (including when Section 106 Agreements are completed), lapse or subsequent schemes involve a variation in number of dwellings from an original scheme. Most up to date information is used at the point of determining individual applications.

⁸ As at 31st March 2016. Any applications approved or lapsed since this date may alter the residual number.

POLICY DMG3: TRANSPORT AND MOBILITY

| Indicator 42 | Permissions which affect the opportunity to transport freight by rail or affect the potential rail station sites at Gisburn and Chatburn. |
|----------------|---|
| Target | 0 |
| Related Policy | Key Statement DMG3 – Transport and Mobility |
| Result | 0 – target has been met. |

TREES & WOODLANDS, OPEN SPACE, FOOTPATHS

POLICY DME1: PROTECTING TREES AND WOODLANDS

| Indicator 43 | Number of permissions involving the planting of new trees/ woodlands and total net area |
|----------------|---|
| Target | Net gain |
| Related Policy | DME1 – Protecting Trees and Woodlands |
| Result | Over the monitoring period there have been 9 permissions which involved the planting of trees/ woodlands increasing the total net area in the borough. This is in line with the Core Strategy policy. |

| Indicator 44 | Number of permissions involving a net loss of woodland or hedgerows. |
|----------------|--|
| Target | 0 |
| Related Policy | DME1 – Protecting Trees and Woodlands |
| Result | There have been no permissions involving a net loss of woodland or |
| | hedgerows over the monitoring period which is in line with the Core |
| | Strategy target. |

| Indicator 45 | Number of new TPOs made. |
|----------------|---|
| Target | Monitor only |
| Related Policy | DME1 – Protecting Trees and Woodlands |
| Result | There have been 4 new TPOs made over the monitoring period. |
| | |

| Indicator 46 | oss of any protected trees. | | | |
|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Target | 0 | | | |
| Related Policy | DME1 – Protecting Trees and Woodlands | | | |
| Result | There has been a loss of 33 protected trees over the monitoring period | | | |
| | and therefore the Core Strategy target has not been met. | | | |

| Indicator 47 | Loss of ancient woodland and veteran and ancient trees. |
|----------------|---|
| Target | 0 |
| Related Policy | DME1 – Protecting Trees and Woodlands |
| Result | There has been no loss of ancient woodland and veteran and ancient |
| | trees over the monitoring period, which is in line with the Core Strategy |
| | target. |

HERITAGE

KEY STATEMENT EN5: HERITAGE ASSETS

| Indicator 58 | Number of applications involving designated heritage assets. | | | | | |
|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Target | Aonitor only | | | | | |
| Related Policy | Key Statement EN5 – Heritage Assets | | | | | |
| Result | There have been a significant number of applications involving designated | | | | | |
| | heritage assets over the monitoring period, however it was not possible to | | | | | |
| | determine the specific number of applications before this report was | | | | | |
| | finalised. | | | | | |

(definition of a heritage asset - A World Heritage Site, Scheduled Monument, Listed Building, Protected Wreck Site, Registered Park and Garden, Registered Battlefield or Conservation Area designated under the relevant legislation)

| Indicator 59 | Number of permissions granted against Heritage England advice. | | | |
|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Target | Zero | | | |
| Related Policy | Key Statement EN5 – Heritage Assets | | | |
| Result | Zero over the monitoring period. Target met. | | | |

POLICY DME4: PROTECTING HERITAGE ASSETS

| Indicator 53 | Publication of a local list of heritage assets. | | | | | |
|----------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Target | Target is to review the local list once it's established. | | | | | |
| Related Policy | DME4 – Protecting Heritage Assets | | | | | |
| Result | Currently no local list produced | | | | | |

| Indicator 54 | Publication of buildings at risk register |
|----------------|---|
| Target | Target is to review the local list once it's established. |
| Related Policy | DME4 – Protecting Heritage Assets |
| Result | Target met. There are 6 sites identified on the English Heritage 'Building at risk register': |
| | Parks – Woodfold Park, Mellor Scheduled Monument 1.Bellmanpark lime kilns and part of an associated tramway 180 metres north west of Bellman Farm, Clitheroe 2. Whalley Cistercian Abbey 3. Ribchester Roman Fort 4. Ashnott lead mine 5. The old lower Hodder bridge, Great Mitton Whalley Abbey This remains the same as the previous monitoring period. |

| Indicator 55 | Number | of | listed | buildings | and | buildings | in | Conservation | areas | lost |
|--------------|-----------|-----|--------|-------------|------|-----------|----|--------------|-------|------|
| | through o | dev | elopme | ent proposa | als. | | | | | |

| Target | No loss |
|----------------|--|
| Related Policy | DME4 – Protecting Heritage Assets |
| Result | There have been no listed buildings lost through development proposals |
| | over the monitoring period. |
| | There have been no buildings in conversation areas lost due to |
| | development proposals over the monitoring period. |

| Indicator 56 | Number of permissions involving Parks and Gardens and Scheduled Ancient Monuments | | | | | | |
|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Target | No change against EH advice | | | | | | |
| Related Policy | DME4 – Protecting Heritage Assets | | | | | | |
| Result | Over the monitoring period there have been a number of applications where Historic Parks & Gardens and/or Scheduled Monuments have been a material consideration. An example of this is the application for the tennis dome at Stonyhurst College.). Another of note is the Mellor Lodge appeal decision (dismissed December 2015 relating to the retention of a harmful extension to the listed lodge; Woodfold Park Historic Park & Garden is 'at risk' according to Heritage England) and the removal of unauthorised stables at Gisburne Park Historic Park & Garden this year. There has also been a refusal for a proposed extension to the stables at Woodfold Park. An application for signage at Paythorne was withdrawn on concern that it harmed the setting of a scheduled monument. | | | | | | |
| Indicator 57 | Conservation Area appraisals | | | | | | |
| Target | Target is to maintain up to date conservation area appraisals. | | | | | | |
| Related Policy | DME4 – Protecting Heritage Assets | | | | | | |
| Result | There are a total number of 22 Conservation Areas in the Borough. The majority of the Conservation Area appraisals were carried out in 2005, and will require a review. | | | | | | |

| Indicator 63 | Number of permissions for development grated contrary to Environment Agency advice. |
|----------------|---|
| Target | 0 |
| Related Policy | DME6 – Water Management |
| Result | Zero. Target has been met. |
| | Based upon the most up to date information available from the |
| | Environment Agency (EA), two applications were approved following an |
| | objection from the EA in relation to an unsatisfactory FRA/FCA being |
| | submitted, however in both cases mitigation measures were identified. |

POLICY DME6: WATER MANAGEMENT

| Number of applications permitted against criteria set out in policy |
|--|
| |
| DME6 – Water Management |
| The policy requires that development will not be permitted where the proposal would be at an unacceptable risk of flooding or exacerbate looding elsewhere. To be acceptable applications for development should include appropriate measures for the conservation, protection and nanagement of water such that development contributes to: |
| |

| | Preventing pollution of surface and/ or groundwater |
|--------|---|
| | Reducing water consumption |
| | Reducing the risk of surface water flooding |
| | The Authority will also seek the protection of the borough's water courses for their biodiversity value |
| Result | Within the monitoring period no planning permission has been granted in contravention of DME6. |

POLICY DMH4: CONVERSION OF BARNS AND OTHER BUILDINGS TO DWELLINGS

| Indicator 67 | Number of permissions granted in accord with the policy criteria. |
|----------------|---|
| Target | 100% |
| Related Policy | DMH4 – Conversion of Barns and other buildings to dwellings |
| Result | 14 |

POLICY DMH5: RESIDENTIAL AND CURTILAGE EXTENSIONS

| Indicator 68 | Number of permissions involving residential extension or curtilage extensions that comply with the policy criteria. |
|----------------|--|
| Target | 100% |
| Related Policy | DMH5 – Residential and curtilage extensions |
| Result | Over the monitoring period there has been: |
| | 10 permissions involving curtilage extensions 196 applications involving residential extensions |

EMPLOYMENT

POLICY DMB1: SUPPORTING BUSINESS GROWTH AND THE LOCAL ECONOMY

| Indicator 69 | Gain in new employment land by floor area and type. |
|----------------|---|
| Target | 8ha |
| Related Policy | DMB1 – Supporting business growth and the local economy |
| Result | In the previous monitoring period As at 31 st March 2015, there has been 3.35ha of new employment land approved (B1 use). There has been an additional 2.24ha of new employment land for B1 and B2 use granted planning permission in this monitoring period (1 st April 2015- 31 st March 2016). Collectively therefore, since the adoption of the Core Strategy in December 2014 there has been a total of 5.59ha of new employment land granted planning permission. This leaves a residual amount of 2.41ha of employment land to provide over the remaining plan period (up to 2028). |

| Indicator 70 | Loss of existing employment land by floor area and type. |
|----------------|---|
| Target | No net loss over plan period |
| Related Policy | DMB1 – Supporting business growth and the local economy |
| Result | Overall loss of 2021m ² over the monitoring period is broken down as follows: B1a - 1120 m ² B1c - 449 m ² B2 - 452 m ² |

| Indicator 71 | Number of firms relocating outside the Borough due to planning constraints set out in policy. |
|----------------|---|
| Target | zero |
| Related Policy | DMB1 – Supporting business growth and the local economy |
| Result | 0 – target has been met. |

POLICY DMB2: THE CONVERSION OF BARNS AND OTHER RURAL BUILDINGS TO EMPLOYMENT USES

| Indicator 72 | Number of permissions involving conversion and net new floorspace |
|----------------|--|
| | created. |
| Target | Net gain |
| Related Policy | DMB2 – The conversion of barns and other rural buildings to employment |
| | USES |
| Result | There have been no permissions. |

POLICY DMB3: RECREATION AND TOURISM DEVELOPMENT

| Indicator 73 | Number of planning permissions involving new or improved facilities |
|----------------|---|
| Target | Net gain |
| Related Policy | DMB3 – Recreation and Tourism Development |
| Result | 6 permissions granted. Target has been met. |

| Indicator 74 | Number of planning permissions involving loss and change of use of |
|----------------|--|
| | tourism and recreation facilities. |
| Target | Net gain |
| Related Policy | DMB3 – Recreation and Tourism Development |
| Result | No permissions have been granted. |

| Indicator 75 | Number of permissions involving loss of public open space (POS) and any |
|--------------|--|
| | alternative provision made. |
| Target | Net gain over plan period |
| Related | DMB4 – Open Space Provision |
| Policy | |
| Result | For the purposes of this AMR Open Space is taken to mean those sites defined in the previous District wide Local Plan (DWLP) as "Essential Open Space" under DWLP policy G6. In the development of the forthcoming Housing and Economic Development DPD the definition of Open Space has been changed and therefore going forward direct comparisons with AMRs based on the older definition will initially be difficult. During this AMR period and using the G6 site definition no Open Space has been lost therefore target met |
| | During this AMR period and using the G6 site definition no Open Space has been lost therefore target met. |

POLICY DMB4: OPEN SPACE PROVISION

| Indicator 76 | Number of permissions and area of gain in Public Open Space. |
|----------------|--|
| Target | Net gain over plan period |
| Related Policy | DMB4 – Open Space Provision |
| Result | Over the monitoring period there have been section 106 contributions towards off-site improvements at Mardale Playing Fields, Longridge. There are also Open Space provision arrangements awaiting a reserved matters application in one further application. |

RETAIL

POLICY DMR1: RETAIL DEVELOPMENT IN CLITHEROE

| Indicator 79 | Permissions involving gains in retail area and type. |
|----------------|--|
| Target | Targets are set out in Policy EC2 relating to new retail provision by 2028. |
| Related Policy | Policy DMR1 – Retail development in Clitheroe |
| Result | Over the monitoring period there has been 1 permission which involved a |
| | gain in retail area. |
| | |
| Indicator 80 | Loss of any retail outlets and in the main shopping frontages by area and |
| | type. |
| Target | |
| Related Policy | Policy DMR1 – Retail development in Clitheroe |
| Result | There has been a loss of 1 retail outlet in the main shopping frontages over |
| | the monitoring period. |

POLICY DMR2: SHOPPING IN LONGRIDGE AND WHALLEY

| Indicator 81 | Permissions involving gains in retail area and type. |
|----------------|--|
| Target | Targets are set out in Policy EC2 relating to new retail provision by 2028 |
| Related Policy | Policy DMR2 – Shopping in Longridge and Whalley |
| Result | There have been no permissions over the monitoring period. |

INDICATOR: MONITOR POLICY DMR2

| Indicator 82 | Loss of any retail outlets by area and type |
|----------------|---|
| Target | zero |
| Related Policy | Policy DMR2 – Shopping in Longridge and Whalley |
| Result | 0 |

POLICY DMR3: RETAIL OUTSIDE THE MAIN SETTLEMENTS

| Indicator 83 | Loss of any retail outlets in the villages |
|----------------|---|
| Target | zero |
| Related Policy | Policy DMR3 – Retail outside the main settlements |
| Result | 0 |

| Indicator 84 | Gain in shopping area in villages and wider rurality |
|----------------|--|
| Target | Net gain |
| Related Policy | Policy DMR3 – Retail outside the main settlements |
| Result | 0 |

SECTION EIGHT: INDICATORS WITH INSUFFICIENT MECHANISMS IN PLACE TO MONITOR

There are currently insufficient monitoring mechanisms in place to effectively monitor the following indicators:

| Indicator 6 | Number of applications for development within the "Open Countryside" i.e. on sites outside established allocations or settlement boundaries. |
|----------------|--|
| Target | Zero |
| Related Policy | Key Statement EN2 – Landscape |
| Result | Insufficient mechanisms in place to monitor |

| Indicator 7 | Area of land (ha or m2) within Open Countryside granted permission |
|----------------|--|
| Target | Zero |
| Related Policy | Key Statement EN2 – Landscape |
| Result | Insufficient mechanisms in place to monitor |

| Indicator 8 | Proportion of the population that has full access to the requirements of the |
|----------------|--|
| | Accessible Natural Green Space Standard |
| Target | Amount of statutory LNR per 1000 population. Target is 100%. 1ha of |
| | statutory local nature reserve per 1000 population |
| Related Policy | Key Statement EN2 – Landscape |
| Result | Insufficient mechanisms in place to monitor. |

| Indicator 9 | Number of all relevant applications granted that do not conform to the specified codes and standards in the policy (Code for sustainable homes, Lifetime homes, Building for Life and BREEAM standards). |
|----------------|--|
| Target | Target is less than 5% of all relevant permissions. |
| Related Policy | Key Statement EN4 – Sustainable Development and Climate Change |
| Result | Insufficient mechanisms in place to monitor |

| Indicator 13 | Number of applications involving a potential effect on recognised sites of environmental or ecological importance (i.e. those categories of site listed in para 2 of the policy). |
|----------------|---|
| Target | Net Gain |
| Related Policy | Key Statement EN4 – Biodiversity and Geodiversity |
| Result | Insufficient mechanisms in place to monitor. |

HOUSING

KEY STATEMENT H3: AFFORDABLE HOUSING

| Indicator 20 | Number of new dwellings approved/ constructed which meet the Lifetime Homes standard. |
|----------------|---|
| Target | 100% |
| Related Policy | Key Statement H1 – Housing Provision |
| Result | Insufficient mechanisms in place to monitor |

DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT POLICIES

| Indicator 39 | Number of permissions for development outside those settlements defined in the development strategy that do not meet at least one of the criteria mentioned in the policy. |
|----------------|--|
| Target | Zero dwellings per annum from 2017 |
| Related Policy | Key Statement DS1 – Development Strategy |
| Result | Insufficient mechanisms in place to monitor. |

| Indicator 40 | Number of permissions granted within 400m of a public transport route |
|----------------|---|
| Target | 90% |
| Related Policy | Key Statement DMG3 – Transport and Mobility |
| Result | Insufficient mechanisms in place to monitor. |

| Indicator 41 | Number of permissions granted that do not involve a travel plan. | | |
|----------------|--|--|--|
| Target | 0 | | |
| Related Policy | Key Statement DMG3 – Transport and Mobility | | |
| Result | Insufficient mechanisms in place to monitor. | | |

| Indicator 77 | Loss of any Public Right of Way (PROW) or alternative provision. | |
|----------------|--|--|
| Target | No loss of PROW as measured against policy criteria | |
| Related Policy | DMB5 – Foothpaths and Bridleways | |
| Result | Insufficient mechanisms in place to monitor. | |

| Indicator 78 | Diversion of any PROW by number of incidents and total length of diversions. | | | |
|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Target | Monitor only | | | |
| Related Policy | DMB5 – Footpaths and Bridleways | | | |
| Result | Insufficient mechanisms in place to monitor. | | | |

LANDSCAPE

POLICY DME2: LANDSCAPE AND TOWNSCAPE PROTECTION

| Indicator 50 | Permissions involving potential change to landscape elements within | | | | |
|----------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | policy. | | | | |
| Target | Zero permissions involving significant harm | | | | |
| Related Policy | DME2 - Landscape and Townscape Protection | | | | |
| Result | Insufficient mechanisms in place to monitor. | | | | |

POLICY DME3: SITE AND SPECIES PROTECTION AND CONSERVATION

| Indicator 51 | Number of permissions which adversely affect the various sites and species mentioned in the policy. | | | |
|----------------|---|--|--|--|
| Target | see a net enhancement of biodiversity | | | |
| Related Policy | DME3 - Site and Species Protection and Conservation | | | |
| Result | Insufficient mechanisms in place to monitor. | | | |

| Indicator 52 | Measurement of enhancement in ENV4: conserve and enhance the area's biodiversity and geodiversity and to avoid the fragmentation and isolation | | | |
|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| | of natural habitats and help develop green corridors. | | | |
| Target | see a net enhancement of biodiversity | | | |
| Related Policy | DME3 - Site and Species Protection and Conservation | | | |
| Result | Insufficient mechanisms in place to monitor | | | |

RENEWABLE ENERGY

POLICY DME5: RENEWABLE ENERGY

| Indicator 60 | Number of permissions granted fulfilling renewable energy requirements within policy and by type of renewable energy. | | |
|----------------|---|--|--|
| Target | At least 90% | | |
| Related Policy | DME5 – Renewable Energy | | |
| Result | Insufficient mechanisms in place to monitor. | | |

| Indicator 61 | Number of permissions involving on-site renewable energy generation and type of renewable energy. | | | |
|----------------|---|--|--|--|
| Target | Target is 20MW per year. | | | |
| Related Policy | DME5 – Renewable Energy | | | |
| Result | There were 2 planning permissions granted within the AMR period in | | | |
| | relation to renewable power generation over 50kw. They amounted to 5 | | | |
| | MW of generating capacity. Therefore the target has not been met. | | | |

HOUSING

POLICY DMH1: AFFORDABLE HOUSING CRITERIA

| Indicator 64 | Percentage of affordable housing that meets the criteria set out in the policy. | | | |
|----------------|---|--|--|--|
| | Policy DMH1 sets out criteria against which proposals for the provision of affordable housing will be determined. To be acceptable proposals must be expressly for the following groups of people: | | | |
| | First time buyers currently resident in the Parish or an adjoining parish Older people currently resident in the parish or an adjoin parish Those employed in the parish or an immediately adjoining parish but currently living more than 5 miles from their place of employment | | | |
| | • Those who have lived in the parish for any 5 of the last 10 years having left to find suitable accommodation and also with close family remaining in the village | | | |
| | Those about to take up employment in the parish | | | |
| | People needing to move to the area to help support and care for a sick, older person or infirm relative | | | |
| | In addition to the groups mentioned above, others may have special circumstances that can be applied which will be assessed on their individual merits. | | | |
| Target | 100% | | | |
| Related Policy | DMH1 – Affordable Housing Criteria | | | |
| Result | Delivery of affordable housing is monitored through section 106 mechanisms and the nominations process, however this is not currently collated to inform this indicator. | | | |

POLICY DMH2: GYPSY AND TRAVELLER ACCOMMODATION

| Indicator 65 | Number of new pitches created. | |
|----------------|--|--|
| Target | As per latest GTAA – currently 2 | |
| Related Policy | DMH2 – Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation | |
| Result | Zero in monitoring period | |

POLICY DMH3: DWELLINGS IN THE OPEN COUNTRYSIDE AND AONB

| Indicator 66 | Number of permissions granted in accord with the policy criteria. | |
|----------------|---|--|
| Target | 100% of applications to be granted in line with the policy | |
| Related Policy | DMH3 – Dwellings in the open countryside and AONB | |
| Result | Insufficient mechanisms in place to monitor. | |

SECTION NINE: LIST OF ALL CORE STRATEGY INDICATORS

| No | Indicator | Related CS Policy | Methodology |
|-----|--|----------------------|--|
| | ENVIRONMENT | | |
| | Greenbelt | | |
| 1 | Number of applications involving sites wholly or partly within the Greenbelt | EN1 | Insufficient recording to allow monitoring |
| 2 | Area of land (Ha or m2) in greenbelt granted permission | EN1 | Insufficient recording to allow monitoring |
| 3 | Number of inappropriate developments granted in the Green Belt. | EN1 | Insufficient recording to allow monitoring |
| | Landscape | | |
| 4 | No of applications involving sites wholly or partly within the AONB | EN2 | Insufficient recording to allow monitoring |
| 5 | Area of land (Ha or m2) within AONB granted permission | EN2 | Insufficient recording to allow monitoring |
| 6 | No of applications for development within the "Open Countryside" ie on sites outside established allocations or settlement boundaries. | EN2 | Insufficient recording to allow monitoring |
| 7 | Area of land (Ha or m2) within Open Countryside granted permission. | EN2 | Insufficient recording to allow monitoring |
| 8 | Proportion of the population that has full access to the requirements of the Accessible Natural Greenspace Standard. | EN2 | Insufficient recording to allow monitoring |
| | Sustainable Development & Development Change | | |
| 9 | No of all relevant applications granted that do not conform to the specified Codes and standards in the policy. | EN3 & DME5 | Insufficient recording to allow monitoring |
| 10 | No of new permissions for development granted contrary to Env Agency advice. | EN3 | EA |
| 11 | No of applications referred to the Minerals Authority as being within Mineral Safeguarding Areas (MSAs). | EN3 | |
| 10 | BIODIVERSITY AND GEODIVERSITY | | Tuese |
| 12 | Net gain to local biodiversity measured through biodiversity offsetting agreements | EN4 | Trees and Countryside officer |
| 13 | No of applications involving a potential effect on recognised sites of environmental or ecological importance (i.e. those categories of site listed in para 2 of the policy). | EN4 | Insufficient recording to allow monitoring |
| 14 | No of sites granted permission against Natural England Advice. | EN4 | NE |
| 15 | Change in areas and populations of biodiversity importance, including: change in priority habitats and species by type and; change in areas of international, national, regional or local significance. | EN4 | Trees and Countryside officer |
| 1.5 | HOUSING | | |
| 16 | The amount of housing completed in the borough | H1 – Housing | Planning technician |

| No | Indicator | Related CS Policy | Methodology |
|----|--|---|--|
| | | Provision | |
| 17 | Housing Mix including tenure and type | H2 – Housing Balance | Insufficient recording to allow monitoring |
| 18 | Additional indicator – Housing supply and trajectory | | |
| 19 | The number of new build affordable units completed in the borough as well as number of Landlord and Tenant grants provided, number of purchase and repair scheme, Tenancy Protection schemes and no. of empty properties brought back into use | H3 – Affordable Housing | Housing needs team |
| 20 | Number of new dwellings approved/constructed which meet the Lifetime Homes standard | H3 | Insufficient recording to allow monitoring |
| 21 | Number of permissions for GT pitches | H4 – Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation | Planning policy |
| 22 | New and converted dwellings on previously developed land | H1 – Housing Provision | Planning Technician |
| | ECONOMY | | |
| 23 | Amount of new employment land developed per annum | EC1 – Business and Employment Development | Planning technician |
| 24 | Employment land supply by types (hectares) | EC1 – Business and Employment Development | |
| 25 | Number of farm diversification schemes permitted | EC1 – Business and Employment Development | Regeneration |
| 26 | Loss of employment land | EC1 – Business and Employment Development | Planning technician |
| 27 | % of land permitted for development on previously developed land | EC1 – Business and Employment Development | |
| 28 | Empty commercial properties | EC1 – Business and Employment Development | Regeneration |
| 29 | Retail vacancy rates in the key service centres of Clitheroe, Longridge and Whalley | EC2 – Development of Retail, Shops and Community facilities and services | Planning technician |
| 30 | Permissions involving the creation of new retail floorspace | EC2 – Development of Retail, Shops and Community facilities and services | Planning technician |
| 31 | Permissions involving the loss of community facilities | EC2 – | Development |

| No | Indicator | Related CS Policy | Methodology |
|----|--|--|--|
| | | Development of Retail, Shops and Community facilities and services | Management |
| 32 | Unemployed persons in Ribble Valley | EC1 – Business and Employment Development | NOMIS |
| 33 | Number of people claiming a key benefit Ribble Valley | EC1 – Business and Employment Development | NOMIS |
| 34 | Employed persons in Ribble Valley | EC1 – Business and Employment Development | NOMIS |
| 35 | Weekly earnings in Ribble Valley | EC1 – Business and Employment Development | NOMIS |
| | Delivery Mechanisms and Infrastructure | | |
| 36 | Number of developments with legal agreements for infrastructure contributions | DM1 – Planning Obligations DM2 – Transport Considerations | Planning technician |
| | Strategic Site | | |
| 37 | Monitoring on the progress on the implementation of planning permissions | DMG2 | Planning Policy |
| | DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT POLICIES | | |
| 38 | % of new development in accord with development strategy ie directing development to existing sustainable settlements. | DMG2 - | Planning technician |
| 39 | No of permissions for development outside those settlements defined in the development strategy that do not meet at least one of the criteria mentioned in the policy Transport and Mobility | DS1 – Development Strategy | Planning technician |
| 40 | No of permissions granted within 400m of a public transport route. | DMI2,DMG3 | Insufficient recording to allow monitoring |
| 41 | No of major permissions granted that require a travel plan | DMG3 | |
| 42 | Permissions which affect the opportunity to transport freight by rail or affect the potential rail Station sites at Gisburn and Chatburn. Protecting Trees and Woodlands | DMG3 | Planning Policy |
| 43 | Number of permissions involving the planting of new | DME1 – | Trees and |
| | trees/woodlands and total net area | Protecting Trees and Woodlands | Countryside |
| 44 | Number of permissions involving a net loss of woodland or hedgerows | DME1 – Protecting Trees | |

| No | Indicator | Related CS Policy | Methodology |
|-----|---|---|--|
| | | and Woodlands | |
| 45 | Number of TPOs made | DME1 – Protecting Trees and Woodlands | Trees and Countryside Officer |
| 46 | Loss of any protected trees | | Not recorded |
| 47 | Loss of ancient woodland and veteran and ancient trees | | Not recorded |
| 48 | No net loss of hedgerows | EN4 | Trees and Countryside Officer |
| 49 | No net loss of biological heritage sites | EN4 | Trees and Countryside Officer |
| | Landscape and Townscape Protection | | |
| xx | Permissions involving potential change to landscape elements within policy (DME2) | DME2 | |
| | Sites and species protection and conservation | | |
| 50 | No of permissions which adversely affect the various sites and species mentioned in the policy (DME3) | DME 3 –Sites and Species protection and conservation | Insufficient recording to allow monitoring |
| 51 | Measurement of enhancement in ENV4. | ENV4 – Biodiversity and Geodiversity | Insufficient recording to allow monitoring |
| | Protecting Heritage Assets | | |
| 52 | Publication of a local list of heritage assets | DME4 | Conservation Officer |
| 53 | Publication of a buildings at risk register | DME4 | Consult with Conservation Officer and search on MVM |
| 54 | Number of listed buildings and buildings in CA lost through development proposals | DME4 | Search on MVM records and consult with Conservation Officer |
| 55 | No of permissions involving Parks and Gardens and Scheduled Ancient Monuments | EN5 – Heritage Assets DME4 – Protecting Heritage Assets | Search on MVM records and consult with Conservation Officer |
| 56 | Conservation Area Appraisals | EN5- Heritage Assets | consult with Conservation Officer |
| 57 | Number of applications involving designated heritage assets | EN5- Heritage Assets | consult with Conservation Officer |
| 58 | Number of permissions granted agasint Heritage England advice. | EN5- Heritage Assets | consult with Conservation Officer |
| | RENEWABLE ENERGY | | |
| 59 | No of permissions granted fulfilling Renewable Energy requirements within policy and by type of | DME5 – Renewable Energy | |
| 60 | No of permissions involving onsite RE generation and type of RE | DME5 – Renewable Energy | |
| | Water Management | Lincigy | |
| 61 | No of applications permitted against criteria set out in | DME6 – Water | Insufficient |
| - · | policy DME6 | Management | recording to allow |

| No | Indicator | Related CS Policy | Methodology |
|-----|--|---|---------------------|
| | | | monitoring |
| 62 | Number of permissions for development granted contrary to EA advice | | EA |
| | Affordable Housing Criteria | | |
| 63 | Percentage of affordable housing that meets the criteria set out in the policy. | DMH1 – Affordable Housing Criteria | Housing Needs |
| | Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation | | |
| 64 | No of new GT pitches created (DMH2) | DMH2 – Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation | Planning Policy |
| | Dwellings in the open countryside | | |
| 65 | No of permissions granted in accord with the policy criteria.(DMH3) | DMH3 – Dwellings in the open countryside and Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty | |
| | Conversion of Barns and other buildings to dwellings | | |
| 66 | No of permissions accord with the policy criteria. (DMH4) | DMH4 – The conversion of barns and other buildings to dwellings | MVM |
| 07 | Residential and curtilage extensions | DAUE | |
| 67 | No of permissions involving residential extensions or curtilage extensions that comply with the policy criteria (DMH5) | DMH5 – Residential and curtilage extensions | MVM |
| | Supporting business growth and the local economy | | |
| 68 | Gain in new employment land by floor area and type | | |
| 69 | Loss of existing employment land by floor and area and type | | Planning technician |
| 70 | Number of firms relocating outside the Borough due to planning constraints set out in policy | | Regeneration |
| | Conversion of barns and other rural buildings to employment uses | | |
| 71 | Number of permissions involving conversion and net new floorspace created | | Regeneration |
| | Recreation and Tourism Development | | |
| 72 | Number of planning permissions involving new or improved facilities | DMB3 | |
| 73 | Number of planning permissions involving loss and change of use of tourism and recreation facilities | DMB3 | |
| 7.4 | Open Space Provision | | |
| 74 | No of permissions involving loss of Public Open Space (POS) and any alternative provision made (DMB4) | DMB4 – Open space provision | |
| 75 | No of permissions and area of gain in POS (DMB4) | DMB4 – Open space provision | |
| | Footpaths and Bridleways | | |
| 76 | Loss of any PROW (Public Rights of Way) or | DMB5 – | |

| No | Indicator | Related CS Policy | Methodology |
|----|--|---|----------------------------|
| | alternative provision | Footpaths and Bridleways | |
| 77 | Diversion of any PROW by No of incidents and total length of diversions | DMB5 – Footpaths and Bridleways | Tree & Countryside officer |
| | Retail development in Clitheroe | | |
| 78 | No. of permissions involving gains in retail area and type | DMR1 – Retail Development in Clitheroe | |
| 79 | Loss of any retail outlets and in the main shopping frontages by area and type | DMR1 – Retail Development in Clitheroe | |
| | Shopping in Longridge and Whalley | | |
| 80 | No. of permissions involving gains in retail area and type | DMR2 – Shopping in Longridge and Whalley | Regeneration |
| 81 | Loss of any retail outlets by area and type | DMR2 – Shopping in Longridge and Whalley | Regeneration |
| | Retail outside the main settlements | | |
| 82 | Loss of any retail outlets in villages | DMR3 – Retail outside the main settlements | Regeneration |
| 83 | Gain in shopping area in villages and wider rurality | DMR3 – Retail outside the main settlements | Regeneration |

SECTION TEN: PROGRESS ON THE LOCAL DEVELOPMENT SCHEME

The following table displays the most recent Local Development Scheme (LDS) timetable which was published in May 2016.

Since this date the timetable has been revised to reflect the current situation. Work on the Draft Proposals Map and the Housing and Economic Development DPD is underway, however the Issues and Options stage on both of these documents is now anticipated to begin in August 2016. This would still see adoption of these documents taking place by the end of 2017.

Local Development Framework Timescales – LDS 2016 with AMR monitoring

| | | | | | | 20 | 015 | | | | | | 2016 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2017 | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|--|--|
| Development Documents | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | Мау | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | a | | | b | | | | с | | d | | е | | | f | | g | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ίT | | |
| Housing and Economic Development | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Proposals Map | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | a | | | b | | | | с | | d | | e | | | f | | | |
| AMR Monitoring against timetable set out above showing revised expected dates | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | a | | | D | | | | C | | u | | e | | | ľ | | | |
| - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Key

 Target for Pre-Publication consultations (regulation 18)
 Publication Period (Consultation - regulation 19) Target for submission to Secretary of State (Regulation 22)
 Pre-Examination meeting
 Target Period for Formal Examination
 Anticipated receipt of Inspectors Report
 Date for proposed adoption