# RIBBLE VALLEY BOROUGH COUNCIL REPORT TO COMMUNITY COMMITTEE

Agenda Item No.

meeting date: 29<sup>th</sup> AUGUST 2017

title: 2016/2017 YEAR-END PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

submitted by: DIRECTOR OF RESOURCES

principal author: MICHELLE HAWORTH – PRINCIPAL POLICY AND PERFORMANCE

**OFFICER** 

#### 1 PURPOSE

1.1 This is the year-end report of 2016/2017 that details performance against our local performance indicators.

- 1.2 Regular performance monitoring is essential to ensure that the Council is delivering effectively against its agreed priorities, both in terms of the national agenda and local needs.
- 1.3 Relevance to the Council's ambitions and priorities:

Community Objectives –

Corporate Priorities –
 Monitoring our performance ensures that we are both providing excellent services for our community as well as

Other Considerations - meeting corporate priorities.

#### 2 BACKGROUND

- 2.1 Performance Indicators are an important driver of improvement and allow authorities, their auditors, inspectors, elected members and service users to judge how well services are performing.
- 2.2 A rationale has been sought for maintaining each indicator with it either being used to monitor service performance or to monitor the delivery of a local priority.
- 2.3 The report attached at Appendix 1 comprises the following information:
  - The outturn figures for all local performance indicators relevant to this committee for 2016/17. Some notes have been provided to explain significant variances either between the outturn and the target or between 2016/2017 data and 2015/2016 data. A significant variance is greater than 15% (or 10% for cost Pls).
  - Performance information is also provided for previous years for comparison purposes (where available) and the trend in performance is shown.
  - Targets for service performance for the year 2016/2017 are provided and a 'traffic light' system is used to show variances of actual performance against the target as follows: Red: service performance significantly below target (i.e. less than 75% of target performance), Amber: performance slightly below target (i.e. between 75% and 99% of target), Green: target met/exceeded.
  - Targets have been provided for members to scrutinise for the following three years.
     A target setting rationale was sought from each Head of Service.
- 2.4 These tables are provided to allow members to ascertain how well services are being delivered against our local priorities and objectives, as listed in the Corporate Strategy.
- 2.5 Analysis shows that of the 5 indicators that can be compared to target:
  - 80% (4) of PIs met target (green)

- 20% (1) of PIs close to target (amber)
- 0% (0) of PIs missed target (red)
- 2.6 Analysis shows that of the 5 indicators where performance trend can be compared over the years:
  - 40% (2) of PIs improved
  - 0% (0) of PIs stayed the same
  - 60% (3) of PIs worsened
- 2.7 Where possible audited and checked data has been included in the report. However, some data may be corrected following work of Internal Audit and before the final publication of the indicators on the Council's website. In addition, some of the outturn performance information has not been collected/not yet available before this report was produced.
- 2.8 Indicators can be categorised as 'data only' if they are not suitable for monitoring against targets these are marked as so in the report.
- 3 GENERAL COMMENTS ON PERFORMANCE AND TARGETS
- 3.1 PIs for Culture, Recreation and Leisure Services are being reviewed by Mark Beveridge. A full set of indicators will be introduced for 2017/2018.
- 3.2 In respect of PIs for Engineering Services, Adrian Harper, Head of Engineering Services, has provided the following information regarding performance and targets:
  - PI ES9 (NI191) Residual household waste per household Possible increase in amount collected due to changes in Household Recycling Centre restrictions.
- 4 CONCLUSION
- 4.1 Consider the 2016/2017 performance information provided relating to this committee.

Michelle Haworth
PRINCIPAL POLICY AND
PERFORMANCE OFFICER

Jane Pearson
DIRECTOR OF RESOURCES

**BACKGROUND PAPERS:** 

REF: MH/Community Committee/

For further information please ask for Michelle Haworth, extension 4421

### **APPENDIX 1**

| PI       | Status    | Long Term Trends |               |  |  |
|----------|-----------|------------------|---------------|--|--|
| <u></u>  | Alert     | •                | Improving     |  |  |
|          | Warning   | -                | No Change     |  |  |
| <b>②</b> | ок        | •                | Getting Worse |  |  |
| ?        | Unknown   |                  |               |  |  |
| <b>~</b> | Data Only |                  |               |  |  |

## **Engineering Services Performance Information 2016/2017**

| PI Code                | Short Name   | 2015/16 |        | 2016/17 |        | 2017/18 | 2018/19 | 2019/20 | Current     | Trend           |   | Link to                                     |
|------------------------|--|---------|--------|---------|--------|---------|---------|---------|-------------|-----------------|---|---|
|                        |  | Value   | Target | Value   |        | Target  | Target  | Target  | Performance | year on<br>year | , ,   | Corporate<br>Objective                      |
| PI ES1                 | Number of reported<br>missed collections per<br>100,000 population     | 12      | 18     | 14      | 17     | 17      | 17      | 17      |             | •               | Target has been set at the same figure but may actually vary once arrangements post cost sharing have been finalised.           | To increase the recycling of waste material |
| PI ES2                 | Percentage of missed collections put right in 24 hrs                   | 99.25%  | 99%    | 100%    | 99%    | 99%     | 99%     | 99%     |             |                 | Target has been kept at the same figure but may actually vary once arrangements post cost sharing have been finalised           | To increase the recycling of waste material |
| PI ES5                 | Percentage of households receiving a three-stream collection service   | 96.53%  | 96.6%  | 96.58%  | 96.5%  | 96.5%   |         |         |             |                 | We have maintained the 17/18 target but due to cost sharing finishing we cannot yet predict targets for 18/19 or 19/20.         | To increase the recycling of waste material |
| PI ES9<br>(NI<br>191)  | Residual household waste per household                                 | 540     | 525    | 559     | 550    | 560     |         |         |             | •               | We have maintained the 17/18 target<br>but due to cost sharing finishing we<br>cannot yet predict targets for 18/19 or<br>19/20 | To increase the recycling of waste material |
| PI ES10<br>(NI<br>192) | Percentage of household waste sent for reuse, recycling and composting | 40.25%  | 40.00% | 37.08%  | 35.00% | 36.00%  |         |         | •           | •               | We have maintained the 17/18 target<br>but due to cost sharing finishing we<br>cannot yet predict targets for 18/19 or<br>19/20 | To increase the recycling of waste material |