Dealing with the total Borough requirement of 5,600 at 280 homes/annum until 2028, I would agree that .ibble Valley has fully satisfied 5 years' supply for the whole area. This should be .obustly presented to the Planning Inspectorate - the Ribble Valley is too beautiful to be swamped with spec building.

Yours Sincerely,

adid 4/9/14

Philip Dagnall

From:

Colin Hirst

Sent:

03 September 2014 14:37

To:

Philip Dagnall

Subject:

FW: Current Consultation on Core Strategy

Follow Up Flag:

Flag Status:

Follow up Flagged

a response for the consultation

Colin Hirst
Head of Regeneration & Housing
Ribble Valley Borough Council
01200 414503

From: 144 (4) Sent: 02 September 2014 12:34

To: Colin Hirst

Subject: Current Consultation on Core Strategy



Dear Mr. Hirst,

I write as the owner of the above property, to which I am retiring next year, when my work in Kent finishes. My wife and I have

consciously chosen to move to Langho, because the environment is so good, and yet we will be still near our family in Blackburn.

My comments are positively as follows -

- 1. Agree that 'signifigant harm should not be caused to important landscapes'. The Council should be looking to put as much development on brownfield sites as possible.
- 2. The map at the end of the Strategy shows Green Belt land south of the railway line in Langho, where the houses are already built. I would like to see this Green Belt extended to the bottom of the valley north of the railway line at least as far as the A59, because the scenary is worth protection.
- 3.I agree with policy EN2, protecting the Forest of Bowland.
- 4.I strongly agree with the proposal for 21 homes in Langho by 2028, giving a net requirement of 18. This can easily be justified by the large commitments already made for Tier 1 villages as a simple example, it is suggested that 1,100 1,200 homes are required by 2028 for 'all other parishes' (32?) and there is already a commitment for 710 in Barrow, which takes us well over 5 years' land supply for this group.