

Dear Mr Hirst

I refer to the Housing and Economic Development Plan Document Regulation 22 consultation.

I find that my comments do not readily fit on the response form as I wish to comment, favourably, on the lack of changes.

Specifically 32 of the 33 "call for sites" locations were previously deemed (in the Preferred Option Regulation 19) "not to meet the Council's Development Strategy and were therefore rejected as unreasonable alternatives". This has not been changed in the submission to the Secretary of State and the Council are to be congratulated on this.

I trust the Council will honour their own comments and thus ensure that planning permission will not be granted on these sites. To do so would now be in direct contradiction to the submission.

I would be grateful for your confirmation that this will be the case.



0

RECEIVED BY CHIEF EXECUTIVE	
16 AUG 2017	N.
FAO	
	11 <sup>th</sup> August 2017

## HED DPD Submission, Forward Planning Team

I am enclosing a letter I wrote to Mr Hirst regarding the Regulation 22 consultation.

Whilst I hope that he will act on the letter I now think I should send it to yourselves as well in order that it can be included in the submission so that the inspector is properly aware of the decision not to build on 32 of the locations arising from the "call for sites" exercise.

