			N	Non Technic	cal Visual Quality Please complete one for					
	_		a:	_						
Site reference: 6 figure grid reference		 	Site Name: Pitch ID(s):							
Number of pitches on site:		<u> </u>	Pitch size:				(Adult, Youth 11v11, 9v9, 7v7	Mini, 5v5, 7v7)		
Availability					rked out over this pitcl remarked? (i.e. one youth pitch is ov		a adult pitch) in Pitch Issues	ш		
Weather at time of visit										
Pitch Issues:										1
Accessment Critoria (places rank o	ach of the following cone	ets for each pitch with an 'X' in the coloured box	v to the right of the about	on oncuror)						1
Element (Gathered via a non technica		as for each pitch with all X in the coloured bo.	x to the right of the chose	Rating				G	uidance notes	Comments
Playing surface										
Grass Cover	0	Good >80%		Standard Within min an			Poor <60%		and the state of t	
Does the pitch meet The FA minimum siz Slope of pitch (gradient and cross fall)	ze?	Yes - as per the largest size allowed Flat		Within min and			No Severe	5	ee size chart below for recommended dimensions	
Length of grass		Good Good		Too Adea			Too short Poor	G	ood 51mm-59mm, Too long 60mm plus, Too short, 50mm less	
Evenness of pitch Problem Areas: Evidence of dog fouling/g	glass/litter/vehicle tracks	None		Adec Yes -			Yes - lots			
Problem Areas: Evidence of unofficial use	- Idam									
Problem Areas: Evidence of unomicial use	e/damage to the surrace	None		Yes -	some		Yes - lots			
Problem Areas: Evidence of poor drainag		None		Yes -	some		Yes - lots			Continuent
Maintenance programme Preview (info Are any of the items applied to the pitches	ormation from maintenan s during the course of the s	eason to support the maintenance								Section total
Grass cutting		Yes, as required		Yes, but not fre			No			
Seeded Aerated (per year)		Yes Three or more times		Not k			No No			
Sand dressed (per year)		Three or more times Three or more times		Once/			No No			
Fertilised (per year)		Three or more times		Once/			No			
Weed killed (per year)		Three or more times		Once/			No			
Chain harrowed (per year)		Every week		Once/	twice		No			
										Section total
NB If none of this information is provi	ided you should assume t	hat only the grass is being cut and the rest of t	he maintenance items sh	nould be marked w	ith the lowest score option.					
				_				-		
			PITCH SCORE		0.0% R	RATING	Poor	1		
Built facilities Quality (the following in	nformation will provide a	quality rating for the changing room and ancilla	ry facilities)							Comments
Is there a clubhouse on site?		Yes				No				
Is there a kitchen or bar within the clubho	ouse?	Yes				No				
Is there a set of changing rooms for the	pitch?	Yes				No				
Quality of the changing accommodation (Good		Adec			Poor			
Quality of changing accommodation (inter	rior)?	Good		Adec			Poor			
Shower provision		Self contained in dressing rooms		Comr	nunai		None			
Is there dedicated toilets for players?		Self contained in dressing rooms		Communal p	player toilets		None			
Is there an officials changing room?		Yes, with dedicated toilet/shower		Yes, but share	d toilet/shower		No			
Is there access to dedicated spectator to	ilets?	Yes				No				
Is there a dedicated medical room?		Yes				No				
Are accessible (DDA compliant) facilities	provided?	Yes				No		D	DA compliant	
Is appropriate car parking available?		Yes				No				
Is there a boundary fence preventing una	utnonsed access?	Yes				No				Section total
		DIII	LT FACILITIES QUALITY	/ SCORE	0.0%		Poor	ī		Jection total
		Bu	LI FACILITIES QUALITY	SCORE	0.076		Pool	1		
Ancillary Issues:										1
	•									
	g information does not inf	luence the site assessments but is valuable for	when discussing site by	site action plans)		Ne			technical about a part with Datish Orestock A Code of Duratics DC	
Are goalposts safe?		Yes				No		8	colposts should comply with British Standards. A Code of Practice BS 461 has also been completed and copies of all of these three standards re available from the British Standards Institute.	
								a	e available from the British Standards Institute.	
Is the pitch floodlit?		Yes				No			g.: stadia rail ideally 1.1m high	
Is there a perimeter rail around the pitch? Is there a covered stand?	•	Yes Yes				No No			g. statua rail libeaty 1.11111igh or spectators to watch	
io more a correct stand.		100								L
Pitch size guidance									SAVE this completed form with an appropriate name	1
Age Format (maximun	Pitch size (yards)	Maximum Goal Size (feet)							BEFORE clicking one of the two buttons below.	1
format bu	ıt									1
can play smaller										
numbers) U7 5 v 5	30x20 to 40x30	12 x 6		Scori	ng:					
U8 5 v 5	30x20 to 40x30	12 x 6					Good			
U9 7 v 7 U10 7 v 7	50x30 to 60x40 50x30 to 60x40	12 x 6 12 x 6					Standard Poor			i
U11 9 v 9	70x40 to 80x50	16 x 7 to 21 x 7								
(Primary Schools 7 v		(12 x 6)								
U12 9 v 9	70x40 to 80x50	16 x 7 to 21 x 7								
U13 9 v 9 or 11 v	11 90x50 to 100x60	21 x 7 to 24 x 8								
U14 9 v 9 or 11 v	11 90x50 to 100x60	21 x 7 to 24 x 8								
U15 9 v 9 or 11 v	11 90x50 to 110x70	24 x 8								
U16 9 v 9 or 11 v	11 90x50 to 110x70	24 x 8								

U17 11 v11 90x50 to 110x70 24 x 8
U18 11 v11 90x50 to 110x70 24 x 8

		Non 1		l Visual Qua lease comple					y Uni	ion	
Site reference	Site	Name									
6 figure grid reference		n ID(s)	-					1			
Date of assessment		n size	-	(5	Senior	r, Mini/Midi)					
Number of pitches on site			has marked o	out over this pitch		i, iviirii/iviidi)		1			
Availability				narked? (i.e. one mini/ m		ch is overmarked	on a senio	or nitch) in Pitch Is	SUAS		
Community Use - used, Community Use - unused, No Community Use, A		,									
Weather at time of visit	1										
General comments/observations											T
General Comments/Observations											
Assessment Criteria (please rank each of the follow	ing aspects for each pitch with an 'X' in the cold	oured box to t	the right of th	e chosen answer)						
Qualitative information (gathered on site)				Rating						Guidance notes	Comments
Grass Coverage	Good			Adequate			-	Poor		>90% = good. <80% = poor	
Size of pitch	Acceptable (between recommended minimu maximum sizes)	um and		further investigation imended minimum s	size)	(cceptable naximum size)		Maximum size = width 70m, goal line to goal line 100m, in goal area 22m, run offs 5m where practical. Recommended minimum size = width 68m, goal line to goal line 94m, in goal area 6m, run offs 5m where practical.	
Length of grass	Too Long			Good			То	o short		Too long = >75mm. Too short = <50mm	
Problem Areas: Evidence of glass/litter/vehicle tracks/dog for	uling None		,	Yes - some			Υe	es lots			
GOALPOSTS					'						
Are goalposts installed?	Yes				No						
Is there any obvious danger on posts?	Yes				No						
Are the posts stable in the ground?	Yes				No						
Is the crossbar fixed securely?	Yes				No						
Is there evidence of rust on the posts?	Yes				No						
ANCILLARY	<u></u>										
Is the pitch floodlit?	Yes				No						
Is there changing accommodation for the pitch?	Yes				No						
Is an appropriate level of car parking available?	Yes				No						
Pitch maintenance (information gathered via club survey											
Aerated (per year)	three or more times	twice		once			never				
Sand dressed (per year)	three or more times	twice		once			never				
Fertilised (per year)	three or more times	twice		once			never				
Weed killed (per year)	three or more times	twice		once			never				
Chain harrowed	every week	fortnight	tly	monthly			never				
											Pitch Maintenance Scor
Drainage	Natural (inadequate) SCORE D0	Natural (adec SCORE I		Pipe drained SCORE D2		Pipe and slit drained SCORE D3		Unknown SCORE D0		Natural (adequate) = 3 or less training/match cancellations per season Natural (inadequate) = 4 or more training match cancellations per season "Based on a pipe drained system at 5m centres that has been installed in the previous eight years "Based on a slit drained system at 1m centres completed in the previous five years.	
									SAVE this BEFORE	s completed form with an appropriate name clicking one of the two buttons below.	Pitch Maintenance Rating Pitch Drainage Rating Pitch Database Rating
Pitch Maintenance Scoring: 11-15 Good (M2) 6-10 Adequate (M1 0-5 Poor (M0))										
Maintenance	Drain	nage						Other specifi	c issues	(e.g. replacement goalposts required, obvious undulations on pitch)	Ī
OVERALL SCORING M0 = action is significant improve M1 = action is minor improvement M2 = action is no improvement to	ts to maintenance programme D1 - a	action is pipe an	ainage system r nd slit drainage r inage needed or	needed on pitch							

Site reference 6 figure grid reference Pitch ID No of Wickets: Natural Non turf Weather at time of visit Availability Community Use - used, Community Use - unused, No Community Use, Available but Unused General comments/observations

Non Technical Visual Quality Assessment - Cricket

Assessment Criteria (please rank each of the following aspects for each pitch with an 'X' in the coloured box to the right of the chosen answer).

Element			Ra	ting			Guidance notes	Site comments		
								(use the guidance notes to help complete)		
About the cricket outfield										
Grass coverage	Good	Δ	cceptable		Poor		<80% falls below the ECB basic standard			
	Good				Poor		Ideally 12mm - 25mm			
Length of grass			cceptable	Uneven			-			
Evenness	<u> </u>	ven					Ball should run without deviation or ramp			
Evidence of Dog fouling/glass/stones/litter?	None		Yes		Immediate action required		May also wish to refer to user survey. If yes, refer to contractor/site manager			
Evidence of Unofficial use?	None	None Yes		Immediate action required			e.g. informal, casual use, unbooked use, kids kickabout etc. May also wish to refer to user survey. If yes, refer to			
Evidence of Damage to surface?	None		Yes		Immediate action required		e.g. from vermin/animals - rabbit, gulls and foxes etc may also wish to refer to user survey			
Artificial Wickets	<u> </u>						·	section total		
Is the wicket and surrounds married in	Υ	'es			No		There should be no trip points			
Evenness of wicket	E	ven		l	Jneven		There should be no contours in surface levels			
Stump holes	١	'es			No		Should be no wider than a standard cricket ball			
Moss or materials in the surface	١	'es			No		There should be none			
Rips or surface lifting	١	'es			No		If "yes" contact site manager			
Surface worn in high traffic areas - creases	١	'es			No		If "yes" contact site manager			
Hardness - does the ball rebound when thrown straight down?	١	'es			No					
Grass Wickets								section total		
Presence of line markings	Υ	'es			No					
Evidence of rolling	Yes			No			i.e. is wicket smooth and uniform			
Evidence of straight cut and height	١	'es		No			3mm on match wicket/12mm rest of square			
Evidence of repair work on old wickets	١	'es		No			·			
Grass coverage (square and wickets)	١	'es			No		Scale: 80%+ = Yes, 80%> = No Please note that <80% falls below the ECB basic standard			
Hardness - does a cricket ball thrown straight down into the surface rebound/bounce?	١	Yes			No					
Changing/ Pavilion	1							section total		
Umpires provision	١ ١	'es			No			5551611 15161		
Toilets		'es			No					
Hot/cold water		'es			No					
Heating		'es			No					
Condition of building	Good		cceptable		Requires attention					
Non Turf Cricket Practice Nets	<u> </u>							section total		
Is the wicket and surrounds married in (no trip points)	١ ١	'es			No					
Evenness of wicket (no contours in surface levels)		ven		ı	Jneven					
Stump holes (no wider than a standard cricket ball)		'es			No					
Moss or materials in the surface (should be none)		'es			No					
No rips or surface lifting		'es			No					
Surface worn in high traffic areas - crease		'es			No					
Hardness - does the ball rebound when thrown straight down		'es			No					
Is the steel frame/ posts upright?		Yes			No		Ideally assessed with a spirit level but can be achieved by eye.			
Are steel cross members detached?	١	'es		No						
Are all posts and net fixings in place?		'es		No						
Can a ball pass through any part of the netting?		'es		No						
Is appropriate safety/ supervisory signage present?	Y	'es			No					
	•							section total		

^{*}You will need a cricket ball and ruler to assess wicket quality as indicated

ĺ		Ab	out the C	ricket out	field				Art	ificial Wick	nte					Grace	Wickets				Cha	anain of Pay	dillon						Non	Turf Crici	et Practic	o Note				
Site Name:	Grass		Evenness		Problem	Problem	is the	Evenness			Rips or	Surface	Hardness-	Presence	Evidence	Evidence	Evidence	Cenne	Hardness -	Umpires		Hot/Cold		Condition	ta the	Eveness o	(Chance	Moss or				Is the steel	Assa Man	Are all	Can a ball	le.
	Coverage	cress		Areas:	Ames:	Areas:	wicket and	of wirket	holes	materials in	surface	wern in	does the	of line	of rolling	of straight		COVERSOR	does a	navision	TOTAL	water	- manag	of building	workers	winket (no	holes (no	materials in	surface	wom in	does the	frame/post	steel cross	nosts and		appropriate
		9-1111		Evidence	Evidence		surrounds			the surface	lifting	high traffic	ball	markings		cut and	work on old	(acutate	cricket bell	,					and	contours in	wider theen	the surface	lifting?	high traffic	ball	frame/post s upright	members	net fixings	through	safety/sup
				of dog	of	of damage	married in?					areas	rebound			height	wickets	and	thrown						surrounds	the surface	a standard	(should be		areas	nebound		deteched	in place	any part of	enrison
				fouling/also	unofficial	to the							when					wickets)	streight						married in	(evels)	cricket ball	none)			when				the netting	signage
				s.fittes/vehi	use	surface							thrown			l			down into						(no trip						thrown					present
				cle tracks									straight			l			the surface						oints)						streight					
													down?			l			reboundb												down					
																l			ounce?																	
																l																				
Clitheroe Cricket Club	Good	Good	Even	None	None	None	No	Even	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Good	Yes	Even	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Longridge Cricket Club -																																				
Creiton Hawarth	Good	Good	Uneven	None	None	None	yes	even	80	0.0	no	no	yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Good	yes	Even	No	No	0.0	No	Yes	yes	80	yes	No	0.0
Longridge Cricket Club -	1																																			
George Newsome																																				
Memorial Ground	Good	Good	Even	Mone	None	None	***	Euro	No	No	Mo	Yes	Ver	Yes	Yes	Nor	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Non	Good	N/A	10.06	MAGE	N/A	MITE	N/58	NITA	N/A	10.06	MEA	N/A	N/A
Ribblesdale Wanderers																											No - Practice	Yes - stones.							Yes - holes in	
Cricket Club	Good	Good	Even	None	None	None	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	NGA	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Good	Yes	Even	wickets used	moss	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	places	No
	1																																			
																									No-some		No - Practice									
Salesbury Cricket Club	Good	Good	Even	Yes	Yes	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	NGA	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Good	law points	Even	wickets used	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No
	1																																			
																			Yes - but a bit																	
Grindleton Cricket Club	Good	Good	Uneven	None	None	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	NGA	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	soft	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Good	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
							no - drops off																													
Charburn CC	Good	Good	Uneven	Yes	None	None	on one side	even	80	ves	no	ves	VPS	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	105	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Good	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	NGA	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	NGA
_																																				
																								Acceptable -			No-Practice	Yes-weeds							Yes - holes in	No-3x net
Whalley CC	Good	Good	eves	None	None	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Roof Leaks	Yes	Even	wickets used	on the edges	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	yes	places	aneas
_	1																																			
	1																																			
Bolton by Bowland CC	Good	Good	Uneven	None	None	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Acceptable	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	ı		slopes																																	
	ı		towards		Yes - Kids																															
Read CC	Good	Good	boundary	None	Kickabout	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	NGA	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Good	Yes	Even	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No

AGP

	Surface	Evidence of moss/liche n(all surfaces)	Loose gravel(mac adam surface)		underfoot	markings- quality		Problem Areas:Areas:E cidence of inappropriate use	Evidence of	Access for diabled players. i.e.: ramps onto courts, width of gates	of posts/nets/				Is the AGP left open at all times?	Are there dug outs?	Are there youth shelters/spectat or seating around AGP?			
Langho	less than 2	N	N	Maria	C1	C1	Name	N	N	D	C 1	C1	V C-II	V	N.	N.	N -	V		
Juniors Longridge	years over 10	None	None	None	Good	Good	None	None	None	Poor	Good	Good	Yes-fully	Yes	No	No	No	Yes		
MS	vears	None	None	None	Good	Good	None	None	None	Good	Good	Good	Yes-fully	Yes	No	No	No	Yes		
Clitheroe	,				acca	accu				accu	aoou	0000	100 July					100		
Cricket																				
=Tennis																				
club		Yes-some	None	None		Good	Yes-some	None		Good	Adequate	Poor		Yes	Yes	No	No	No		
	over 10																			
	years	None	None	None	Good	Good	None	None	None	Adequate	Adequate	Adequate	Yes-fully	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes		
Chipping Village Hall	5-10years	None	None	None	Good	Poor	Yes-some	None	None	Good	Adequate	Good	Yes-fully	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes		
	over 10	None	None	NOHE	uoou	1 001	163-301116	None	None	uoou	Aucquate	dood	1 co-tuny	163	163	110	140	163		
	years	Yes-some	None	None	Good	Good	None	None	None	Good	Good	Good	Yes-fully	Yes	No	No	No	Yes		
	over 10												9				-			
RD Pitch1	years	Yes-some	None	None	Good	Good	None	None	None	Good	Good	Good	Yes-fully	Yes	No	No	No	Yes		
	over 10												Not-but							
RD Pitch2	vears	Yes-some	None	Yes-lots	Good	Poor	None	None	Yes-lots	Good	Good	Adequate	adequate	Yes	No	No	No	Yes		

Adequate Good Poor

No.	Silver e Silver	e changing more and accline (scilled) and in lines Stones a / S	DOS ancillary facilities (The following: So by plain So bears So bear So bear So bear So bear So bear So bear So bear So bear So bear So bear So bear So bear So bear So bear So bear So bear So bear So bear So bear So bear So bear So bear So bear So bear So bear So bear So bear So bear So bear So bear So bear So bear So bear So bear So bear So bear So bear So bear So bear So bear So bear So bear So bear So bear So bear So bear So bear So bear So bear So bear So bear So bear	S Class Marine M. Son Marine Marine M. Marine Marine Marine M. Marine Marine Marine M. Marine Marine Marine M. Marine Mar
The state of the		to to t		ALTER Sour ALTER OF S Sour
Marcoll Marc		Section Sect		Press 70/96-046 6-1-1-1 60/96-046
Marcon M		in the North		76,996, GPG. Sended
The state Section Se	No. No. Adenser Adenser Science delication of the control of the c	ontal Mellourer In the the time Ven V V	. As As As	74,496,6296, Standard 74,996,5296, Standard
Joseph Webbell. Springer Str., ser Major Medical Medical Cond For New New delicase control control of the Condition	No	inish bis bis Ku V until Ukharara Kan Ku Ku Ku Ku V		Televis, Gross Standard 48,005, 476, American
		emental Voltamentar Inno Ban Ban Ban Man Man United Santal Voltamentar		74,6% fairs, Standard 74,6% fairs, fairs, Standard
		to You V		74,994, GPA, Semileral
Rolls Vida/A Companion University	No. No. No. No. No. No. No. Poor Poor No. No. </td <td>ter to Yes administration former to the to the to the</td> <td>A. A. A.</td> <td>Although drip Bear Although drip Bear</td>	ter to Yes administration former to the to the to the	A. A. A.	Although drip Bear Although drip Bear
	Second	advander Anner II. II. II. II. II. II. II. III. III.		76,0% GPA Americal Temple GPA Bended
No. 10 Market A. Water Company of the Company of th		iner unfordered Jacouser Bar Bar Bar Man		MATERIAL PRO-
th Angilotines NOTING Wilshold Comments	No. No.	No. Van sond (Marson In. In. Van		70,006,00% Standard 70,006,00% Standard
Table Tabl		colds control (Adversor Van		76m, con. Sunderil
	Gall combool of Gall combool and Combool comboo	(special line line line line Van Nam line line line line Van V		300m, 500m, Genetic 74,40m, 500m, Benedict of
				70,000 GML demokrati deligence GML Show
Mark	No.	stands (), (), (), (), (), (), (), (), (), (),		76,9% fairs. Americal Sales, Alexa,
West	to to	No. Vo. V		74,0%,60%, Sended
Mail	to to	Sin Sin V		Tripe, Gra. Sander #17%, Gra. Boor
Section Sect	En En San San San San San San San San San Sa	with the Yes	No. No. No.	74,9%, GPs. Standard 74,9%, GPs.
	Section Sect			Popus datus Samakari Popus datus Samakari
Mark		ulated Malescener Nam Nam Nam Van V until Standard (Malescener (Malescener		at on, and have "Nove, San. Sended.
Septiment of the Cost Cost Cost Cost Cost Cost Cost Cost	Ta, w Gall complaned Tan, deletion in dumping community tales, tale Tan Const Const rooms state-values lacifie	acide Sanda (Alassure Nas Tan Tan Tan Yan Y		Sunderd 74,0%,02%, Sunderd
Water Wate	For the first feet and first feet and f			76,00 GBG American
Second S		(Administration from the Vern V. Vern	. As As As	at Proj. O'ls Boar 74, 0%, GPA, Banded d
	to to	to to V		74 (mp. Girls.) Standard 74 (Mp. Girls.) Standard
Marcol M	No. No. No. No. No.	See Ver		ALPOLIPS Basel ALPOLIPS Basel
	Fee	personant Manuscri Lean San San San Van V San San San San San San Van V Nama San San San San Van V	. As Vo. No.	100%, A0%, Grant 100%, A0%, Grant
	n	n v-		74 mg. Garle. Samurkani Alfred Alg. Shari
Weight St. St. St. St. St. St. St. St. St. St		omed //decored ton to to to to to plants and and and and and and and and		76,9%, 52%, Sended 76,9%, 52%,
No.		10		Nove, Simb Standard Person, Simb Standard Person, Simb Standard Person, Simb Standard Standard
	Na Isa Poer Poer Na remain Na Gall consisted including Na Na Na Poer No Na			News, Gres. Seasoned News, Gres. Seasoned
National Assessment of the control o	Gall commissed delication of the Control of	comment (photoser in the to the to the	A. A. A.	74 (%), G2%, Sunderd 74 (%), G2%,
	No. No. Gallerman Gallerman Gallerman	No. You V		A Proj. Ch. Bear
				Telephotos American Telephotos Banderd
	Sal commission del co	unted (Albertone St. Sta. Sta. Sta. St. V Inc.		74,006,00% Secolar of 48,006,40%
No.				Process 70,00%, Galley, Normalized 40,00%, Galle
No. 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Manufact Manufact Mile			20,000,000, 000,000,000,000,000,000,000,
	Gelf commissed and defined commissed and commissed commissed and commiss	unted Julience No. No. No. No. No. V No. No. No. No.		74 ms, Girs, Sander 74 ms, Girs, Sander d
Manager Mana	Galamenteel Galamenteel Galamenteel delimination of the control of	nish oud Volumer Volumer Na Na Na Na V		SAPE-APPS Grand
	h h	to to V		70,000, GPS. Sended
Column C	to to	No. You V		74,006,000s. Searchest styles-des- Searc
Note		to You Y		News, Gre. Sended
	6. 6. 6	6 Vo V		79,0% Girls. Standard 79,0% Girls. Standard
Second	50 So	See Ver V		74,9% (life, Standard 74,9% (life, Standard
Second S	to t	No. Yes V		74,0%, GPA, Standard 74,0%, GPA, Standard
	to to	No. You V		ali (Mr. drib. Share "Perm, Gills. Sander d
No.	Gal remainer Gal	AMERICAN CONTRACTOR OF THE CON		70,000,000, Sanderd 70,000,000, Sanderd
	No les Caul Caul rouses rouses influence con causes les la comband del armandor les	ten de la les les Ven V destinations demander les les les Ven des Ven		74,4%, Girls, Standard
Minds	See New Contail Co	entered Manager Communication of the San		70,000,000, Standard 70,000,000, Normand
		advander Manner Sa Sa Sa Sa Sa Sa Sa Sa Sandrader Advancer Sa Sa Sa Sa Sa V		el (195, d/16) Shari "N (195, d/16) Shander d
Milderd Webba-FA Milder Profe recommended Van, poor Van, on Admitted Consider, and Admitted Van		none desirables desira		Tops, Gre. Sunderd Side, Alley, Great
March Marc	No No Good Good room room follows No No Good Good Good room follows No No Good Good Good Good Good Good State No No Good Good Good Good Good Good Good	ion to		News Great Standard
Mark	No.	produced being the first		74 mm, Girls, Samberi 45,0% dris, Same
March Marc	Gall constitute of Gall const	not and and and and and and and and and and		Nova des Sandrei Montreiro
	to to			ALTOS, d'OS. Share
The state of the	b	No. Yes V		76,0% GPA Standard 76,0% GPA Standard
Normal N				Newsons. Newsons
Marcon M			**	
No Control			~	sever for Vin Vin Store

Grass Cover Numb	Problem Number Areas: Evidence of poor drainage	er Length of N grass	Number Grass cutting No	umber Is there a se of changing rooms for the pitch?	safe?	Is the pitch floodlit?	Number Scoring:	Number
Good>80%	No evidence of standing water or poor 91 drainage	38 Good	Yes, as 73 required	75 Yes	53 Yes	76 Yes	100%-80% 0 Good	6
Adequate 60-	Some evidence of poor	Too long	Yes, but not frequent	No	No	No	79,9%-50% Standard	
80% Poor<60%	3 drainage Yes, poor 2 drainage	31 27 Too short	20 enough No	20	41	2	95 49,9%-0% Poor	68 25
	96	96	93	96	94	78	95 Sum	99















