Dear Sir/Madam

HYBRID PLANNING APPLICATION SEEKING BOTH FULL AND OUTLINE PLANNING PERMISSION AS FOLLOWS:

- FULL PLANNING PERMISSION FOR WORKS AND A CHANGE OF USE TO THE GRADE II LISTED KIRK MILL TO CREATE A HOTEL (18 BED, USE CLASS C1) AND BAR RESTAURANT (USE CLASS A3), WORKS TO THE BARN BUILDING TO CREATE SEVEN HOLIDAY COTTAGES (USE CLASS C1), CONSTRUCTION OF A HOTEL AND SPA (20 BED USE CLASS C1), WEDDING VENUE (USE CLASS D1), KIDS CLUB (USE CLASS D1) AND TRAILHEAD CENTRE (USE CLASS D1 AND A3), CHANGE OF USE OF MALT KILN HOUSE FROM RESIDENTIAL TO USE CLASS C1, CONSTRUCTION OF A NEW CRICKET PAVILION (SUI GENERIS), DEMOLITION OF THE GROUP OF DERELICT FACTORY BUILDINGS.
- OUTLINE PLANNING PERMISSION FOR 60 RESIDENTIAL DWELLINGS, SPLIT OVER TWO SITES, WITH A MAXIMUM OF 56 AND 4 UNITS ON EACH WITH ALL MATTERS RESERVED EXCEPT FOR MEANS OF ACCESS

LAND AT MALT KILN BROW, CHIPPING, PR3 2GP

Thank you for consulting us on the above application.

We have no objection in principle to the proposed development but recommend that any subsequent planning approval is conditioned as follows:-

Flood Risk and Surface Water Management

The application site is greater than 1 hectare in size and is primarily located within Flood Zone 1, which is defined as having a low probability of flooding in the national Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) to the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF). Parts of the application site are also located with Flood Zone 3 (highest probability of flooding). In accordance with the NPPF, the application is accompanied by the following Flood Risk Assessment (FRA):

It is for the local planning authority to determine whether or not the application complies with requirements of paragraphs 101 and, where necessary, 102 of the NPPF, but we consider that the FRA submitted with the application demonstrates that the site satisfies part b) of the Exception Test and paragraph 103 of the NPPF.

We have reviewed the above FRA in relation to the risk of flooding on and off-site and we are satisfied that the proposed development would not be at an unacceptable risk of flooding or exacerbate flood risk elsewhere, provided that any subsequent development proceeds in accordance with the recommendations outlined in the approved FRA. We would therefore recommend that any subsequent approval is conditioned as follows:

**CONDITION** The development permitted by this planning permission shall only be carried out in accordance with the approved FRA (v1.1, dated October 2013) and the following mitigation measures detailed within the FRA:

1. Limiting the surface water run-off generated by the 1 in 100 year plus climate change critical storm so that it will not exceed the run-off from the undeveloped site and not increase the risk of flooding off-site.
2. Implementation of all mitigation measures set out in Sections 4 and 7 of the FRA (v1.1, dated October 2013)

The mitigation measures shall be fully implemented prior to occupation and subsequently in accordance with the timing/phasing arrangements embodied within the scheme, or within any other period as may subsequently be agreed, in writing, by the local planning authority.

**REASONS**
1. To prevent flooding by ensuring the satisfactory storage of/disposal of surface water from the site.
2. To reduce the risk of flooding to the proposed development and future occupants.

In order to ensure a satisfactory means of surface water drainage, we recommend that any subsequent approval is conditioned as follows:

**CONDITION** No development shall take place until a surface water drainage scheme for the site, based on sustainable drainage principles and an assessment of the hydrological and hydrogeological context of the development, has been submitted to and approved in writing by the local planning authority. The drainage strategy should demonstrate the surface water run-off generated up to and including the 1 in 100 year plus climate change critical storm will not exceed the run-off from the undeveloped site following the corresponding rainfall event. The scheme shall subsequently be implemented in accordance with the approved details before the development is completed.

**REASON** To prevent the increased risk of flooding, both on and off site.

Chipping Brook adjoining parts of the site is designated a "main river" and is therefore subject to Land Drainage Byelaws. In particular, no trees or shrubs may be planted, nor fences, buildings, pipelines or any other structure erected within 8 metres of the top of any bank/retaining wall of the watercourse without prior written Consent of the Environment Agency. Full details of such works, together with details of any proposed new surface water outfalls, which should be constructed entirely within the bank profile, must be submitted to the Environment Agency for consideration. For works to the
Ordinary Watercourse section of Chipping Brook the Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA), which is Lancashire County Council, should be consulted.

The Environment Agency has a right of entry to Chipping Brook by virtue of Section 172 of the Water Resources Act 1991, and a right to carry out maintenance and improvement works by virtue of Section 165 of the same Act. The developer must contact James Jackson on 01772 714134 to discuss our access requirements.

We recommend that consideration be given to use of flood proofing measures to reduce the impact of flooding when it occurs. Flood proofing measures include barriers on ground floor doors, windows and access points and bringing in electrical services into the building at a high level so that plugs are located above possible flood levels.

Reference should also be made to the Department for communities and local Government publication ‘Preparing for Floods' please email: communities@twoten.com for a copy or alternatively go to: http://www.planningportal.gov.uk/uploads/odpm/4000000009282.pdf as well as the communities and local Government publication 'Improving the flood performance of new buildings' which can be viewed at: http://www.communities.gov.uk/publications/planningandbuilding/improvingflood

Land Quality

We have reviewed the following reports in relation to potential risks to controlled waters from land contamination:

- **Church Raike, Chipping – Desk Study Assessment Report** (Ref: LC/C2179/3452), Brownfield Solutions Ltd (BSL), January 2013
- **Church Raike, Chipping – Geo-Environmental Assessment Report** (Ref: AJH/C2179/3577), Brownfield Solutions Ltd (BSL), March 2013
- **Land at Malt Kiln Lane/Longridge Road, Chipping – Preliminary Risk Assessment: Phase 1 Desk Study** (Ref: CL-602-LKC 13 1086-01), LK Consult Ltd, July 2013

The site is in a sensitive location in terms of controlled waters receptors. Chipping Brook watercourse runs through the site and the Secondary A Aquifer geology of the site is complex. Our mapping indicates that the following drift geology may be present: Alluvium comprising clay, silt, sand and gravel with Glacial Till Deposits (unproductive) to the northwest and northeast of the application site. Alluvial fan deposits may also be present along the southeast boundary of the site. The underlying solid geology appears to comprise Park Style Limestone Member, Bowland Shale Formation, Pendleside Limestone Formation and Hodder Mudstone Formation.

The information provided indicates that different areas of the site have been subjected to potentially contaminative former land uses, including a former mill site and chair factory site. The reported previous site use was as a mill site comprising mill buildings, mill pond, chipping factory (chair factory) and Malt Kiln with related structures on site and associated tanks and electricity sub-stations. In addition, the submitted reports indicate that off-site potentially historic contaminative land uses are also located adjacent to the site including mills, works, ponds, embankments and a landfill at Black Hall Farm 110 metres from the site.

The **Desk Study Assessment Report** (Ref: LC/C2179/3452) recommended an intrusive investigation for part of the site (cricket ground and wooded area), which was presented
in the *Geo-Environmental Assessment Report* (Ref: AJH/C2179/3577). We note that a site investigation for the proposals and the undertaking of surface water sampling was recommended in the *Preliminary Risk Assessment: Phase 1 Desk Study* (Ref: CL-602-LKC 13 1086-01) prepared by LK Consult Ltd.

We therefore recommend that further works should be carried out to fully assess the risk to controlled water receptors and to derive an appropriate remedial strategy if necessary.

We consider that planning permission should only be granted to the proposed development as submitted if the following planning conditions are included as set out below. Without these conditions, the proposed development on this site poses an unacceptable risk to the environment.

**CONDITION** No development approved by this planning permission (or such other date or stage in development as may be agreed in writing with the Local Planning Authority), shall take place until a scheme that includes the following components to deal with the risks associated with contamination of the site shall each be submitted to and approved, in writing, by the local planning authority:

1. A preliminary risk assessment which has identified:
   - all previous uses
   - potential contaminants associated with those uses
   - a conceptual model of the site indicating sources, pathways and receptors
   - potentially unacceptable risks arising from contamination at the site.

2. A site investigation scheme, based on (1) to provide information for a detailed assessment of the risk to all receptors that may be affected, including those off site.

3. The results of the site investigation and detailed risk assessment referred to in (2) and, based on these, an options appraisal and remediation strategy giving full details of the remediation measures required and how they are to be undertaken.

4. A verification plan providing details of the data that will be collected in order to demonstrate that the works set out in the remediation strategy in (3) are complete and identifying any requirements for longer-term monitoring of pollutant linkages, maintenance and arrangements for contingency action.

Any changes to these components require the express written consent of the local planning authority. The scheme shall be implemented as approved.

**REASON** To ensure the development does not pose a risk of pollution to controlled waters

The above condition has been recommended as we are satisfied that there are generic remedial options available to deal with the risks to controlled waters posed by contamination at this site. However, further details will be required in order to ensure that risks are appropriately addressed prior to development commencing.

**CONDITION** No occupation shall take place until a verification report demonstrating completion of works set out in the approved remediation strategy and the effectiveness of the remediation shall be submitted to and approved, in writing, by the
local planning authority. The report shall include results of sampling and monitoring carried out in accordance with the approved verification plan to demonstrate that the site remediation criteria have been met. It shall also include any plan (a “long-term monitoring and maintenance plan”) for longer-term monitoring of pollutant linkages, maintenance and arrangements for contingency action, as identified in the verification plan. The long-term monitoring and maintenance plan shall be implemented as approved.

**REASON** To ensure the development does not pose a risk of pollution to controlled water

**CONDITION** If, during development, contamination not previously identified is found to be present at the site then no further development (unless otherwise agreed in writing with the local planning authority) shall be carried out until the developer has submitted a remediation strategy to the local planning authority detailing how this unsuspected contamination shall be dealt with and obtained written approval from the local planning authority. The remediation strategy shall be implemented as approved.

**REASON** To ensure the development does not pose a risk of pollution to controlled water

We recommend that the developer undertakes any further works in line with the guidance provided within *CLR11 Model Procedures* and *Guiding Principles for Land Contamination* which are available at the following address: [https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/managing-land-contamination](https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/managing-land-contamination)

**Waste to be reused on site**

Excavated materials that are recovered via a treatment operation can be re-used on-site under the CL:AIRE Definition of Waste: Development Industry Code of Practice. This voluntary Code of Practice provides a framework for determining whether or not excavated material arising from site during remediation and/or land development works are waste.

Developers should ensure that all contaminated materials are adequately characterised both chemically and physically, and that the permitting status of any proposed on site operations are clear. If in doubt, the Environment Agency should be contacted for advice at an early stage to avoid any delays. The Environment Agency recommends that developers should refer to our position statement on the Definition of Waste: Development Industry Code of Practice and our website at [http://www.environment-agency.gov.uk](http://www.environment-agency.gov.uk) for further guidance.

**Waste to be taken off site**

Contaminated soil that is, or must be disposed of, is waste. Therefore, its handling, transport, treatment and disposal is subject to waste management legislation, which includes:

- Duty of Care Regulations 1991
- Hazardous Waste (England and Wales) Regulations 2005
- Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations 2010
- The Waste (England and Wales) Regulations 2011

Developers should ensure that all contaminated materials are adequately characterised
both chemically and physically in line with British Standards BS EN 14899:2005
*Characterisation of Waste - Sampling of Waste Materials - Framework for the
Preparation and Application of a Sampling Plan* and that the permitting status of any
proposed treatment or disposal activity is clear. If in doubt, the Environment Agency
should be contacted for advice at an early stage to avoid any delays.

If the total quantity of waste material to be produced at or taken off site is hazardous
waste and is 500kg or greater in any 12 month period the developer will need to register
with us as a hazardous waste producer. Refer to our website at
https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/environment-agency for more
information.

**Pollution Control**

Prior to being discharged into any watercourse, surface water sewer or soakaway
system, all surface water drainage from parking/servicing areas should be passed
through an oil interceptor designed and constructed to have a capacity and details
compatible with the site being drained. Further guidance can be found in our Pollution
Prevention Guidelines 3 (PPG3): *Use and Design of Oil Separators in Surface Water
Drainage Systems* which is available to download via this link:
https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/choosing-and-using-oil-separators-ppg3-
prevent-pollution

Oil interceptor efficiency is enhanced by connecting any roof water in to the surface
water system downstream of the interceptor. However, if the unit is sized accordingly,
taking the area of roof drainage into account, then roof water may pass via the
interceptor.

**Hydropower**

We understand that the applicant is pursuing the possibility of the installation of a micro
hydro generation facility, which is not included as part of this application. The applicant
is urged to consult with the Environment Agency at the earliest opportunity with regard
to any future proposals to develop this facility.

A copy of this letter has been sent to the applicant/agent.

Yours faithfully

---

**Mr Alex Hazel**  
**Planning Advisor - Sustainable Places Team**

Direct dial 01772 714065  
Direct fax 01772 697032  
Direct e-mail nwnorthplanning@environment-agency.gov.uk

cc HOW PLANNING LLP